Digital FDLP Community Conversation – Chat Log:

from Melissa Fayad to everyone:
I was asked by someone if the government will track who access the government documents online.

from Lorena Jordan to everyone:
I don't hear anything

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
Melissa- the GPO doesn't have enough of a budget to tack everyone. Only 1/2 joking. Govinfo.gov has a privacy policy statement https://www.govinfo.gov/about/policies

from James Jacobs to everyone:
also doesn't change is the need to collect, describe, preserve and give access to born-digital govinfo. I've heard a lot about what will continue to be printed, but very little on how the FDLP can continue those critical pieces.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 James

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
It seems like GPO will be redirecting print infrastrure resources so they can handle to workload of managing the born-digital material.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Agree Arlene

from Melissa Fayad to everyone:
Susanne Caro-Thank you

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Will GPO help FDLP libraries with assistive technology for digital-only items?

from Lorena Jordan to everyone:
Will the digital FDLP affect any commitments to hold documents for a certain agency? (FDIC, etc)

from James Jacobs to everyone:
I hope you're right Arlene. managing born-digital material will mean a lot more collaborative work IMHO

from Allie Lovette to everyone:
Yes, accessibility and assistive technology would be vital.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
This new framework with have an impact ont the workflow at depostoires. How much is still unknown

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Kris

from Kelly Seifert to everyone:
Print Distribution Titles List: https://www.fdlp.gov/file-repository-item/print-distribution-titles-pdt-list

from Brent Abercrombie to everyone:
Should depositories be thinking about collaborative collection development Regionally? I imagine academic libraries are familiar with shared agreements among conference universities, but for other libraries this will be brand new. Any ideas for working out collabrative collection development plans?

from Sinai Wood to everyone:
What about slip laws? (Apologies if this has been answered.)

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
+1 Brent and James ... collaboration is necessary not just with GPO staff, but also among libraries!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
@Brent Rhode Island FDLP libraries have history of collaboration in selection

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Collaboration within each NCSA

from Valerie Glenn to everyone:
@Lorena if you're referring to the ASERL CFDP, my understanding is that program is based only on print materials that GPO has distributed.

from Martha Barker to everyone:
Is the recommendation for depository libraries to select the digital equivalent of their print material? This is more of a question from a small depository library.

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:
Valerie--that's correct

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
Would the materials on the 20- and 50- copy lists be required to be ILL-able?

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
Will GPO give us an update on the survey results of Regionals and Preservation stewards? Do you anticipate any changes to the Print distribution titles?

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:
Will there be additional or different retention requirements for the titles with limited distribution lists?

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
I can see the limit of 20 copies of some titles causing "bad feelings" between libraries

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
+1 Kris

from Jenny Groome to everyone:

@Rebecca - very good point!

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Will this work similar to the Preservation Steward Program whereby libraries participating sign an agreement to maintain the title(s) for a specified time period?

from Lorena Jordan to everyone:
@valerie that's what I thought but was unsure

from Ashley Dahlen to everyone:
Please chat your questions to Everyone so all may see them!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
How will distribution be handled for jurisdictions that require print for courts, etc.?

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Sorry Ashley it was an accident

from Dominique Hallett to everyone:
@Kris that's an exellent question

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:
Will the holders of the print copies be asked to track usage or requests for print?

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
How will GPO handle minor vs major revisions by agency of born digital publications (ex. SSI, NIH, tax pamphlets)

from Kris Abery to everyone:
How will this work for large states like Calfornia, Texas etc

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
@Kris - good question. And areas in west where FDLP libraries and Regionals are quite far apart   (sorry Amy)

from Gwen Sinclair to everyone:
@Brent, I think this is already underway through the coll dev planning meetings Kate just mentioned.

from Kelly Seifert to everyone:
GPO Partnerships: https://www.fdlp.gov/about/partnerships

from Scott Matheson to everyone:
Late breaking news: Midwest NCSA will be Rich Leiter at U. Nebraska Law.

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone:
Thank you Rich Leiter!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:

Also - think about your Congressional district and the needs of people in it

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Will the public be able to give feedback too? not just FDLP libraries?

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Was the survey sent out to all depository libraries or only preservation stewards and regionals?

from Marija Gudauskas to everyone:
Will GPO consider reaching out to public libraries directly to solicit input to ensure equitable access to all government publication users? Our user groups are diverse not only in terms of demographics, but in regard to technology skill as well. If we will need to regularly sit with our patrons to help them learn how to use computers to conduct their own research, that affects our ability to effectively meet our user demand. These patrons can be easily become frustrated by feelings of inadequacy when they can readily navigate through multiple print resources with ease. It's truly humbling to witness on a daily basis.

from Becki White to everyone:
+1 Marija

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 @Marija - so important!

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:
How did you decide on the numbers 20 and 50?

from Gwyneth Crowley to everyone:
Is it possible to see a copy of the survey?

from Leslie Cunningham to everyone:
#NAME?

from James Jacobs to everyone:
I am still extremely interested in continuing to collect print publications, especially maps :-)

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 James! Maps!

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
On a related note, I am concerned about usability of documents in e-format. For example, the Budget is very dense and complex. Thus, many prefer to read it in print.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
? But how will revisions of publications be noted -

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:
+1 James

from Kris Abery to everyone:

+1 Barbara

from Kathy Wu to everyone:
#NAME?

from DeAnn Isenhower to everyone:
+1 Barabara

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Barbara - this is also ADA issue

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
@Marija - our ILS makes it very difficult to access online records (we are a public library). You have to click the link in the bib, but almost no patrons understand that. It's been a challenge, and I wonder what suggestions will be given to educate the public on how to access online records.

from Lisa Pritchard to everyone:
+1 Barbara

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Pamphlets for general public are often used in court cases

from Thomas Fischlschweiger to everyone:
Will there be any significant changes to the Basic Collection? It almost seems redundant in light of these changes.

from Angela Bonnell to everyone:
+1 Barbara

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Accessibility needs to be addressed BEFORE patrons come to us

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
+1 Barabara, I recall that screen readers have issues with tables and graphs

from James Jacobs to everyone:
and web archiving is just the first step in digital collecting. We as a community need to do work on extracting "publications" out of WARCs (web archiving files) and describing them so that users of govinfo can find and use those publications.

from Becki White to everyone:
@Sarah - that sounds like a great FDLP Academy topic specifically for public libraries. I agree; we have this same problem.

from Beth Downing to everyone:
I am concerned about the total loss of information when agencies do not have an outlet for their print publications that are not available online, or that they decide are no longer needed and have not been captured by GPO. NPS and Fish and Wildlife brochures and Census are examples I can think of off hand.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
If you can't get through all questions - please put a hand out up on the web site

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
@Becki - we use Siri, and we're part of a consortium. It would be nice to have an ILS-specific guide

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:
ASERL will certainly be having some conversations soon!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Beth yes!

from Becki White to everyone:
@Sarah - we are part of a consortium using Evergreen, and I know there are at least 3 other depository libraries in the consortium. I've already reached out to the others, we might be starting a regular discussion!

from Kate Irwin-Smiler to everyone:
+1 Jenny re: accessibility. i am concerned, generally, that this shifts responsibility/labor/expense to individual libraries.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
A shift from regional/selective to NCSA level collaboration

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Sarah

from Jennifer McLean to everyone:
What will be the criteria for a library to receive one of the 50 copy titles?

from Marina Bacchetti to everyone:
For academic libraries collaborative collection development and consortium sharing is second nature. But what will that look like for depositories in public libraries?

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
@Becki - same (3 FDLs in our consortuim). Should we find a way for all of us to connect? Not just within our consotrium or region?

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:
Is there a timeline for this process of regional planning and print allocation? Sorry if I missed that

from Kris Abery to everyone:
In the NE the regionals in the NCSA's are all fairly close geographically which is very different from the other NCSA's

from Deisy Vaske to everyone:

I apologize if this was already covered and clarified, and I missed it.Does this new transition to the digital program take effect for all libraries regardless of status (Regional or Selective)? or does a library need to enroll? Or is everything the initial conversations for the new program?

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
More access - unless digital divide and ADA accommodations needing print versions

from Barbara Levergood to everyone:
Assuming that these docs must be ILL-able, there is both an incentive and a disincentive to be a lucky 20- or 50-copy library. If you select such docs because you need them, then you may not be able to use them in practice because they may be out on ILL.

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
I'm sarah.dobransky@cpl.org

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone:
Will retired (P) item numbers be converted to (EL)?

from Marina Bacchetti to everyone:
And what do we do with patrons who are loathe to use computers and expect us to still keep print? This is common in the pubic library with homeless and elderly patrons.

from Kate Irwin-Smiler to everyone:
+1 Barbara!

from Marina Bacchetti to everyone:
We offer computer literacy classes. But resistance is still there in the 70+ crowd.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
@Marina - it isn't just loath to use them - there can be vision issues and other ADA concerns

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
+1 Marina

from Ian Ware to everyone:
+1 Marina, the issues of digital vs print access are not secondary at all for public or largely public-serving libraries!

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone:
@Marina - I've seen IT resistance across ages.

from Anne Zald to everyone:
adaptive technology and software is available to use in conjunction with digital content

from Sinai Wood to everyone:
I don't see themon the list, that is.

from Anne Zald to everyone:

for those with vision issyues

from Sinai Wood to everyone:
Oh, okay! Makes sense.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
@Anne - but the need will greatly increase with less print - and GPO can't help us acquire this

from Marina Bacchetti to everyone:
Yes, we have adaptive technology and software. But not enough public computers with it available.

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
I feel like there need to be conversations within the states and the regions to determine who get the limited copies. I volunteer for NM. :)

from Becki White to everyone:
Maybe FDLP can assist with identifying useful adaptive technology and software for the libraries, rather than all of us doing the same research?

from Anne Zald to everyone:
Check the literature - this is an area that no doubt changes regularly and isn't at all new

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Becki - we will need this. Especially if agencies release born digital that can't be easily used on "older" software (over 5 years)

from James Jacobs to everyone:
will those libraries who are currently selecting those titles soon to be on the 20/50 list be given first shot at continuing to select them?

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Any adaptive technology recommended needs to be low costt/no cost Many libraries won't have the resources for expensive products

from Anne Zald to everyone:
Marina - it seems like as we go further with e-access to vast amounts of content, that is a local issue which may need to be revisited

from Chris Brady to everyone:
How do you assess superseded publications that have historical value (e.g. CFR is superseded annually, but law libraries need the old annual volumes for historical purposes. The historical volumes in our library are much more sought after compared with the current ones.

from James Jacobs to everyone:
I hope that GPO can be flexible and if more than 50 libraries want to collect a print title that GPO would be able to expand their printing

from Jenny Groome to everyone:

+1 Chris - yes, need old CFR and some state courts still require print versions

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Also - old superseded pamphlets serve legal purposes too.

from Sarah Dobransky to everyone:
Could someone do an FDLP academy webinar about weeding digital documents, especially for those of us who get SO MANY electronic mongraphs.

from Beth Downing to everyone:
If I answered the survey and have changed my mind on some of my answers. is it possible to make changes now?

from Beth Downing to everyone:
Hi Deisy.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Good question Beth

from Lynde Roberts to everyone:
+1 Sarah

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:
It seems like having some understanding of the expectations for retention, service, and access would affect who is willing to take on the responsibility. Any guidance there would be helpful at the regional level

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
It's not how FDLP works - but in past it was suggested that maybe FDLP libraries would have an account for print on demand.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
+1 Rebecca

from Becki White to everyone:
@Sarah - that will be more important for those of us who will be just getting into this.

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:
Also it sounds like we could have substantially different programs in the different NCSAs? Will there be some effort to standardize these? (Sorry to ask so many questions!)

from Marina Bacchetti to everyone:
yes, and the public can be very vocal

from Valerie Glenn to everyone:
@Rebecca I think the idea is that there may be different programs based on the different needs of the NCSAs. But good point re: standardization.

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Shouldn't the public have equal access, no matter where they live? No matter the NCSA?

from Beth Downing to everyone:
I am concerned that this whole process is moving too quickly.

from Kris Abery to everyone:
What happens if there's another shutdown. That puts a wrench in the whole planning process

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Kris - yes!

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
I think what happens in the NCSA will be customized based on the needs of those regions. Customized standardization?

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Will selectives be able to select EL now, before losing P? To avoid any gaps in holdings?

from Marina Bacchetti to everyone:
Not only is the process seeming to move too quickly but it seems that there are still many questions and considerations to address in order to do it properly

from Arlene Weible to everyone:
+1 Susanne!

from Kris Abery to everyone:

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
+1 Marina

from Jim Noel to everyone:
Selectives have always been able to select EL at any time.

from Becki White to everyone:
@Jenny - we can add EL any time in DSIMS for immediate access.

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone:
+1 to Jim and Becki for information sharing!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Thanks everyone - last time I was at a selective, we were suppose to select one format.

from Kelly Seifert to everyone:
Digital FDLP Implementation page: https://www.fdlp.gov/project-list/digital-fdlp-implementation

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
Thank you!

from Kris Abery to everyone:
Thanks everyone

from Christopher Whitfield to everyone:
Thank you!!

from Ella Shurr to everyone:
Thank you!

from Channa Cajero to everyone:
Thank you

from Rebecca Crist to everyone:
Thank you for all of this info--really useful

from Deisy Vaske to everyone:
thanks

from Wendy Etchison to everyone:
Thank you!

from Arlene Fialkoff to everyone:
Thank you everyone!

from Korina Tueller to everyone:
Thank you!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
I do wish WebEx would just open the link and not make us click a second time in the browser

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone:
1892?

from Melissa Fayad to everyone:
1879

from Susanne Caro to everyone:
1861

from Katherine Montoya to everyone:
1888

from Christopher Whitfield to everyone:
1884

from Monica Dorame to everyone:
1863

from Allie Lovette to everyone:
1865

from Brian Garrison to everyone:
1888

from Billijo Link to everyone:
1814

from William Sudduth to everyone:
1896

from Ella Shurr to everyone:
1861

from Charlie she-her Amiot to everyone:
1902?

from Wanda Adams to everyone:
1895

from Stephen Parks to everyone:
1895

from Kelly Smith to everyone:
1895

from Daniel Cornwall to everyone:
Yay Wanda!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
Congrats Wanda

from Wanda Adams to everyone:
Thanks!

from Ella Shurr to everyone:
Congrats, Wanda!!!

from Kate Pitcher to everyone:
Congratulations Wanda!!!

from Jenny Groome to everyone:
1895 - bingo x5

from Arlene Fialkoff to everyone:
Congrats Wanda!