## **DLC Open Session – Transcript of audio**

High, everyone, welcome back or I final session. The DLC open such but we will start with some TLC business and this is where our open form will take lace. Remember to please put your questions and comments in the chat box in the lower right-hand corner of your screen. With that, I will pass it over to our DLC chair, Will Stringfellow, and he will get us started.

Thank you so much, Kelly, thank you so much everyone for joining us for this open session. The first thing I'm going to do is a quick roll call in case we need to vote on business for NA, as I call your name, please unmute and indicate that you are present. Richard Mikulski? Mac present. Laura? Will Stringfellow, I'm present. Jennifer Morgan?

Present. Mac Julia Ezzo

Present. Renee Bozeman. Back Richard Prichard?

Present.

Can you hear me now? Yes, we got you. [Roll being called]

Allen I saw you on mute but I just want to make sure you are present. Allen, are you able to, are you present, I want to make sure you are here or if you are having technical difficulties. We will move to Aimee Quinn. Okay, for our first bet on the open session here,, I see we will have about 15 minutes where we are going to diss Gus Council matters and, please feel free to add questions to the chat to be answered later. And we will then have an open form and have a meeting recap. For this part we will start with the recommendation, that the councils limited to GPO from the fall 2021 meeting. The recommendation was counsel recommended GPO continue to involve the Federal depository library committee and the development of the new catalog of the U.S. government publications. We recommend library services and content management pursue multiple avenues of community involvement which may include contacting beta testing with FDL community and soliciting immunity feedback and input through various mechanisms including conducting open forms, surveys, focus groups and other measures. And please note that that was a typo in the slide. It was spelled correctly in the recommendation. We will move to the GPO response and so this response of the counsel received from the director of GP appeared GPO appreciates DLC's interest in support for the development of the next generation of the catalog of U.S. government publications. GPO agrees, it is important that the depository library community have the opportunity to convey their thoughts and share their ideas about the features and functionality of a new system. Incorporating user experience and feedback are important components of the system design process. We were pleased to have participated in the councils collection and discovery services working groups open forum, the CGP of the future, during its fall 2021 meeting. Much was learned from that session on of the needs of depository library staff as well as the needs of their users. The response continues, at the next generation CGP system project gets underway, Ella CM will keep the depository library counsel, the library community and all stakeholders informed of progress through webinars, information posted on FDLP.gov and at sessions during the FDLP/DLC conferences. Additionally, the systems team and Ella CM will be hosting a variety of opportunities for the community to provide feedback and input about the next generation CGP at various stages throughout the project lifestyle. Additionally, the Council had one commendation from the fall 2021 and I will read that numbered the depository library counsel commence the government

publishing office led by the library services and content management and program strategy and technology units and the law library of Congress and their collaborative efforts to digitize volumes of the United States Congressional serial set, create metadata, and provide online public access to them and give info.gov. I will now read the response from GPO from the accommodation. They think that counsel for the accommodation and the collaboration of the law library of Congress to digitize, create metadata and provide free public asset to the U.S. Congressional serial set through govinfo. Your accommodation was shared with the law library of Congress, the serial set is in and valuable collection that tells the story of the United States as seen through the work of the Congress. It is fitting that we collaborate with our sister legislative branch agency that so that we will both have a complete digital collection available for free public use. Thank you, again, for recognizing this work with a accommodation. And, we want to thank the GPO for their responses. In at this time we have about eight minutes that I will like to open the four for counsel to raise any topics for discussion they wish to bring forth. That has come from the spring meeting. So, at this time, I will mute my Mic so any member of counsel that would like to be recognized, there is a hand next to the check mark, please check mark that and I will happily call on you. Lisa Prichard, you are recognized. Mac I would just like to take a moment to think well for his leadership this year. He has been an extraordinary chair of DLC counsel and I look forward to learning more from him as I transition into the role so thank you, Will.

Thank you for your kind comment, and it is my pleasure. One thing I want to point out is the work that is done is not one person, it is the Council as a whole. Each and every member contributes in many ways. And there is a lot that is not seen that comes from the working groups and there is a lot of behind-the-scenes come a lot of discussion and I appreciate all the work that you have done as well. It is not one individual, it is the Council as a whole. We all do it together. And please forgive me, the meeting in unmute in of the mic and checking for hands is a little worky here. Do we have an eight other topics that counsel would like to raise for discussion at this time? Aimee, Aimee Quinn, you are recognized. The floor is yours.

I just have a question, that is does counsel, or should counsel anything about the retirement of the archivist of the United States?

That is most likely is something that would be outside of our scope since we advised the government publishing office, I'm sorry the director of the government publishing often, GPO and superintendent of documents on matters related to that tough, might be outside of that. It is probably outside of the scope of what our charge is. Although I was unaware that the archivist was retiring so that is some interesting news. I was unaware.

I bring it up because GPO does work very closely with the national archives and we could either offer a commendation to the archivist for working so closely with GPO or asked GPO to, I'm not sure, but that's why say it is the question more than anything else. I do not actually remember what happened the last time the archivist retired. He is retiring this week or next week. I cannot remember. It is just something, if nobody else will strongly about it, I will just put it to rest.

Valley Glen notes in the comments that I agree with Will that it appears outside the counsel scope. Does anyone else want to comment on that? Coming from, reading from that chat, Aimee, , Lisa respond thank you for the info. And also as a another agency, but appreciate the suggestion. And Jennifer Morgan brings up relevant as Lori's retirement, so possibly a commendation for Lori. And seeing Anita from Lisa Prichard and Lori, so that is doubly something that we could discuss and I know that generally commendations, one of the things that we tend to look for are usually not pointing out an individual, it

usually groups because one of the things that you will hear, but from GPO, and I am in no way shape or form am I speaking for JPL, but my experiences that they very seldom is that an individual is about the group effort and the teams, and everything that goes through. It may look like there is one person but there's a whole team behind it. But I think that is something that we can discuss. Absolutely. And noted from Aimee, [Indiscernible] I will note that we did have a comment from Mary, a former member and a former chair. Okay, we have about one minute left before we transition over into our open forum. Does anyone else have any last comments they would like to provide before we move on? I will also note a comment from former, former councilmember that I agree with the commendation. So at this time, so thank you all for your comments on that. That will be something that counsel will discuss and thank you for bringing that recognition commendation for Laurie. At this time I will hand the ball over to Cindy so we can begin the open forum. High, Cindy, your microphone is active right now. As we began, very briefly, I wanted to excuse me, I'm a little disorganized right now. So, right now the first hour and a half of this open forum will be an opportunity to provide both the depository library counsel in the task force on additional federal library comments about various topics that relate to the impact on the depository library working group in nine areas in which an all digital FDLP may impact your library. We invite the depository library community to answer as many of these questions in the chat and please try to answer all these questions in the context of the impact of an all digital FDLP. So for these questions, we will spend about 10 minutes for each question. So, we think about stabbing in the context, in the context of an impact of an all digital FDLP, what are the impacts, whatever the impact that you all see on staffing? How do you think these staffing levels will be affected? What skills do you think FDLP staff members are going to need in an all digital FDLP? What you envision the role of FDLP coordinators to be? And what will the roles of the other library staff be that you all envision? The questions have been put into the chat. And I invite everyone to please put your answers in there and I will read some of those and I will give a few moments for board members to respond. Daniel Cornwall response, I'm thinking unchanged because so many staffs have already been cut so far already. Chris response, more staff with technical and cataloging skills. Lisa, staff would not need to process new books in their efforts could be redirected comment directed to un-catalog item selections. From Daniel, staff moved out of physical processing. From Elizabeth, to clarify, I'm sorry, the chat is rolling. To clarify my supervisor decided we no longer needed the staffed specialist since I was first enough in catalog. From Mark, and in response to what skills are needed, Mark cataloging, reference, let's collection maintenance and less collection development. From Jenelle. Also answering part C. More staff with technical skills metadata and catalog. From Jim, the focus would be different so we need to do some training for the more technical resource things. From Rick, I worry directors will be less worried to hire staff if there is not a physical collection to manage. Out of sight, out of mind. We have an acknowledgment of plus one for Lisa. A+ 100 from Mark. And in regards, library staff is not growing. Chris response, this would make keeping up with web tech notes, see ducts, publications even more affordable than ever. From William, A,, for question A, will have a negative impact on staffing as library administrators will not have tangible results 2.2 unless transactions are well documented. Duties will be disbursed. From Ronnie, technical skills, educating coworkers and the public, coordinate with FDLP, assessing the needs of library users, assuring that library clients have access to online resources. From Kathy, I see that some directors of libraries, will think we do not need this because it will not be a long one staff will have to become trained more on all available. From Daniel, I think they will be more a need for promotion and education, raising awareness of what is available. From Kimberly, maybe more staff cataloging and if you are student assistance. And from Chris, who agrees with Kathy, technical service, cataloging has been devastating. And a plus one from Rick's earlier comments. And from Allen, I agree with Rick. The collection may not be seen as a specialized collection. From Leslie, cataloging skills will be necessary. From Kathy, a public library, and all digital collection in an invisible collection, active promotion would be needed for discovery. Plus 10 for that comment. From Andrea, very big factors for discovery. Jenelle, I'm sure original will manage all

regional collections in their state. I assume that role will change. From Bernadine, what about the needs of the library who still need physical copies. Will they still be responsible and under current law should be part of what can be selected. That policy to take one or the other. From Lisa, efforts could also be redirected to more individual services and outreach to the public. From Paul, I agree with Rick. I worry all digital FDLP would be less boots on the ground so staff dedicated to docs would be less likely to be hired. Lisa, regarding, to pluses regarding invisible staff. William in regards to part C. We will need need more visualization and content in the situation. From Joanna, responsibilities will most likely be of sourced by other staff position on the place for the importance would be making sure that the admin or director that collection maintenance has changed but has not disappeared. In terms of skills, it will be technical, cataloging, electronic resource management, index. From Elizabeth, early working with a liaison librarian to share the mostly or all digital collection. I have started doing that since taken on the coordinator role in March. From Bernadine, library and will be back to begging agencies or paper copies. From Carolyn, the impact on my staff and my library will be adapting current doulas and making current support staff are retained in giving assurance of their value. Plus one, from Andrea, plus 12 Elizabeth on liaison librarian. From Chris, how to deal with electronic records, a consortium with multiple electives. My expertise derives from familiarity and different approach is needed to develop expertise in digital materials. From Carolyn, my library staff will probably remain the same. From Kimberly, FDLP coordinators will need to learn effective management techniques for on line. From Charlie, my biggest worry from all digital is a fear that libraries needed to withdraw from the program because the burden of providing public access via peters, Chris Lee on campuses, cannot be used by non-community members creates concerns. From John, promotion, promotion, promotion. To our users, to more collections turn all digital. From Simon, the slow move to more and more digital documents is one of the points that caught the dissolution of the government documents from five library to a government publication section with one dedicated librarian and what I have time librarian. A lot of thinking would need to go into government pub outreach library directors. From Andrea, my staffing level will not change. We have steadily decreased position over time. I do not see an increase. And from Lawrence, who answered part D, I think FDLP coordinators will need, there goes the job. I think FDLP coordinator's will need to become more of a collection promoter by creating holding events to showcase all the digital, I'm sorry, to showcase the digital materials. From William, answering part D. Half of this answer has to come from GPO. How does GPO see the role of the coordinator and how will it communicated value to library administrations? A definable skill set that library administrators can use to define roles in the library setting. And from Mary, Charlie, access is why we must, public libraries in the programs. Searching the catalog and accessing, accessing from home is normal. All digital could help access. So, thank you all for answering this question. Were kind of coming up on the 10 minutes for this question. And so, at this point we are going, I will turn it over to our next question which will be on training and I will turn it over to my colleague, Aimee Flynn who will moderate this. Aimee, the board is yours.

Thank you, well. So, this next question is on training. And what skills will library staff and library users or other stakeholders need, what training content methods and resources are needed and, again, these will be put in the chat. For you to look at. And talk about, again, you will have 10 minutes to discuss these questions. Of course they are on the slide. Let me give you some time to think about this. Of course, now, Jenelle offers, some libraries only offers to some subscription services like on-site. Elizabeth says primary Mark edit. Bill says library staff tend to avoid uncool parts of the library some more government information training, science program make it part of the broader information and not a niche area. Charlie says an actual folder or packet can obtaining all the coordinator needs to pick up and go, no matter what they inherited. Elizabeth agrees with Bill. And I just jumped. Rick says perspective docs librarians will need more training in their LIS programs, excuse me. Because lack of a physical collection will make it difficult to learn on the job. I at least largely learned, excuse me. I at least

learned about the structures and nuances of guy info by CNET reflected in the physical collection. I am not sure I really understood, I'm sorry, it jumped. I'm not sure I really understood about the structures, sorry, just jumped. The agency structures of the relationship between congressional publications. Until I processed and used the physical items. That is so true, Rick. Joy agrees with Charlie. Bernadine added, accessing info without paper will be time-consuming and librarians trained in compiling data, so it makes sense to the user. Allen added training should include video, print and live training sessions. Rick, Alicia, and Elizabeth all agree with Rick. Jennifer says Charlie, there's an FDLP lip guide on succession planning. Charlie says training, 100% needs to be more available in print or those of us who are not auditory learners. Patty says lots of libraries got rid of a dedicated librarian and it was just added it to someone else's duties. I was hired as a tech service librarian and just given gov docs when I showed up. Bill says, other stakeholders just added to someone else's duties and a green with Patty. Kathy says library staff will need ongoing training as to what is available. A person would have to become a specialist in one area. More sharing between staff as to the knowledge across the entire country. Charlie added, FDLP lip guide on succession planning only helps the future, not the current. It just jumped. Sorry. Not the current new people. Scott said most of our students are not interested in learning any more than they have to to get the information they need for the current assignment for their class. We barely have support to see the basics top. Gov docs are barely barely covered. Laura agrees. Guides on how to use materials for the guide to government information. Government publication find historical material might not be digitized. Chris is, again, keeping up with web tech notes changes will be important and tech skills will be needed. There is agreement with Scott. Marino says gov docs and information training in library school is good but it does not stop there. It has to be ongoing and this and includes any librarian and joining in government relations or from another discipline, related or not. And there is agreement with several people. I have had a hard time getting some staff interested in gov docs says Ronnie. I am trying to figure out how I can make training engaging. Bill says the first training for professional started in library science programs. This does not happen anymore, just because it is digital, the intellectual process is still the same. And then Charlie says Ronnie, start with the most fun items, Smokey the bear, et cetera. Lisa responds with I agree with Kathy, having gov info friends across the state, valid. Gov docs is only one of the hats I have worn says Andrea. It did become part of my official title in 2013, but overall I manage all the catalog processing, I LL and gov docs in tech services. Holly says even in the context of an all digital FDLP, training for librarians will still be needed up regarding what is available in physical form. Michelle said tech and discovering digital resources, coming from a unionized university library, library faculty and staff cannot perform each other's duties. So our staff could not perform reference duties to help patrons discover or find access to documents. Kelly agrees with Michelle. And we just ran out of that. Is there any other comments because I think I have two minutes left. If there are no more comments then I will turn it over but I will wait just a few more seconds here, just to make sure. Oh, Smokey the bear does not have a V in his name. Smokey bear does not have a the and his name from Charlie. Correct, says Bill. Okay. Make that correction. Any other comments or answers or thoughts about these two? Joanna replies that there needs to be training on understanding how to use the tech software needed to run or open digital contents, not to mention, need to have a tech and software available to run the open digital contact. Also training on understanding and finding government documents. Guides are great. Laurie responds, government shutdowns make an all digit at the LB problematic. Ronnie says Charlie, I will share that with him when I do my training next week. Bernadine adds, agencies have to go back to their own depository program in order to serve those dependent on map info. Marina ads, crucial is good cataloging, good searchability begins there. Sarah says Smokey was originally Smokey the bear but the name was changed in 1950. That is interesting. Charlie says Ronnie, while more fun than a few years ago that now, some of the old duck and cover items are also fun and interesting. Comics that are FDLP. Chris agrees with marinas communication doing tech are key. Ronnie had that knowledge of how to use application and programming interfaces.

And Joanna agrees with Ronnie. Well, that just about uses my time. So I am going to turn it over to my colleague and friend, Jennifer.

Thanks, Aimee. Okay, so now we are talking about services. So, within the context of the impact of all digital FDLP, what changes in services can be anticipated? And, think about services that federal depository libraries provide and also services that GPO provides. William says 4A, it starts with awareness. Rick, GPO, perhaps the cost saving from no longer circulating print can be used to expand the catalog record distribution program, see RDP. Enrollment in the C RDP would be an incentive to stay in the FDLP even if there are no physical items. Chris notes impacts on interlibrary loan. Let's see, there is a plus 12 from Rick. Well, Chris, well wants to know if you can expand on what you mean. Lisa says yes, see RDP has really helped our small depository provide more current contact to our users. Chris says libraries will not have to lend physical items. So the FDL services, think about, maybe research support, training, equipment. Things like that. For a GPO service, Laura said GPO will have more [ Indiscernible ] Bernadine says it seems like all the benefits are for librarians, not users. Joy says more patrons coming in person to use the computers to download. My library only had two computers available for community users for no funds to add more. We need funds to purchase computers for patrons to access the documents. Paul says more public access computers would be needed within academic libraries to maintain public access. The campuses are going the opposite direction because of security concerns. Aimee says, will there be more item numbers to create or were the FDL move away from item numbers? Joy agrees with Paul. Public access computers, Charlie says GPO willing to provide thousands and equipment materials but not computers for that would be substantially cheaper and last longer than printed items. Rena says GPO would need to make guidelines and assistance with the most thorny issues of cataloging, archiving and accessing for maps and data sets. Scott agrees with Marina. Andrea Morrison says without a tangible collection of any kind, less investment in staff and services by library administration is a reality. Staff will be assigned other duty and online reference services and online reference will only be as good as the libraries invest in updated online content. Chris is good point. Suzanne, Bernadine says computers cannot compete and long life like archival paper. We still have the Constitution and paper. Gwen says I do not believe that many changes will be needed. We have always had to accommodate people such as the incarcerated who don't have access to computers or electronic documents. Kathy said, since there will not be tangible items, preservation of the digital content is crucial. Andrea says, we have seen a trend here, post-pandemic, library computers are not in demand. Students bring their own laptops into the library or check out laptops at the circ desk. There are some plus ones for Kathy. In a plus one for Andrea. Michelle says as a print collection ages, there might be more pressure to leave the tangible. Ricky says from reference librarians, patrons will need more assistance. Now patrons can be pointed to a specific shelf or series and for some skimming can usually find the answer. Is much more difficult to skim digital material and those users will require more library and assistance to navigate all the E material. Very good point, Eric. Joanna says, libraries will need to provide technology, software, or more technology and software, in order to access digital content. Increase in reference services on helping more patrons get digital content would make it more important that staff understand how to use the technology and software. Let's see. William says, regarding services, the GPO provides, the main role of GPO should be maintaining and expanding its relations with agency publishers that create the content and demonstrate the value of the FDLP network. And we have, four people agreeing with Rick's comment about patrons needing more assistance with electronic materials. Lisa says, so did GPO provide a print on demand or digital publications requested by library users. Admittedly, this would create a big delay in getting the information to the patron. Aimee Quinn says, will GPO need to provide digital collections based on topics to make it easier for brought stability? Charlie is adding onto William regarding C, services GPO provides. They said they should in charge of central database, not perfect but better. Marina says,

digitization and online access will provide more ready on demand information available, that said, it will require a more user-friendly and accessible interface for patrons. Laura says, regarding GPO services. More retrospective digitization of government document providing more partners to digitize historical collections. Bernadine says, Nita panel of agency publishers. This will increase their work, taking care of users who do not get what they need at the library. Joy asks, can GPO provide funds for Wi-Fi services so patrons can connect with their own devices to search and be provided references? Kathy Hill says GPO will need to work in acquisitions and materials that is not owned by a third party and is not accessible in the future. Simon says, Andrea's comment gets a real-world result of all electronic docks. Library directors are going to say, it is all online and we do not have to spend on librarians or I.T. Janel says, also important for GPO to get some congressional committees on board to currently only offer materials in print, like the Appropriations Committee. Two minute warning, thank you, Kelly. Mary says the need for computers for access is going to feed the gaps between the haves and have-nots. Yeah, so that is the digital divide. Marina says, has the FDLP considered using AI? Marina, you have a!, I'm assuming you mean AI. AI in the future. Bernadine says if the members of Congress want their constituents. Kerry says library staff will need to know how to find and access digital government resources should there be a government shutdown. Thanks, Maria, for the clarification. Laura says it's one thing to search the catalog and finding a digital government document. However, many users comment and need more indepth research help and have no understanding how the government processes work or navigate government websites. Yes, this is why having designated Gupta's dad who knew how to do research. Charlie is concerned about what happens in the event of a cyber attack. Scott says plus want to Simon. We have been hearing everything is available online other libraries for years. I think, I think we have about another minute, maybe. Simon says, also, the resources will need to be acceptable to patrons using access technology. So, I think, now we will go to, thank you, Kelly. The next slide which is operations and Rick Mikulski will be moderating.

This is a multipart about operations. What operations can be anticipated. How it will regional, how it will affect relationships in your institution. Part B, how does it affect relationships regarding outgoing and paper materials. How it impacts legacy tangible collections, and how operations of technology and environment, or, equipment needs? [ Indiscernible ]

I think in terms of operations relating to the part involved in each question, so, for instance, between regional for part B, in part C, and so on. If I am misinterpreting that, feel free to correct me. Back it is from a respecter of the FPL, so within your own federal deposit library, so your internal operation, not necessarily services but things that are keeping things running with your four walls and there are also things that affect the outside of those, so all of the internal operations.

We have E, library administration and print usage declines. [Indiscernible - low volume ] Libraries will need practical ways to ensure that everyone can access the Internet. Charlie agrees with and says tangible, assuming this is legacy tangible's but Regency will not have a role in overseeing. Chris Wright, I would expect reflective to dropout and they will have catalog electronic version. Paul writes, may be harder for a collection with print items being added. A living collection could be something seen as out dated. [Indiscernible - muffled ] Sorry, is it still too long? Laura says part B, they'd do not have to deal with waiting. Allen agrees with Paul's comment about a living collection. I do think internally at my institution here, we would probably need, however, also, a smaller curated collection to that is one benefit. It might be a mistake on my part. [Indiscernible - muffled ] Any other thoughts on this? All right, Jennifer writes I'll need to rethink my collection policy. Andrea agrees with Laura. It might require regional selective to work more closely on collecting digital subjects within regions so there is less duplication. Michelle agrees with Paul. Pressured to do more. Will writes, we might consider upgrading

those contents, additionally we might need to add high-quality scanners to digitalize material. [ Indiscernible - muffled ] You're right, it is difficult when it jumps like that. Laura says for patrons and the library so a change in equipment needs. How good of info can help them support their collections on instruction engagement. We have all gone through the queue, I have come to the conclusion that in all digital collection and how it would impact a librarian in a collection that libraries who are under extreme pressure to use funds wisely. I will give this a plus one myself. Daniel writes, that's why we do not add records to our skin holdings.

Guidance on what tangible items to keep. Directors, everything online. GPO would need to provide guidelines. You're also actively with collections, all collections going more digital Hiller as we go for learning Commons. Legacy collections would be more uses more people would be waiting. Preservation is needed to keep legacy collections intact and Marina rights, and a legacy, tangible collections will be kept for historic reasons and to have access for tangible displays of exhibits. [Indiscernible - muffled] This all means dedicate space for materials.

LSP, library service platforms that are not tied to overly restricted structures. Need quicker, more access to information. I worry about small selective, and especially in historical collections. We may not be able to justify the time that we have the training staff for the information. Elizabeth says to Paul, actively hundreds of thousands of documents moved into a new space. We will have room for a very small print collection. More focus on pro-usage data and online materials. Kelly writes, we are already moving digitally mentally selecting tangible items we need for records. We already include government documents by subject. We also do not have a dedicated of docs library. I would need to use my institution's Department to house material. [ Indiscernible - muffled ] An online catalog, Bernadine writes historic docs for a history and comparison -- are main floor with GPO such as code, CFR, it shouldn't be out of date. Charlie agrees with Karina, law schools will prohibit. Also agrees with Karina. I can see more operational partnerships with archives hosting materials. Legacy collections, preservation's we really need to collaborate and develop clear communications on how these legacy collections are available. [ Indiscernible - muffled ] Think you all for bearing with me through that. Michelle writes, if all digital would DPL required to provide a specific number of community members? But that interesting question. What happens if the private publishers go out of business? And grid rights, will there be some kind of five-year rule for keeping electronic docs like print docs? And Leslie agrees with Andrea. Those are all good questions. Another agreement with Andrea. Okay. In the short term we would not hire a temporary employee to process government documents in the long run. I do not see tech services and play services the GPO records to problem solve electronic records. In the future, libraries would accept the records we get like we do currently by accepting e-books, record lows from vendors in our catalog. I think we need to plan to do this but I would caution to do this slowly until all the impact is understood. I would agree with that. And I just got the, it is time. Last comment I will read is they publish e-books and try to ping them for accuracy. I will that last question, I will pass it on to Alisa. [Indiscernible - muffled] If they are not following the five-year rule. Zachary writes, so we will, we will move onto the next one. Yeah, we'll move onto the next one.

I thought that was a good point about security that came up when I was thinking about getting computers or giving computers for public access. The next question, I think it's a good follow-up to the discussion that just happened, and it is about participation. In the context of the impact of it all digital FDLP, what are the pros and cons of library participation. Why will libraries want to be in the FDLP? Will this model retain current FDLP skidmark and might it bring in new federal depository libraries. Take

some time to ponder this and put in your thoughts and I will begin reading them for the group. We will definitely stay, says Elizabeth. We have 19,000 students and a library director is a big director of the FDLP. Let's see. Especially, like Charlie says, no, they simply won't. Especially if they simply lack someone who's really into gov docs. Lisa says Prez working with a depository committee, training opportunity, Glenn said most of our selective's have waited there tangible collections. Yet, they have mostly stayed in the program because they recognize the value of a geographically distributed library that offer expertise and can be attractive. Suzanne says the community and the community expertise is something you do not find anywhere else. I agree, Suzanne. Plus one, plus one, Suzanne. William says, what is the value proposition to maintain a level of staff in space each library will need to answer that someday. Plus one, Suzanne. Possibly, greater control of exactly what I library selects over the current selection list. Kimberly says, we are losing our physical space for the tangible collection and need in all digital FDLP. We are strained, says Kimberly. Lots of enthusiastic persons who will contribute. A librarian can have got info expertise without the library being in the FDLP. My Dean was ready to pull us out but digitization efforts saved the day so it might mean more people stay. Digital deposit is a subject I'm afraid to bring up. From Renee, Pro equals being in the program means there someone on staff who gov docs is on their radio, having equities to contribute to research questions. Will says, ask Emily, are you at a regional or selective? Plus from Gina. Daniel says, Karina makes a good point, though GPO and FDLP provide great training these days. Andrea says I feel like Charlie, only myself and a part-time library associate work with gov docs. We are both passionate about it. I still need to work on getting us deep in training. I don't want to lose this on my watch, over 50 years. I worry in the future when eventually myself or my work or retire. I think that is a big concern. Directors at libraries interested in docs could assume it's easier to add some gov docs links to their websites. Without having to meet all the requirements of being on, being in the program. Kimberly says we are selective. Becky said smaller libraries would likely drop out if we are required to receive a digital deposit. We can barely afford one small server for general use. Adding additional servers or paying for online storage is out of the question. Mary says plus, I'm imagining that's what you mean, Mary, is the reputation of being a federal depository library. A commitment to our community, and a legacy that we can carry on. Plus one to Renee. Holly says I think some libraries may stay in is because of the time it takes to discard through exchange. Probably discard physical items and get them out of the catalog. Simon says, I do not think you're going to like this, but GPO is going to have smaller universities from underserved communities, like the recent tribal universities that lose almost all of the selective public libraries. It will be interesting to hear more about that, Simon, if you could put a whiner, that would be awesome. Let's see, let me clarify, the fact that content to not have to leave the program. Leslie says, if the communication and training expertise remains as strong as it is now, federal depository libraries will remain. Not sure what will happen once document coordinators and others retire. Agree, Holly, says Chris. From JoAnn, here is a pro. Libraries and library staff become more aware of access to free government content. Provide more content to the libraries, limited resources, space, and budget. This might be appealing to a perspective federal depository library to meet needs of their community. Our libraries mostly online and we have moved more in that direction when we lost a lot of physical space moving into a new building. Charlie as an addendum to the why they won't. I am mostly thinking of law libraries. I would expect broader libraries to remain. Thanks for clarifying, Charlie. Marina, the importance of library participation is keeping government information on the public radar, especially when the public needs help to learn about trusted sources of government information and become educated constituents. Plus 12. Will chat scratch up be available for these programs? This chat is very enthusiastic. Plus one, Becky. Depends on your support from your library administration. I previously worked on a library that would never drop and worked at libraries that never would have dropped without me as an advocate without me to stay in and work on the tangible and electronic collections. That is Michelle. I think it is true. It depends on the start from your library. Plus one, Jenelle. I would expect some library silly. Without historic print

collections could likely still by the electronic resources without worrying about the program requirements. And Holly says, by the way, I really like exchange. Yay, Holly, a plug for exchange. Vicki Tate says, I do not think anyone is proposing mandating receiving digital deposited material.

I was worried about making a point. It would be an option, but not a requirement. Let's see. Plus one Let's see. Plus 12 Holly. Daniel also likes the exchange for rehoming. I love that. That do not meet our collection focus, plus one. In response to Simon, smaller public libraries are pivoting to being community service centers, less room for collections, less budget for staff, but an odd opportunity on the positives. Oh, good point, Kelly. Chat transcript will be made available. Caroline says we have so much legacy print gov docs that are catalog, we have to stay. Let's go I believe we are the oldest depository in the state of Indiana. Oh, supercool. 1879. It may not be enough to participate in five or 10 years. Simon says public libraries are under pressure from funding and staffing issues by COVID. My directors get all branches open and fully staffed. Any funding, staffing, I.T. needs that could be saved since all digital FDLP will go ahead and cut gov docs. Marion says, plus one, Caroline. Kelly says, electronic access to government information helps immensely when the library was shut down. I think a lot of people thought that, Kelly. We have no plans to drop as we get access to an extra database and because we have gov docs librarians who show students the government for they can use for their assignments. Plus, we can easily show them new resources that are only found in gov docs. Being a preservation stored tells your library administration that there is a vested interest to remain as a FDLP. Thank you, John, for that point. Yvonne says, would there be any financial assistance, like grants, to help federal depository libraries adequately provide services if they became all digital? I will have to let GPO answer that. Let's see, two minute warning. From Kelly. Bernadine, small rural public library are keeping pubs in docs because they may be 600 miles from the regionals. That is true. Let's see, Jennifer says, at, Charlie, L.I.E. libraries will not leave the program but FDLP is very important to law librarianship and legal research. Laurie Hall says , GPO does not have grant authority. Thanks, Laura, was going to say that but did not want to speak for you all. Simon, the only way we stop at the last two directors from leaving was the money and staff timing to go through the process of leaving the depository program. Make it hard to leave. We showed them our legacy collection and they kind of ran away. I think we are about at time. Any last thoughts before we move on? A lot of opportunities in the state legislature is mandating that college students,, same here, knowledge of our founding documents. That is great. Paralegal program depends on access. So, lots of pluses here for remaining in the programs. Thank you, all, what a lively discussion. That was inspiring.

High, folks, now we will talk about user impact. How will users be impacted? What accessibility and functionality and content will be needed? In order to help you? And help your users? I put the questions in the chat for you. Let's see, I have a plus one for Patty but I do not know what Patty said. I have to scroll up. So, Daniel says, bandwidth in poor areas, people get frustrated in using E docks. This is a lot of rural areas. I am so with you there. Bill says users do not miss what they do not know about. Patty says we have a mix because of how our shelving is distributed. Jenelle says as Richard mentioned earlier, reference guidance may be even more important to help users filter through all electronics. All the electronic gov docs rather than being able to scan the shelves. Scott gives plus one to Bell. Holly gives a plus one to Patty. Laura says I'm majority of my users prefer information available online. Jen gives plus one to Jenelle. Lisa says frustrated with viewing larger format items, oversize books and maps online. Suzanne Karo says, plus one, I bless you, Suzanne. Bernadette says I think my users will be majorly impacted. Jenelle gives plus one to Lisa. I think, I know from working out West, geography is a huge issue. And all lot of people do not have a cellular service in lots of their areas. Daniel adds, urban users with good bandwidth, they appreciate the handiness of electronic documents, except maps, which, for maps to stay tangible. Bill says one can only hope that we swing back into the valley of truth, facts and

documentation in our political and daily discourse. Paul says, many of our patrons still prefer print material, given the choice, many still choose print. I hope FDLP continues to offer print and electronic to meet the needs of all patrons. Simon says I am far away from the reading room but we still have patrons coming from surrounding areas to use tangible print documents, both current and legacy. Laura says, it would be nice to have a print on demand service for maps. Andrea agrees with Laura. Lawrence, excuse me, Jen adds, accessibility is a key factor. They need to be properly formatted and if so, accessibility through e-readers may provide greater access. Lauren says I think GPO cannot force, just highly urge, to catalog the digital resource. If the items are not catalogued, the user will never know what is there for them to use and be informed. Also, again, for the titles that do make it into the catalog, the user, many users will designate staff that will help them navigate the vast wealth of government information that is out there. Jen is getting, Andrea is agreeing with Jen. And then Lawrence, wait, I am jumping around. Suzanne says very long items and maps can be difficult to access. Matt often do not print at the same resolution as the originals. Being able to search text is very helpful for research. Jenelle said legal research still need to access print, code, at least in her state which is my state. And since the courts still require citations to the print, rather than the electronic. Chris is good point, Jenelle. And Daniel adds, Kimberly, depends on how the digital docs are presented. Not automatically accessible. Andrea asks to keep funding for the CRDP program. It is crucial to their cataloging. Elizabeth says we are definitely keeping CFR, U.S. code, and general statutes plus the to maps for the state of North Carolina. Jennifer agrees with Janelle. Karina says, it is cuff to have tangibles from the online catalog when GPO cannot keep up with cataloging the online versions. Bernadine says, as a historian, I have to go all over the country to use certain documents which are only in special collections. Many of those online are incomplete, do not have the maps or charts or they are unreadable. Bill says, may be government information needs to meet the user at their access point. Twitter, scram, et cetera. As a gov docs user myself, it is a 50-50 coin toss of a PDF document has been tagged so a screen reader on PC tablet, iPhone can read the information to me. Kelly agrees with Janelle, Andrea agrees with Bill, very good point. Men says many of my patients are non-native speakers, elderly and people with limited computer skills. It is a lot harder for them to access government information online without help. And that is the truth for non-native speakers have a difficult time. Chris agrees with men. As do I. Ronnie agrees with Simon, as do I. More thoughts on this topic? About user impact? Andrea says we would see more request for interlibrary loan for printed documents, especially military documents. Especially military documents. Are you thinking technical reports? Andrea? And you say military documents? Like from D tech? Journals and historical reports that disappear online. Oh, I see. Thank you for that clarification. Other thoughts? We have non-native speakers who could show me how to navigate digital docs says Karina. And Bernadine adds, many libraries do not loan precious docs that were only sent to a few libraries. How true that is. Elizabeth says, Andrea, agreed. It would be tough to deselect and offer there's DOD S.E.C. when we get to that section. Bill says military history must have a whopper of a budget. Isn't that the truth? I wonder if Bart knows how much of a budget they have. We have a two minute warning. Thank you, Kelly. Other thoughts? If we have no other thoughts on this topic, I will forward it on to my friend, Rick, who will speak about collaboration now. Thank you.

Thanks, and this section in collaboration, there are four sections, and the first being a, what types of collaborations are useful? Become our new collaborations needed? See, what would these look like? And D, collaboration among FDL's? So we are in the context of an all digital FDLP. I know somebody mentioned earlier, collaboration, the digital holdings in collections, that would be an example. The GPO community agency collaboration, it's fabless. I agree. Sean writes, future is a shouldn't collaboration and historical document, doesn't want to or is able to host, another library could host. [Indiscernible] Glenn writes, we need more centers for excellence. A greater collaboration in creating training material such as webinars, guides, cataloging cooperation. Laura writes, a good example, and there's a link in the chat.

William writes, because of the lack of government information being taught in library science program. There are collaboration early career librarians, even if they are not docs librarians. I should add, dTTP does have an issue each year for students, so hopefully they can collaborate on an article there, Rene writes that, have been instrumental in a bit more flexibility with non-collections. Will writes, possible increase for historical tangible collections. In state and across the state. Oregon has one of those as well, and it works out really well for them. I'm always anxious when there's a lot, I would expect some really large paragraphs to pop up at once. [Indiscernible] William writes new collaborations. Undermining of the Congressional serial set data. Can you expand on locks if possible? Yeah, lots of copies, excellent. Lisa writes, the recent efforts to partner with GPO to digitalize a historical content should increase. [ Indiscernible | Echoing that there was a comment earlier about GPO parting with Internet archives and similarly to digitalize content. Laura agreed with Lisa. Andrea writes, training collaboration that Indiana is hosting other statewide government information day in 2022. Recommend following that. I really appreciate any other online training the Western state government information conference. FDLP common FDL candidate. Training is essential. I agree with everything about that. Faculty, community researchers. Will writes, references across the state line and time zones. Geographically dispersed server storage. Lisa writes, never by GPO to help new coordinators reconnect with mentors would be helpful. [ Indiscernible ] Michelle writes, would there be storage collection agreements with request from regionals or can we afford national collection regions for what happens if libraries start dropping electronic items? Quinn writes, we need better ways to mitigate with federal agencies, find out what they are doing, and the legacy, CD-ROMs are available on the website, et cetera. Need to work with the federal libraries, not in the FDLP, especially libraries, field offices, and labs. Simon writes, being positive for GPO plans to set up preservation stewards in regional areas which would help with collaboration. We have an extremely large collection, only 25 miles away, but it's across the state border. We currently are not able to collaborate with. Karina writes, many agency websites are inadequate. Aimee agrees with Quinn, ready would be great if we could partner GPO and federal agencies and these areas or something. I just got the two minute warning. [ Indiscernible ] Andrea writes, more collaboration among departments come to mind. We are a huge public library system and they can be more collaborative such as public services versus web online services, especially in the area of promoting the government document collection for developers do not [Indiscernible] unfortunate yes, every time we redo a website I have to make sure the FDLP logo goes on there. Everyone agrees with Andrea, plus one. [ Captioners transitioning ]

A LOT OF AGREEMENT. THAT IS GOOD. Okay, if there are no other comments we will go to the next one I guess.

Okay everybody. Now we ask that you please share your top one or two concerns when you contemplate an all digital FDLP. Reflect on what we just discussed. The impact from staffing, training services, operations, participation, user impact and collaboration. Mark says he is concerned about the loss of support for or interest in documents. Charlie says the eventual decline of the program in response to various libraries withdrawing William says how will the user benefit? Hannah says, access during a government shutdown or following major department, agency shifts and changes. Ronnie is concerned about the potential loss of information. [Indiscernible] unstable Internet connections due to weather, government shutdowns or power outages, Mary is worried about the loss of staff on subject expertise carries as justification for staying in the FDLP. John is concerned for tangible FDLP, user access. Amy, agency stop providing information to GPO. Scott says information will fall through the cracks in the requirement of informing our users with the current information and historical information will not be stable. Isabel is very concerned about user access, Simon says not having a government document expert librarian around to help patrons to find the documents and information they need.

Andrea also justification for staying in the FDLP. Laura, labor administration. Bernadette, plant resources for those that are not very tech savvy. Dennis is access during a shutdown is a big concern. Digital deposit could help as good law changes to keeping servers live during a shutdown. Mary Clark is concerned, she says will GPO continue to support a FDLP? Scott agrees with Simon he says we are experiencing that now at the library. Have to scroll up. Not having government document expert library to help patrons find documents. Okay. Paul says he's worried about the loss of support from the administration for staffing. People agree with Paul. Jenelle says not directly related to my role but concern for potential layoffs for everybody working at the GPO warehouse. JoAnn says, convincing director because of the invisible collection is harder to promote awareness that government information is available, not just with users but internal staff. It reduces the interest and support. Charlie agrees. Laura says she's concerned for enough staffing to track down the publications. Andrea says this is my sarcastic saying I is only time for anything, what happens when the cloud goes pouf. Infrastructure, outages, access issues. Kathy is concerned with losing smaller public libraries. Becky says helping students and those looking for materials understand the differences between just doing a Google search and identifying legitimate materials. Daniel +1 Laura. Andrea Morrison says I think we need to retain some print collections in every region in order not to discriminate against some groups of users. Also I'm very concerned about loss of expertise. We have some plus one and +100 for Becky. Who refers back to helping students on how they do research versus googling everything. Suzanne says +1 Becky and reminding uses through administration there is work done to make them available and findable. Andrea says +1 Becky. Education needed. Cyber security worse with IT departments. Richard agrees with Suzanne. Simon likes the phrase what happens when the cloud goes pouf. Rick says it could make reference work much more difficult. Print indexes are easier-to-use and digitized indexes, especially when toggling between. Rita Wilson says she is concerned with laboring staff thinking all government research is a Google search away and not needing an expert. Anymore concerns? Don't be afraid. Our next question we get to be positive and talk about what we're most excited about. Right now are interested in hearing everybody's concerns when they contemplate an all digital FDLP. Anybody? Difficulty with keeping up with files that move and being updated. Reading digital content from the catalog. Kathy says fugitive docs. Also known as unreported documents. DPO being defended and we have some +ones agreeing with Lisa is worried about reading digital content from the catalog. Michelle is concerned about the roles of the coordinator, deliverance job descriptions will change more. We have a pleasant for that and plus one for Michelle. Or agreement for Michelle was concerned with the role of the coordinator, thinking about the brands job descriptions changing more. I think we are about it a minute. Holly has to leave but she's going to share one think she is excited about. The ability to share online material more easily with off-campus patrons despite all the issues mentioned including bad Internet. That's a good transition. I think we will go to our last one. Number nine.

I think that was an excellent transition. The final one we have, what are you most excited about when considering an all digital FDLP? I'm going to give a few brief seconds for you to start their fill that gap and we want to hear all about the exciting things. Okay. The chat is starting to flow. We will get rolling on these. From Charlie. Hopefully a website overhaul to something more modern and engaging for users with greater amount of materials, the website is awful it contains amazing materials. University of North Texas to write with their archive that would love to see GPO do similar things. Joanna instant access to content for younger patrons and students. Many are used to searching material online. Thing they could directly access content in our they are is something they are interested in. From Jenelle, not having to process new print materials. From Gwen, greater access to materials for our patrons spread across the Hawaiian Islands and Pacific. For Elizabeth, letting liaison night librarians know about a. They can share more widely across campus. Kathy. As much staff time spent processing tangibles. No longer having to lift 25+ boxes, I'm guessing you meant to 5 pounds. From William. Increased access and improved

access. From Bernadette, easier and quicker access for sharing and Andrea gives a +1 to Elizabeth's comment and Andrea, and other type of opportunity for promotion in the F DLP and promoting access. From Jen, providing greater access for new materials users can't get into. Being able to highlight more publications and sending list of materials with links that go directly to the item, less time shelving and less concerns about leaks, floods and natural disasters. Amy gives a +1 on that. From Mark, greater access to sort materials. Very helpful for email reference. From Kelly, greater access to students who are online only and out-of-state. From Andrea. Since our tangible print collection is not circulating it will certainly improve access, especially if the historical collection is digitized. Leslie gives a +1 to Suzanne. From Allen, greater access. From Amy, reaching more users. Stirring to see a theme. More access brings more users. We are seeing a trend. From Rita, being able to provide access to government information as our physical collection strengths. Students expect it all online. Promoting access to historical and primary documents that we simply cannot house is a small community college. From Jenelle, less concern about lacks of excess if libraries is shutdown again. More promotional materials from GPO. From Amy, being able to embed learning modules, online tutorials and guides. Andrea gives a +12 Amy. And other +1. From Jennifer, having digitized documents can make research easier. Such as document searching and the ability to copy and paste from documents. It's also easier to share digitized documents with students, faculty and other patrons. The documents are easier to browse or flip the pages. Indexes are much easier to use imprint depending on the resource IT is a hybrid approach. Print and digitized documents for research. Amy has gotten another +1 and Jennifer got a +1 as well. Seems they need to work together to get support for funding, space and status. One of the things we do see in this committee is working together and collaborating and it's something we already do and I think it's something we will see in the context of and all digital FDLP. From Mary, working in the close stacked library, nobody has ever browsed our collection except online. From Andrea, improved metadata description and cataloging. Supporting amazing user discovery like we've seen ungoverned info. Lisa, the prospect of new toll tools from GPO like search widgets similar to those on other government websites. From Scott, a suggestion, maybe Federal depository libraries, GPO should be more proactive about advertising to the public about web access. If the information was advertised more, there might be a greater surge and desire for access. Many people outside of my library in the community do not know about gov docs nevermind the federal depository. Okay. We have about three minutes left. If you have any more of the things you're most excited about, let's keep dropping them in the chat. From Charlie, FDLP tattoos do wander for public outreach. Yes, both the temporary ones that flew off our shelves and the permanent ones of students. Lisa agrees. One of the things that I'm really excited about is we found materials dating back to the 1840s and I'm really excited about the ability to digitize is materials and help make them available not just for the local community here but nationwide. And with all of the features that many of you have suggested. Can be mined or searched and easily reproduced. That's it I'm very excited about. Blending in and building up the national collection. This is a major part of it. From Andrea, my community college students love the tattoos. Kelly has given us our two-minute warning. And we take it a little more seriously than the NFL. I love to talk about how government info can help a project. Having digital makes it even easier and relatable to most people I work with. Charlie, in relation to Scott's comment, throwing it back to earlier today. GPO East Duke PSA's and radio and TV spots. It should come back. More resources for GPO and the federal depository Library program to preserve and provide access to authentic, official digital content. Amy has a couple pluses to her previous comment in a +1 to Charlie. Andrea I give you one as well. That is something to be excited about. Okay we have about a minute left so if you have any of those left, one from Charlie, I love to see the [ Indiscernible ] make a comeback. Especially if they're not Covid once. Something that's promoting the program. Absolutely. I think it would be great to have some all-digital FDLP posters. Alts of copyright free images to use for that. From Kelly, a big promo item refreshes coming in 2022. So something I think many are excited to hear about, I can almost hear people in one of the few cases we can feel the excitement in the

chat, it's wonderful to hear. I suspect we are actually out of time on the section which means we are going to transition over to a poll question. Rick will be reading it and then we will go into the last part of our form which will be about general libraries. I will turn it over to Rick.

Thank you. Here is that poll question. My library is and check all that apply. Open for staff, open for affiliates, open for the general public RD not open to the general public because of local COVID mandates.

We please pass me the ball? Okay. About 10 seconds remain to make your selection. Okay. You should see the results.

It looks like of the respondents, 71 are open to staff, 63 open to affiliate and 83 open to the general public and 11 not open due to local mandates the 93 he did not answer. The majority of respondents are open to staff, affiliates and the general public. That is quite good. That will lead us into Lisa's question. Specked the Cindy the ball is back to you and it and me to you.

Okay. The next question, this is touched upon in many of your answers about staffing. Go ahead and describe the current staff landscape in your libraries right now. Shrinking, too small, small and static for now. Stable and adequate. Laura says they are wondering a hiring freeze and short on staff and student workers. Stretched thinly. Fully staffed, stable and adequate, lots of retirements, shortstaffed, stable, adequate, 22 vacant positions. That's incredible. Over a library or as it pertains? You could do both. As it impacts your FDLP. Describe the current staffing landscape in your library. Cindy put the question back up again in case you didn't hear it. The Branson stuff that retire are not being replaced. That is true. A lot of libraries are in flux. Still trying to fill three positions. I wonder if it's hard for people to fill positions in the current landscape. Cripplingly understaffed. We lost a lot of people during the pandemic but not to the pandemic. Inc. address for that. Hiring freeze, Northern Arizona University is trying to fill vacant positions shrinking with no position to fill vacancies. We are understaffed. Understaffed but getting back to normal. Stable, stable, small and shrinking. Stable but have had turnover. Yvonne says stable staffing. Losing positions says Andrea. William says, what is the reduction out of? 1.75 million out of what kind of budget? That will be great for context. Shortstaffed says Bradley trying to fill one position, retired staff were not replaced but stuff is stable for now, understaffed, trying to fill two or three positions. Stable but working in a hybrid situation. What do you mean? Part at home and partly on-site? Jim says fear candidates. I was wondering about that. Mary Clark says lots of hiring but difficulty finding applicants and qualified people. Still cannot convince admin to hire a gov docs library full-time. We have five library positions due to unfilled retirements. That Grace is doing better than before but still under the understaffed. Julie says it fluctuates as new staff is hired but others leave for various reasons. If people leave their not being replaced unless it's for current hot topics like AI labs or VR labs. Beyond then, at least 40+, I don't know what that is supposed to say. 100+ hiring freeze in approximately 10 branches close every day. Alicia says gov hub is stable but the library is woefully understaffed with slow hiring after retirements and turnover. Charlie says he just got hired to fill the corrdinator position. Welcome. Kathy says teleworking at home three days and two days in the library. William says. Thank you for the context. Hiring freeze, lots of turnover disarray because of loss knowledge. Will says you might want to promote within. How his overall morale? Okay. Thank you. Will send me a private message. Asides just the lack of staffing, what you say about morale in your libraries? How is it impacting that? There is widespread agreement about that. We are going to move on to Amy. You're getting short on time. Thank you all.

Am I an muted? Okay. What are you most excited about when considering an all digital FDLP. Weight. That's the real long one. What you interested in or excited about in the upcoming year related to U.S. government information or the FDLP library? Give you a couple minutes. The new FDLP promotional material that Kelly mentioned. Looking forward to celebrating your 50th. In 2023. Specked definitely have a party. Laura says the 1950 census. Will says congratulations to Joanna. Rick says if possible and in person DLC, are we all looking forward to that possibility? Jen says discovering more about my collection materials. She learns more everyday, as do I. Andrea says we are planning a big celebration on September 20 is a combined FDLP anniversary. How exciting. National voters registration day national Constitution Day. That is very exciting. Plus one for Rick from Laura, Mary said the release of the 1950 census. Janessa census. Rick it's another +1 another possibility to attend the DLC conference improving her collection. Don't forget to send photos and details of your celebration so we can feature you on the social media. +12 William. Janel I can maybe help you unearth yours. There are ways we can maybe have done your anniversary. We can talk later. What else are you excited about? Ronnie says I'm excited to finish projects that were working on. To help direct people to online digital materials making more room on the shelves. Two-minute warning. Don't forget to ask GPO for anniversary items. Check the serial set, it may say when you became an FDL. Good tip. Rose says completing their huge depository collection move. It's only been 2.5+ years in the making. It is exciting. With that, if you don't have any more questions, I'm going to turn over to Lisa for the next part. What are some of the successes you and your library have had over the past year? What ways have you promoted your collection, serve your community and celebrated being part of the FDLP library or other successes? Doing the webinar about U.S. Census and releasee 1950 census and getting back to their building. Student assistance copied 2000 of dock titles. Becky said working on the new website which has been a 15 year project. Kept us in the program. Alma migration. That is a big undertaking working with GPO and counsel reopened after being closed, after being hit by two hurricanes. We are glad you are reopened. Several promo videos. For the collection and retro cataloging. Working on the Coast Guard, the Department of energy. Participating in pilot project one with GPO. I'm looking for to those documents. Being able to add attempt with experience. Starting to figure out Alma, Charlie hiring a dedicated gov docs librarian. I am biased but thrilled. Joy, all my migration we have a new technician that shows great promise. Holding another Constitution read aloud. Is a fun thing. Filling seven vacant positions. Amy, thanks to funding from state La Brea organ Portland they hired a one-year cataloger to process the backlog. 24,469 previously and catalog items. 4982 of which are held by Portland State. That's incredible. Item profile review is an undertaking. Congratulations Kathy, they discovered a glitch in the Smithsonian and linking the balls in the Smithsonian's court but hopefully this will help others also. That's awesome. Make sure I'm on that list. I would not want to break my streak says Charlie. Alicia making a plan for serial. Information science guy info courses. Bernadette, currently enrolled in the corrdinator certificate program and learning a lot. Photography class came to library and did candid shots of collections. Two-minute warning. That's amazing about the cataloging. They let me lurk on their class for the first time. Using FDLP among GPO resources to help with a merit badge. How could I forget the cataloging partnership with GPO. Too many good things. People are forgetting. I think we are about at the end. It's time for me to turn over to will for the very last conversational topic.

Thank you for sharing this wonderful successes. I love hearing about that. What topics or challenges would you like for the library counsel to address going forward? Put those into the chat. I will give you a few minutes to put those in. Okay. Thank you. Ideas for improved user engagement and outreach. Have a couple +ones for Williams. How to quantify or explain to directors Dean's why it's important to remain in the program if we go all digital, especially with the cyber security issues. From Elizabeth, Napco digital depository picture. Plus one for Charlie suggestion and Elizabeth there is a plus one for years. Promote the value of guv info information for science programs. +12 Bill and I will give you a +1 as well. That's a

great one. If Ghostbusters can be used for emergency preparedness plans we can do it for the FDLP. Okay. We got another plus one for Bill and Charlie and my French is terrible so I always get roasted for being unable to pronounce that. Do you have a list of programs that don't include a course in government information? [ Indiscernible ] SIS program, sorry, spool is getting anyway. It did not teach gov info. I bring out the zombie CDC gov docs for display. Huddy mounts where we are headed in the national collection with making sure deans and directors understand that we are not there yet so they can't just toss out the collections. I agree with Rich. There is a lot of nuance in the transition. +1 to Rick. +10 to Rich and another plus one for rich. We have one minute left. There are very few programs that have a docs course. From Kelly, how you handle the legal requirement for tangible official records like U.S. reports, they become the official record. We are under one minute. If you have any other topics or challenges for us to address, please get them in. Okay. Promoting the FDLP Academy to the programs and from Amy, we are thankful they taught all three of you. [Indiscernible] okay. At this time we are at the closing time of the open form. At this point I'm going to do a quick meeting recap. The first thing is the depository library counsel voted to approve and accept minutes from the fall 2021 library counsel meeting. And the Council Secretary, weekend of June 1, 2022. I want to think a moment for her willingness to serve in that role. It was very much appreciated, Renee taking on those duties. Additionally the Council accepted three working group reports, all of which are available to be viewed on the website, two of those came from cataloging and discovery services working group the first was lessons learned from the open form April 21, 2021. That was one year ago. The second report was the [ Indiscernible ] of the future, lessons learned. Finally the third report that was accepted by the to depository library counsel was the digital deposit working group final report and recommendations. The depository library counsel voted and will consider the recommendations transmitted from the digital deposit working group. Finally the depository library counsel voted on the working group at the end of the business session. While I will point out there is not a bullet point but I have a feeling we will also be considering recommendations as well along with I'm sure at least one accommodation for the Superintendent of documents. It was mentioned over a couple hours ago. At this point, I'm going to pass the ball back over to Kelly and I'll hand the microphone over to Lori Hall.

Okay, I want to thank you all for participating in these two long afternoons. I really enjoyed reading all of the feedback and the insights I know my staff are probably not real happy with me, I've been emailing and setting up meetings to follow through with a lot of your suggestions and input. One thing I would like to do that we haven't taken an opportunity to, we have pilot project number two that as of today, we have no applications. I really want you all to go back and take a look at your collections and take a look at the application and please submit an application. Here's an opportunity for you all to have GPO, do some work at your institution and to expose some of your collections to inventory, cataloging and digitization. Thank you Kelly for putting that link and there. having such a great success at the Utah library.we've exposed 1000 pieces of World War II material, will catalog and digitize it. We really would like in other library to be pilot project number two. Do not let this opportunity pass you by. Please submit your application, it's not a long application. It's fairly simple. Please take a look at it and sign up. Just a reminder. We talked a little bit about it in the opening session. Here are the dates. Mark your calendar. As I said yesterday already. We will be looking at an opportunity for a conference hopefully in person or in some hybrid schedule. We are looking forward to doing that and we will keep you posted. Thanks again for participating. The numbers are really high. Total registered was close to 600. We know a lot of you come in and out of the meetings so just a reminder that everything is available to you and you will get a link when the meeting is over and listen to the recorded session. It's good to hear from you again and hope to hear you soon. I just want to take a moment and thank everyone. To believe it's almost been three years it seems like it's been half a second. It's unbelievable. Everything we've seen over the past three years, for all of the challenges that come across, we have seen a lot of great come

out of this group. I'm just so honored and proud to call all of you my colleagues. Wherever you are. This is a special part in place in the library and information world. I always joke. The quickest way to clear out a library is tell everyone your leaked government documents librarian. It's something that's very special. Without all of you all, even the depository libraries, you make this possible. You really do. If anyone says otherwise well it's one of the few cases where I will say they are wrong. My colleagues always know when I've had a meeting with government documents professionals because they always say well you are a little bit better of a profession and I feel it as well. Want to thank each and everyone of you in the community and on counsel in the previous members and the current members and future members for all the work you do. I want to think each and everyone of you a GPO. Leadership and down to the very bottom. Every single one of you is critical to this. All of you collectively play a major role in helping to tame the democracy of our country by ensuring the public has free access to federal information. We are doing a good job but our mission is never accomplished. Here is the really great part. The future is looking bright. I'm so excited and I want to think counsel for all the incredible work and I am so excited about the future for counsel with chair elect Lisa over at Jefferson College who I know will be an incredible leader. I'm looking forward to the wonderful work coming out of that. Also for Renee willing to be the secretary. Another thankless but critical role that the depository library counsel has. Thank you all so much. At this point I just want to let you all know that all participants will be receiving a link in their email to the recording, the slides, the handouts and the survey. Please, everyone fill out the survey. Is a go in, the one thing I look forward to is I hope to hear more of these, the sound of the gavel because it means we are coming back together. We are persevering and we are fulfilling that great purpose we have. At this time, I'm going to go ahead and adjourn the spring 2022 depository library counsel virtual meeting. Thank you everyone.