

South NCSA CCD Meeting: Chat Log

Chat

Cynthia Etkin: New Limited Print Distribution Framework: <https://www.fdlp.gov/about-the-fdlp/new-limited-print-distribution-framework>

David Isaak: You can expand the font size in the chat box by clicking on Meeting > Meeting settings > Accessibility.

You can pop the chat box out and move it to another location by clicking on the button next to the x at the top of the chat window.

David Isaak: Click the CC button at the bottom for audio captions.

Cynthia Etkin: DLC = Depository Library Council

NCSA = National Collection Service Area

PDT = Print Distribution Titles list

Fatemeh Kholoosi Raftar: Are you sharing the PPT after the meeting?

Donald Sensabaugh: The powerpoint will be sent with the recording.

Fatemeh Kholoosi Raftar: Thank you!

Keven McGinn: Thanks!

Cynthia Etkin: Print Distribution Title List: <https://www.fdlp.gov/file-repository-item/print-distribution-titles-pdt-list>

Cynthia Etkin: New Limited Print Distribution Framework: <https://www.fdlp.gov/about-the-fdlp/new-limited-print-distribution-framework>

John Schlipp: Do libraries that are now all digital need to reply to either of both of the questionnaires?

Cynthia Etkin: CONAN = Constitution of the United States of America: Analysis and Interpretation

Paul Arrigo: Is that 20 copies nationwide or by NCSA?

Scott Matheson: John - you do not need to, but the followup questionnaire to this meeting - the one due in November - is your chance to help determine the criteria on where the print copies will be.

Paul Arrigo: Are the 20 copies nationwide or by NCSA?

Scott Matheson: Paul - 20 copies and 50 copies nationwide.

Paul Arrigo: thanks

Elizabeth McDonald: Why only 1 per NCSA 2 seems to work better for preservation?

Scott Matheson: John - However, only those libraries that want to receive limited print material going forward (PDT) need to reply to the December questionnaire regarding interest in receiving PDT. (All Digital and those who only want to continue the unlimited titles do not need to respond.)

Cynthia Etkin: Ensuring equitable access means connecting all people—regardless of age, background, geography, disability, or circumstance—to the information, services, and resources they need.

Scott Matheson: Elizabeth - re: 1 copy retained per NCSA: This is the floor of 1 copy retained per NCSA for everything distributed in print via the FDLP, set by the Joint Committee on Printing in 2015. LSCM hopes (and expects!) to see more copies of all FDLP material retained in each NCSA, but 1 copy is the minimum required.

Cynthia Etkin: CFR = Code of Federal Regulations

Betty Monterroso: Would "commitment to preservation" include requiring inventories such as is the case for Preservation Steward collections?

Melissa Fairfield: https://qaz1.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_bx89DE0S6kXJLGC

Sinai Wood: Agree with Valerie

Paul Arrigo: Is the preservation steward institutions also committed to digitize the print materials they get if it is not also in digitized format?

Mary Jo DeJoice: Could the print titles we might want to maintain /preserve be titles currently outside of our profile? No specific title in mind but just thinking this out.

Paul Arrigo: Great! Thanks

Bill Sudduth: There needs to be a criteria that considers the library's ability to provide dedicated staff that have expertise in government information.

David Kearns: are the poll results from Regionals and Preservation Stewards public?

Joy Whitfield: Good point, Bill

Grisell Rodriguez: What would the oldest year be of the already digitized titles approximately?

Kate Pitcher: For Mary Jo - Yes, we welcome Preservation Steward Partnerships for any print material within the scope of the National Collection. It doesn't matter if they still receive the material in print.

David Isaak: Grisell: The chat transcript should be available later (though sometimes there can be a technical snag). You can also copy and paste it locally if you want to make sure you get a copy.

Kate Pitcher: 1 minute until poll closes

David Kearns: thank you

Laura Baker: I'm curious if there are many libraries here whose patrons already demonstrate a preference for print for any of these titles. Do these PRINT copies circulate/get used in your library?

Cynthia Etkin: New Limited Print Distribution Framework: <https://www.fdlp.gov/about-the-fdlp/new-limited-print-distribution-framework>

Leanne Jordan: Law School Students use them regularly at our library.

Sarah Erekson: @bill - that dedicated library expertise in the subject should also be a requisite for non-receiving institution to the PDT titles too. ie digital FDLs as well right? but internal advocates to this privilege for the limited distribution titles could also be a criterion

Donald Sensabaugh: Yes, but because I am in a law library.

Kate Pitcher: Poll is closed - will share results in a few minutes.

Betty Monterroso: Yes, we have at least one regular patron who prefers print CFR and law materials.

Kate Irwin-Smiler: Agreed with Leanne and Donald.

Donald Sensabaugh: CFR and U.S. Code mostly

Rosalind Tedford: Do you all anticipate any publishers picking up any of these titles to publish them for purchase by libraries? Maybe they already are available for purchase and i don't know about it. I'm thinking about a situation like the Stat abstract...

Elisabeth Garner: We keep separate statistics for items that are checked out to a patron, and I also keep stats for items "looked at" but not checked out-those items left on the shelves,, etc.

Cynthia Etkin: Print Distribution Titles Information Questionnaire Results : <https://www.fdlp.gov/file-repository-item/print-distribution-titles-information-questionnaire-results>

Rachel Coble: Odd situation here--Most don't circulate, but I file anything magazine-like with the magazines and those are popular!

Elizabeth Friedly: The interest is slow, but when we do get some, I've seen a preference for print. No statistics on that though.

Grisell Rodriguez: Ok thanks.

Rick Mikulski: Many titles can be purchased directly by GPO too -- I was horrified to learn people actually BUY the CFR

Amy Ruhe: Interest is low for the print items here.

Carolyn Ottoson: Did you already mention if FDLP libraries might get a discount from the GPO bookstore?

Scott Matheson: initial questionnaire results - [https://www.fdlp.gov/project-list/digital-fdlp-implementation - results](https://www.fdlp.gov/project-list/digital-fdlp-implementation-results) are "Print Distribution Titles Information Questionnaire Results"

Kate Pitcher: Just a couple more minutes, Valerie and Scott - for results.

Carolyn Ottoson: Thank you.

Jennifer McLean: Another player in this process may need to be the powers of be that makes changes to the Bluebook. The legal community wants to cite to books. Maybe going forward, digital materials will be the standard for citing.

Kate Irwin-Smiler: Jennifer: Oy.

Laura Baker: Ease of use figures into things, too. Some titles, like Cong. Record, are much more difficult to use electronically, in my opinion, and most of our students don't want to use it once they experience it online.

Laura Baker: Our administration likes saving space by going electronic. It is hard to argue for print based on ease of use when those who make decisions don't have to experience the online version.

Rosalind Tedford: I second the Cong. Record point, Laura. Much easier in print I think!!

Kate Irwin-Smiler: +1 Laura

Donald Sensabaugh: +1 Laura

Betty Monterroso: +1 Laura

Dominique Hallett: +1 Laura

Kate Irwin-Smiler: I like the idea of a dual track approach that Scott is describing.

Donald Sensabaugh: like ILL, allowing other libraries access to the print if needed

Donald Sensabaugh: it was me

Donald Sensabaugh: yes

Paul Nease: With the ease of scanning these days, it seems like a good idea to have at least one copy per service area that is protected and doesn't go out physically via ILL but portions could still be scanned and sent as needed to other libraries

Donald Sensabaugh: +1 Paul, and I think that could be decided within the NCSA

Sinai Wood: ILL takes the travel burden away for many folks, thinking Texas

Betty Monterroso: +1 Sinai

Tonia Wood: +1 Sinai

Tom Rohrig: +1 Sinai

Kate Irwin-Smiler: Geographic proximity seems like a weird question to me: nothing is proximal to the entire region. The distant libraries will always be disadvantaged.

Francis Norton: go Kate

Sinai Wood: Yes knowing the digital is available helps many but equitable access is where ILL helps

Cynthia Etkin: Geographic proximity may indicate that you want certain distances between copies. BUT, the NCSA may define what is meant by geographic proximity.

Tami Morse: +1 Paul; maps in particular are easily damaged in shipping

Julee Murphy: Text is too tiny to read easily

Bill Sudduth: Is there some consideration of distributing within a region, ie sub-regions. AR,LA,TX, OK, etc within NCSA-South.

Amanda Ros: Julie, you can zoom (I just figured that out)

Stephani Rodgers: Will all the NCSAs potentially have different collection criteria?

Stephani Rodgers: Or are we seeking consensus?

Bill Sudduth: My proposal for NCSA-South would be AR,LA,OK,TX then AL,GA,FL,MS,SC; then KY,TN,WV,VA,NC

Keven McGinn: + 1 Laura

Lorena Jordan: Sorry what's coming out 11/30?

Stephani Rodgers: Thank you!

Cynthia Etkin: ICYMI - Print Distribution Title List: <https://www.fdlp.gov/file-repository-item/print-distribution-titles-pdt-list>

Beth Callahan: For collection maintenance purposes – is there a date that we will stop getting all tangible documents not on the PDT lists? This would be important when keeping Library Administrations

informed and helps when making decisions about shifting/collapsing collections to create much needed space since there will no longer be future growth.

Amanda Ros: +1 Beth

John Schlipp: Thanks Kate, Scott, and Valerie!

Wendy Moore: +1 Beth

Linda Ray: #NAME?

Linda Ray: Can you share the link to the DESIMS?

Kate Pitcher: LSCM is working to implement this new limited print framework, and the phased out distribution of non-PDT print titles will be gradual, and depends on the Government author, printing contracts, and quantities that were ordered previous!

Wendy Moore: Thanks, Scott! That all makes sense

Cynthia Etkin: DSIMS:

https://selections.fdlp.gov/OA_HTML/AppsLocalLogin.jsp?requestUrl=https%3A%2F%2Fselections.fdlp.gov%3A443%2FOA_HTML%2FibeCAcpSSOLoginR.jsp%3Fref%3DibeCZzdMinisites.jsp%253Fa%253Db&cancelUrl=https%3A%2F%2Fselections.fdlp.gov%3A443%2FOA_HTML%2FibeCZzpHome.jsp&langCode=US

Francis Norton: I've lost sound

Lisa Russell: For DSIMS - selections.fdlp.gov also works.

David Isaak: You can also get to DSIMS from the FDLP.gov webpage - it is under Collection Tools in the top navigation.

Kate Irwin-Smiler: Go us

Kate Irwin-Smiler: ?

Keven McGinn: I am attending because I am in a unique position: My Library is a Selective and has been in the FDLP since the 1950's. The new director is pulling us out of the program and wishes to discard / destroy our entire collection. There is much IMO to be preserved.

Scott Matheson: <https://ask.gpo.gov>

Laura Baker: Thank you for the meeting.

Amy Ruhe: Thank you!

Cynthia Etkin: askGPO: <https://ask.gpo.gov/s/>

Kate Irwin-Smiler: Thanks y'all!

Paul Arrigo: Thanks everyone

Siuki Wong: Thank you all!

Keven McGinn: THANK you Kate!

Carolyn Ottoson: Thank you!

Wendy Etchison: Thank you!

Beth Callahan: Thank you!

Min Shaheen: Thank you all very much.

Turisa Rucker: Thank you!

Betty Monterroso: Thank you. :)

Angela Bardeen: Thank you!!

Mary Jo DeJoice: Thank you.

Keven McGinn: GOOD Presentation. Many thanks to all Presenters.

Julee Murphy: Thank you

Wendy Moore: Thanks to Valerie, Scott, and Kate! Excellent chat!

Pamela King: Thank you

Jacqui Celecia: Thank you

Perveen Rustomfram: Thanks!