

## DLC Business – Transcript of audio

Welcome back everyone. This is the DLC session. Will Stringfellow will get us started.

---

Thank you so much. Welcome to everyone. This is the business library counsel. To give you a brief overview of what will be taking place. We will conduct a roll call to establish a quorum for anybody and all matters. Will have the secretary get the approval of the meeting from the fall 2021 depository library counsel meeting. They will have the election of the secretary and each of the working groups in the depository library group will get to give a brief report as well. Will start for the roll call to establish the quorum. What I will do is I will call each member of the depository library counsel by name. Please respond verbally with either present or hear of some nature. I will go in order of those with the longest tenure. We will start with Rick Mikulski.

---

Present.

---

Laura Cyr.

---

Is it.

---

Will Stringfellow, I am present.

---

Jennifer Morgan.

---

Present.

---

Did get as appeared

---

Present.

---

Renee Bozeman.

---

Present.

---

Lisa Prichard.

---

Present.

---

Nikki Tate.

---

Present.

---

Moving to the first year members, Valerie Glenn.

---

Present.

---

Jennifer Kirk.

---

Present point

---

Richard Whitener. Allen Moyer .

---

Present point

---

Emma Quinn.

---

Present.

---

We have 12 of 13 members present, which is enough for a quorum. We will now move forward to the approval of the minutes from the DLC fall 2021 meeting. I will turn the microphone over to the secretary, Laura.

---

Thank you. I'm going to put a link to the minutes in the chat. The Petoskey toy library counsel has already reviewed these minutes. I'm going to make a motion that these minutes be accepted point

---

This is Jen Kurt, seconded.

---

Thank you point

---

We have a motion and a second to accept the minutes from the fall 2021 DLC meeting. At this point I will ask that all in favor of accepting the meeting -- minutes, please click the green arrows underneath the name. All opposed click the red X. All abstaining, nothing. Counting 11 in favor of accepting, one abstaining. The motion passes the minutes of the 2021 meeting are accepted. Thank you very much. We will now move on to the election of the secretary. At this point, I will ask is there anyone who would like to nominate a member for the secretary of the DLC. This would begin on June 1st of 2022. And I believe we had one nomination

---

That is great. I do need someone motion for the nomination.

---

I move that we accept the nomination on the floor.

---

Could you please name the nominee?

---

, That would accept Renee Possemen as our nominee.

---

This is Lisa Prichard. I second.

---

We have a motion and a second to accept Renee Bozeman as the DLC secretary starting June 1st of a 2022 . At this time,, by acclamation, since there are no other nominees, at this point, will ask for everyone to please vote, a green checkmark and favor, a red checkmark against, and do not check if you are abstaining.

---

The vote count is in. Once again we have 11 and favor of accepting, one abstaining. The motion passes. Renee Bozeman will be the DLC secretary beginning June 1st of 2022. Thank you so much and congratulations, Renee. Thank you for your service. And thank you all on the Council.

---

At this point, we will now move on to the working group reports. We will begin with the collection and discovery services. At this time, I am going to hand the ball over to the chair of that working group, Jennifer Moore. -- Jennifer Morgan.

---

Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Morgan. I am the government document library and the Jerome Hall law library at Indiana University. I'm the chair of the collection and discovery services working group you would like to share with you an update of our working group. The collection and discovery services working group is a standing subcommittee of the depository library counsel, established at the request of GPO in the fall of 2019. Our charge is to assist in coordinating the thoughts, research, plans, and efforts relating to cataloging and metadata and expanding and enhancing the discovery and access services that GPO provides to the depository community and stakeholders, and to engage in the depository community in these processes. As you can see on the slide, our current roster includes members from counsel, the government publishing office, and the federal depository library community. Councilmembers include myself, Will Stringfellow, Vicki Tate, Valerie Glenn. And from GPO, we have Cynthia Atkin, bank gal, and Stephen Karpen. Are depository community, Beth Downing, Stacy Fowler, Michael maybe, Elizabeth McDonald. An update on what we have been up to. I will preface this by a little background. At last year's spring meeting in April of 2021, the working group had an open forum that covered a wide range of issues. We talked about the ideal library technical services provider of the 21st century, a new series of item numbers for historical publications that was being explored by library services and content management. We talked about cataloging services and products that the depository community would find useful. Can we talk about any challenges or issues that the community would like to see the working group address. So to prepare for writing our report on the open forum, the working group reviewed the chat transcripts and video recording of the open forum, and we held multiple conversations about our findings. We completed the report on what we learned from the spring 2021 open forum, and I transmitted this report to Council last month on March 21st. At this time, I move that counsel accepted this report and share it with GPO.

---

Seconded. This is Jen Kirk.

---

Thank you, Jen.

---

We have a motion and a second to accept the report -- sorry, excuse me. We have a motion at a second to accept the report of the DLC open forum held in 2021. At this time, I will ask that all members of counsel in favor of accepting the report, please check the green mark. Those opposed, check the red X. Those abstaining, do not check anything.

---

The tally of the counts are 11 and favor and one of state. The motion passes.

---

Thank you, will. To continue with my update on this working group's activities, speaking to the second bullet point on the slide. Last year in 2021 we learned that GPO's library services and content management unit received funding for a next-generation CGP. The begin -- in the fall conference of 2021, working group held an open forum to find out what features the community would want in an ideal online catalog or discovery tool. We asked the federal depository library community to help plan the catalog to best serve them and their library patient patrons. The working group designed a series of discussion questions and pull questions to get feedback from the community on their use and training materials and topics. We asked the community for ideas, suggestions, and questions related to the catalog of U.S. Government publications. So following the same process that we used with our spring report, the working group reviewed the chat scripts and a video recording of the open forum, and we

discussed the data and our findings during our regular information. We completed writing a report on what we learned from the fall 2021 open forum on the new CGP, and I transmitted this report to counsel last week on April 6th. So now I move that counsel accept the working groups report on the fall open forum and share it with GPO.

---

I second.

---

This is Jen Kirk , I second. I think I spoke over someone.

---

We have a motion and a second to accept the report from the fall open forum of 2021 on the CGP of the future. At this time all I will ask all members were voting to click the green checkmark if you are in favor of counsel accepting the report. Click the red X if you're against accepting the report. Do not check anything if you are abstaining.

---

The vote count is 11 and favor, one abstaining. The motion passes and the counsel will accept the report from the fall open forum on the CGP feature. Take you very much.

---

Okay. To finish my update. Lastly for the working group has prepared a program for this meeting, the spring meeting, where we will review our two reports and share what we have learned from the depository library community. I hope that everyone can join us for our program today at 2:30 p.m. Eastern daylight Time, which follows this business meeting. That concludes my update on the collection and discovery services working group. Next, we will have the update on the digital deposits working groups. I will pass the control to Julia.

---

Thank you, Jennifer. I am Julia Ezzo . The digital deposit working group was submitted on March 25th of 2022. Included in that report, which can be found on FDLP .gov are the following recommendations. We recommend that GPO at the practices services and workflows for the collaborative acquisition of born digital and digitized federal government information for the national collection of the U.S. government public information.. We recommend that the scoping and implementation of a pilot project that explores the GPO to library approach to digital deposit in order to inform GPO and libraries of workflows needed to implement the digital content. With this, GPO should undertake a pilot project with one or so a small number of libraries to work out the details of a digital deposit library, in particular, a service where GPO facilitates the delivery of digital files to FDLP libraries. The pilot would use the findings from this groups interviews towards the project to export delivery issues, such as push versus pull, file tops, et cetera, that were brought to light by this groups interviews. Draft a high level workflow or notification and push/pull delivery mechanisms. Document the necessary training or garments to support delivery mechanisms. Identify opportunities for technical solutions or enhancements to support delivery mechanisms. And report back to the depository library counsel on the findings and outcomes of the processes explored in the pilot.

---

The digital deposit working groups the third recognition is that GPO should take steps to outline a vision of how policy, coronation, and support for digital deposit could fit with the current and future models of the FDLP. We asked that the final report of the digital deposit working group be presented to the recently announced a task force on a digital FDLP. Finally, to address knowledge gaps related to digital deposit, notifying GPO of unreported publications, and to better develop the surgical skills of government information professionals, we recommend the following Academy sessions. An introduction to digital deposit. Reporting the catalog, which would introduce the concept of catalog government publications and the processes for discovery and reporting. Explaining APIs and how deals can utilize

government for.gov APIs for collection of all. An export more effective searching within the catalog of government publications. I would like to thank the working group members and all of the wonderful volunteers from the FDL community. At this time, I would like to make a motion for counsel to accept the report of the digital deposit working group and consider the recommendations therein.

---

[ Indiscernible - overlapping speakers ]

---

It sounds like we almost got a second. If one person could go through and second, I think we had some cross interference with multiple people going. If one person can play second.

---

This is Amy. I second.

---

Thank you, Amy. We now have a motion and a second to accept the report from the digital deposit working group and for counsel to consider the recommendations submitted by that working group. At this time, I'll ask all members to please check the green checkmark if you are in favor. If your post, please press the red X per Qvar abstaining, do not check anything.

---

This time, the vote count is 11 and favor, one abstaining, the motion passes for counsel to accept the final report of the deposit working group and for counsel to consider the recommendations transmitted by the working group.

---

Thank you. At this time, I would like to make a motion to -- as the charge has been completed.

---

This is a Lisa Prichard. I second.

---

We have a motion and a second to sunset the working group since they have completed their charge. At this time, I will ask all members who were voting to please check the green arrow if you are in favor. Check the red X if you are opposed. Check nothing if you are abstaining.

---

The vote tally is 11 and favor, one abstaining. The motion passes for the digital deposit working group to sunset since their charge is complete. Thank you so much, Julia. Thank you to everyone for all of your hard work on this incredibly important working group.

---

Thank you, will. And thank you all. I'm going to head back over to Will Stringfellow for the durability of PURLS.

---

Thank you, Julia. It is my pleasure to give an update on exploring the durability of PURLS and their alternatives. For those of you who are not aware, here is the charge a summary from the working group. Notably, that GPO has utilized persistent uniform research locators or PURLS to provide access to online content since 1998. That is a pretty long while. With all of the new technologies and changes going on, counsel felt that it would be an appropriate time to investigate PURLS and the alternatives and to present their findings and recommendations to counsel. Here's the current working group membership. It is made up of members from the depository library counsel, myself, Renee Bozeman, Rick Mikulski, Allen Y, or separate from the U.S. government publishing office, as laid out and, Allen Bradley, and Cindy Atkin, who is the DFO. From the depository community, we have Alicia Tubas, James Jacobs, Sherry Luster, Robbie Settle. With both Alicia and Robbie, are you going to notice Asterix connected their name. That is to note that they started as members of this working group, they were members of the depository library counsel at the time. The terms ended. As such, they changed over to the community.

---

The activities of the working group, and this is mostly since it has taken place since the fall 2021 depository library counsel meeting. The working group is continued in the research into PURLs and other persistent identifiers. If you see the icon them PIDs, that stands for primary -- regular information sharing with the depository library counsel and there will be an additional couple of present presentations given at this very meeting, one from the working group later this afternoon and in the joint presentation with the digital deposit working group tomorrow.

---

Additionally, the working group has been not just researching, but compiling the findings them all of the different aspects, which included working community focus groups, literature reviews, and other research. Those are being compiled to create recommendations as well. Another thing that is working group has worked on, and there will be additional details for preliminary findings and recordation at the subsequent presentations cover, one of the big things that this working group has worked on our PID principles. I want to take a minute to explain where that comes from. Initially the working group had set up and was looking at the different types of identifier skin schema. That includes PURLs, deal wise and the various types. One of the things that the working group found that the our work is that we felt that a couple of aspects like some of the expertise to make just a really firm rolling on what would be the best system to go with. The other thing is that there's a lot of similarities amongst the PID's. We got that would not necessarily recommend any Pacific PID system, but how the PID systems should operate. If GPO is to look at a new system or improve a process system, it gives what the goal for the implementation of the PID. Those principles will be discussed later this afternoon. Additionally, the working group has been drafting the final report. That work continues. The anticipation is that the working -- the final report should be drafted up and completed within the next few weeks. Finally, the working group has also been drafting recommendations. At this point, we are on to several versions, and those are being crafted as well.

---

What is coming next? Completing the final report. As I just mentioned, that is expected to be completed within the next few weeks. That will also include recommendations as well. Additionally, the report is to be submitted along with the recommendations to counsel for consideration. And the consideration acceptance would actually take place in the fall 2022 depository library counsel meeting. And finally, the next part of this will be once the recommendation and final report have been submitted, the working group will have minutes charge and will be anticipated to sunset at that time. At this point, that is my update for the exploring -- exploration of the PURLs working group. It is my great pleasure to now introduce Rick Mikulski, who will get the long effects of COVID 19 on the working group. I will pass the ball over to Rick.

---

Hello, everyone. Thank you for joining this morning. A quick update on the long-term implications of the federal deposit libraries of the COVID 19 pandemic. This working group grew out of last spring's virtual session. We had an open discussion with members of the community. And after getting feedback and discussion from everyone in attendance, it was decided that it was necessary to look at both the immediate and long-term impact of COVID 19, particularly policies that the institution put in place to cope with the last two years of happenings. I won't read the charge to you, but generally speaking our goal is to get a sense of what the last couple of years of policies and how they have shaped the FDLP and its members. We are interested to see what kind of policies could be in place for triage positions that actually would be kept in place for a long period of time. Obviously, this is going to be ongoing work. We are in the early stages. But that is sort of the opening idea for the working group. In terms of our members, we currently have DLC members and members of GPO. This may expand as our project further develops. Right now from the DLC, we have Amy Quinn, Allen Moy, Lisa Prichard, Richard Leiter,

and myself, Rick Mikulski. From GPO, we have Laura flight, Abigail McDermott, and Cindy Atkin. In terms of activities since last meeting, we have formed in late 2021 to address this issue. The group has been compiling a bibliography with regard to works on this subject. As you can imagine, there wasn't a lot when we started the group. Now that people have had a year or so to publish on this, there is an increasing body of literature to review. We have been working probably most importantly with the GPO staff and other members of counsel to draft a specific question for the biennial survey. It was a question six. Apologies to all of you that had to do the question. It was a long multipart question. That was our fault. Once that information is in, the group is going to analyze that information and look for trends and identify the long-term impacts of COVID. We hope to have a preliminary report by the next DLC and fall of 2022. Our expectation is that the impact of this will be years and years and the making. We expect that after our initial study, this will be a topic that the DLC comes back to every few years to get a sense of the long-term trends and how it impacts things like selection, staffing, public access, all of these large issues. Those are the questions that we are getting it now.

---

Until then, we are waiting to get the biennial survey information back. After we get a chance to look at that and analyze it thoroughly, we will report back to DLC. I will hand it over to Allen.

---

Can everyone hear me? I presume so.

---

This is one of the newest working groups I believe. We actually just kind of form the since January. It is the unique challenges, underserved populations and FDL's working group. The focus is on trying to understand some of the challenges that certain libraries may be facing and how we can actually assist them. After a presentation at the fall conference, where I think some folks presented some issues that they were faced with. I think a lot of it was related to COVID. But we found that some of these issues may be endemic. There was some discussion amongst the Council about how we might be able to find out more about this and how we can take some recommendations for making some changes. I think it was in December, we forwarded the letter to the director asking if we could form a working group to study this. And he agreed and responded back in January enthusiastically. He said that he approved the creation of a working group to explore the needs and possible solutions related to federal depository library since I had the unique challenges are serve primarily underserved populations. Here is our charge basically. We are looking at the libraries at affinity focused institutions as well as other FDL's with unique needs and challenges and how those challenges may affect their ability to provide access to tangible and digital resources. The goal is to try to come up with some possible solutions and provide recommendations and waited GPO can better support them. Our task is to deliver a report to the director by March 31st, 2023. We are really just getting started. We had her first organizational meeting last week. I should go back. The membership consisted of myself, Amy Quinn, who is also co-care, Jennifer Morgan, Renee Guzman, Jamie Hayes, Todd Scuderi, Celeste Welch, and Cynthia Atkin. The only thing that we did was we met and wanted to talk about the housekeeping and how often we would meet. And two, narrow down some of these topics, we had a discussion about the type of libraries that we would focus on. We said that would include certainly travel libraries and ACB use. We also identified small public library's and rollovers that may have the as well as some territorial arbors. Further discussion, we talked about some of the barriers to access, which include lack of reliable broadband, transportation, literacy issues. Funding and staffing run together. Then maybe state and local government support or lack thereof. Maybe some recommendations that we could make in that regard.

---

Our next meeting is scheduled for April 18th. We will be moving forward from there. And I think that -- this is just to review everything. I believe I am turning it back over to Kelly. Is it?

---

Yes, you can pass me back the ball. Thank you. We haven't had any questions come in yet. Does anyone have any questions for the group?

---

Thank you, Kelly. I will give one last chance if anyone from counsel has any questions that they would like to ask about any of the business or if anyone's in the community, go ahead and type it into the chat. For those of you who are chatting in anything right now, I just want to let everyone know, if you would like to learn more about the depository library counsel, please visit the website on the side and in the chat. This will give you all sorts of information on everything from the recommendations and other papers and final reports on the depository library counsel. You will also be able to see some of the work from the working groups, some of these additional final reports and that type of information. Additionally, history of the DLC is on there along with information about members current and past and the officers and chairs and additionally about the events of the meetings and conferences and all of that information is readily available there.

---

All right. At this time, I am not seeing any questions in the chat. I am not seeing any hands up from members of counsel. I am thinking that we could maybe closeout this session a little bit early. All right. With that being said, I will turn it back over to Kelly who can let us know about the next session coming up.

---

Thank you, or will. We have a bit of a break now. Going back it to: 15 Eastern with the future CEP session in the same room. We will see you soon.