Please standby for Realtime Captions. hello everyone. We're just doing another sound check. And we will be getting started in just a couple minutes. Thanks. .

>>> Sorry. It looks like I got muted somehow. Sorry about that. If you still can't hear us, chat us. If you still can't hear us, let us know, Cory is going to start over.

>>> Good afternoon, everyone. We're going to move forward here. But before we actually get into today's session, which is entitled FTLP exchange and future development. I want to do a quick introduction. My name is Cory Holder. And I am the tech support for today's session. And with me here today, we have presenters of today's session, Anthony Smith and Lisa Russel. Anthony is the chief of projects and systems. And Lisa is the senior program analyst. And they both work for GPO. Now, before we get started, I'm going to walk through a few housekeeping reminders. If you have any questions or comments on the presentation, please feel free to chat them in the chat box located at bottom right hand corner of your screen. I will keep track of all questions that come in, and at the end of the presentation, Anthony and Lisa, they will respond to each of them. And we are also recording today's session. And we'll email a link to the recording and slides to everyone who registered for this webinar. Now, in terms of viewing your session, if you need to zoom in on the slides being shown by the presenter, you can click on the full screen button at the bottom left side of your screen. To exit the full screen mode, mouse over the blue bar at the top of your screen so it expands, then click on the blue return button to get back at the default view. And then finally at the end of the session, we're going to have a survey that you all can fill out. Regarding today's discussion. We will let you know when the survey is available. And the URL will appear in the chat box. We appreciate all of your feedback. We want to know what you have to say about today's session. And also feel free to chat in the chat box if you have anything you would like to say or any questions that you would like to ask. And also if you have any technical issues or if you are unable to hear, please ensure that you chat that in the chat box. And then I will address the issue. And with that, I'm going to hand things over to Anthony.
>> Thank you, Cory. Greetings, everyone. Let me start by thanking you guys for joining us today. Our team here at DPO is pretty excited about seeing some of the ideas that started as a concept, actually begin to take shape and become a practice for the program. My job today is to put some context around why we think this is important. And then Lisa is going to walk you through some of the more interesting aspects of how we plan to collectively nurture and care for the FTLP exchange. Which by the way is the first digital service that is part of the reimagined suite that we call the library services system. So what is LFS? It was conceived as a way to improve organizational work flow and business processes while leveraging new technology features and functionality that enhance services for the public, for depository libraries, and for us here at GPO. Work flow being a key aspect here. The concept of work flow efficiency is one that we identified early on in the conceptual design phase for LSS. Although I should say that we recognize there are real limitations due to program size and resource availability. We did, and I think we still do have a realistic view of what can be done with a relatively small team and budget. In other words, I mean, no one from our team ever said hey, you know, we should try to do it like GOOGLE, right? What I did here, and what I have heard from our folks many times since coming to work at GPO is that the best return on investment will come from engaging our community of experts. So in 2016, we conducted the FDLP work practice study. And we brought in an ethnographer as a consultant to observe the practices of people in depository libraries and the ways in which they enteric with different automated systems. The study affirmed a number of things we suspected, including the need to develop a service to support the disposition process. Today's virtual discussion is the first of a series that we're planning to hold on a quarterly basis. And these virtual discussions were designed to be an opportunity to share our plans and also to gather input from the community. And this is why we've opted to refer to them as virtual discussions instead of webinars. This is a virtual discussion. In order for

this thing to work though, we really need to hear from you all. Since this session is the first, we're going to spend a bit more time today kind of laying out where we are in the process. And what we hope to accomplish with these sessions. Now, we envision that the future sessions will allow more time for community discussion and less of us talking. Now, before I turn it over to Lisa, I'd just like to say one last thing, I've worked in and around ITM libraries already a long time. And never have I had a privilege of working with a more knowledgeable or dedicated group of folks as we have here in content management. I just want you all to know we're truly in good hands with these guys. They do topnotch work. And I'm very excited about some of the upcoming projects that I'm going to plug. FDLP.gov modernization is the next big thing for us. De Sims modernization will also bring improved functionality and better capabilities for managing your selection profiles. Now, with that, I'm going to get out of the way and let Lisa, who happens to be a key team lead for our LSS deployment get us going here with the first virtual discussion.

>>> Thanks, Anthony. As Anthony said, this is intended to be a virtual discussion. Since we've got a lot of sort of laying the ground work today since it's the first one. We're probably going to be more talking today than we will in the future. I'll get into a little bit more details about that later. But just to give you an idea, we do see this as a discussion. If you have anything that you want to -- that you want to say during this, you should actually have your MIC muted but if you want to go ahead and chat, I won't really respond to your chat during the slide presentation but we've got some time at the end for the -- for more discussion, and we'll try to capture your stuff then. First I wanted to start with just sort of a general overview of how development works. And some of you have probably heard this all ready. Normally, when we're developing a new system, we kind of go through a process where we draft requirements and then we go through procurement. Once we have done that, and we start working with, you know, if we're working with contracted developers, we'll do discovery with them. And that's kind of a process of going through and making sure that they really understand the requirements. Because there's always, you know, there's some things that get lost in translation or whatever. So we go through that. And we call that discovery. Once everybody is clear on what it is that we want. Then we go through the development cycle and build the tools. And then once it's ready, you know, we'll test it. And then we launch. So now we've got our initial development done. And the next steps really are to incorporate sort of a new cycle in order to add additional functionality for the -- for the next round. so now we're incorporating enhancements into this system. And we kind of start -- the process is to start with gathering user feedback to -- we've been maintaining a list of potential enhancements which becomes a requirements log. And then we kind of try to prioritize those enhancements. And in that process, we also look at things that, you know, is this something that would go into a point release? If it's more of a minor release. Or if it's more of a major release. And if you think of microsoft, is this going to be like windows 20 or whatever they're going to call the next one. Or is this, you know, version 20.1. That's the difference between a point release and a major release. An example for our situation is that we actually -- we're really looking at a point release now. But we have some things that we know we want to incorporate at some point in order to be able to better support preservation stewards through the system. But that's really more of a major release. Because it's going to require a lot more sort of redoing some of the algorithms and work flows, and it's more reprogramming and reconfiguring the system. So that's something that goes into consideration when we decide which of the requirements we're going to do in any given round. And as I said, this time we decided to focus on a point release because we know we've just got the system out there. And we really want to stabilize it and do a point release to do some enhancements but without having to start into reworking it to do the next major release. And another thing that we can consider with that, sometimes there are things that just sort of logically group together. And that's another thing that we consider. Once we've decided what it is that we want to do in the next round of development, then we go through the whole procurement cycle

again and we go back through the process of working with the developers on the discovery, and the launch. I said that in the wrong order, the development and the launch. Obviously, we want to keep the community involved in this process because we've gotten a lot of great feedback from you. And we want to continue to get that feedback and make sure that we've got the feedback loops open. There's a series of virtual discussions as part of that. But we will also be -- you know, there are kind of two parts of keeping the community involved. And one is us communicating to you what we're doing. Which I show in this slide. The next one's really talking about getting input from you. So as far as keeping the community informed, we've got all the feedback that we've gathered to date. And that's sort of our initial requirements log. We're also revamping the project website on FDLP.gov to include development information. And on that, we're going to be sharing our requirements log and our known issues log. You can think of this a little bit as the requirements log is more stuff that will be new development. Where as -- and what we want the new development to do. The known issues log is more like bugs. Things that -- things that may have been working and they broke. Or something's just not quite right with it. We're taking the existing -- the existing page and there's a lot of operational information on there. So we're going to fold that into the guidance and the instructions. So it's still there. But it will be not all together on that project page. The development stuff, we don't really have FDLP.gov currently doesn't really have a place for a development site. But as we get into the modernization that Anthony mentioned, that's something that we're going to be doing. Some reworking. For now, we'll probably put the development stuff in a project page, even though it's really more development than a project at this point. I did include a link here to the project page. You can go there. If it's something that you think the tool should do but you're not sure if maybe it all ready does it and you just haven't found it. There's a lot of information there to help you use the tool. Some of that is going to be worked into the guidance and instructions as I mentioned. We currently have -- there are templates and sample spread sheets for up loading materials. We've also got a data dictionary which helps you figure out how to fill out the templates. And just we've also got the tip of the week, which we've been doing since maybe November. I done remember when we started.
>> I think it was August. September. I can't remember.
>> I was thinking we started after council. But I could be wrong about that. We've been doing that. That's been well received. We're keeping those available. If there's something that's not working right, you may be able to find information there. Okay. The other part of community involvement is gathering additional feedback. We want to continue gathering input through questions, educational sessions and presentations like we've been doing. We've really gotten, you know, as part of the development cycle, we've shown prototypes and so forth, we've gotten a lot of comments and feedback, and really some great ideas out of that. We did a session after ALA out in Washington. And we got some good ideas there too. So even though it's, you know, we might actually be there to train you on how to use the system, if you've got ideas, we'd love to hear them. And we want to continue that. We are also developing a form for enhancement suggestions. That is still under development. So stay tuned for that. And then the virtual discussions like we're doing today is another part of that. We are planning to do those quarterly. We haven't scheduled them out for the rest of the year. But tentatively, they're planned to be quarterly. Some of the future sessions we may have a particular topic that we want to really get discussion on and find, you know, sometimes in more of a discussion, you can get some good feedback that you wouldn't get if it's just like a send us your comments kind of thing. So we'd like to be able to ask some discussions on that. A couple topics we have in mind are we'd like to be able to develop an analytics dash board to get statistics and reports from the system. And another thing is currently, once you've submitted an item, you can't get at it. And there are some reasons for that. But we kind of want to talk through what situations you should be able to add it. And what situations you shouldn't be able to add it. If we should just leave it as it is. Or get an idea from the community of what would work. And I think that might work better as a -- as a discussion rather than just trying to, you know, send us your

comments. So that's how we're planning to keep the community involved. plans for current development cycle. We're currently procuring resources for the next round of development. The requirements are based on the feedback we've received to date. And again, we've split those into things like point releases and major developments. The stuff that I mentioned earlier. Related to supporting preservation stewards would be a major development. That's not in the next release. But it's something we still have in the requirements log. The whole procurement process may end up impacting what's developed. So what I'm going to present today is what we've got in mind sorted of at a high level that we want to to do in the next round. Sorry. Somebody's asking me to talk a little bit slower. So I'll try. I'm trying to get through this. And leave enough time for the discussion at the end. And it's the next section that I think's really going to be intensive. So I was trying to get through this quickly. But I will try to slow down. So what follows on the next I think three screens is just an overview of the enhancements that we've currently got planned and again, it will depend on the procurement what we end up implementing.Okay. So first thing we have listed is an imitate/emulate function. That would allow GPO users to log in as themselves. And be able to see what a user in a library is seeing. So this will help, you know, if you're calling in with a question about, you know, hey, I'm trying to do this. And it's not quite working for me. It's kind of hard to do that over the phone when we can't see what you're seeing. We've got a little bit slightly different in our face with those kinds of things. So this would allow us to put ourselves in your shoes, thank you, Anthony. And it will also help for, you know, when you contact us, sometimes it's just something that we need to explain to you how to use it. And sometimes it's something that's not working the way it's supposed to. And if it's one of those things that's not working the way it's supposed to, it needs to go into a bug report. Need to fix it, we need to be able to see the problem and replicate the problem. And so it would also help with that. So that's the emulate/imitate function. We use both words. We put both of them there. We also are looking at both processing for mark discarded and similar functions. We heard from a number of people. And I think I saw in the chat, I see it again there. That people would like to be able to discard as a bulk action. And you know, when we started looking at that, it's like well, there are probably some other things like if you -- if you mailed a box to somebody who requested maybe ten items, if you could just check those ten items and say -- mark mailed, that would be a lot easier than having to do each one individually. Which is sort of the same as the mark discarded. So we're going to try to do some more bulk processing in the next version. Visibility of library information. We had a request from somebody that, you know, if a library requests something, an offer that you've posted. In order to get their shipping information right now, you basically have to message them. And in order to not have to rely on that messaging so much, there's a suggestion to be able to show that shipping information. There are also a couple other places, like in the list of the things that are available, I think it just shows the library name. Which in terms of just the realestate on the screen, that's probably all we could fit. Maybe if you could mouse over and see something a little bit longer. If it's like the Ford library, it's like well the Ford library at what institution or whatever. Something that would give you a little bit more information about who posted that need or that offer, whichever it is. display expiration date. Currently most of the dates, those types of dates that are in there are the dates that are -- the dates things are posted. But because different regions have different review periods. If you're offering nationally, each library can have different review periods. That strategically, when you're looking at stuff from other regions, those posted dates don't really help you a whole lot. Because it doesn't let you know when something's going to go away, you know, if I see something today, I don't know if today is the last day or if it's been in the region for 60 days and I just saw it today. And it's only going to be there for another five days or if it's going to be there for another week or whatever. If we display the expiration dates. That gives people a little bit more information to go on as far as that kind of stuff goes. And it also links into another thing that I'll get to later. We are also doing a do not need list for regionals. This is part of, you know, initially we wanted to build this to help process. Basically just help with the weeding process. But then as we've gotten into it, preservation stewards program came along. And so there were some other things, libraries are wanting to use it for collection development purposes and those sorts of things. So you know, if there's something that -- if everyone in your region happens to be offering reading hearings at the same time, your regional's probably not going to review the same thing from eight different libraries. But we would like to be able to enable you to put that in the system so it can be offered outside the region. So that if there is a preservation steward or someone else in your region. Or someone in another region who wants that material, you can still use the materials offered without forcing the regional to review each time something is submitted. So, you know, and that's one of the things that we'll have to go through the discovery and development process to see how it will really work. But kind of the concepts for that is that if a regional sees something and it's like oh yeah, I think probably a lot of people are going to be offering this, I want to just put it out there. I've got it. I don't need another copy. They can put that on their do not need list. When a selective offers it. It hits against the do not need list. And then it just goes on to the next phase. So that's how the do not need list. And then we would also, I don't think it was in our original concept. But we've heard from some libraries that they would like to be able to make that information available to their selectives so that a selective would then be able to go in there and look at the list of the things that they don't need. We've had some issues with the shipping state being required. There were reasons we made that required. We thought it made it easier for regionals to make sure people are meeting the five-year rule. However, there are a lot of cases where people just don't have that information. And it is a lot of extra work to try to track that information down. So we have built in a few work arounds at this point. To be able to get around that. But one of the things we've gotten is to just not make that required so that you won't have to -- we would encourage you to put it in if you have, it can help your regional determine whether you've held it for five years or not. But we're going to make that not required going forward. okay. Another thing that we have is to change the list of libraries in the drop down filters. Currently, it shows all the libraries that are in this system. Rather than, you know, if you're looking at a list of offers, and you wanted to filter by the library, if you have a specific library rather than having to filter through 1100 libraries in the program, if you could just filter through the, you know, 600 that have something in there. Or the 200 that have something in there. Whatever makes that easier. That's one of the things that we've got in there for the next round of development. We also have it in there to archive items that are completed. That have all ready completed processing. So if you've offered something. And it's gone through the process. And nobody has requested it. And you've discarded it. That would go into the archive. If you have a need that you posted that someone has -- you filled the need that somebody has given you the material, that would go into the archives. Those kinds of things. We wanted to keep that information in the system. So that we have it for statistical reports and so on later. You can currently filter to only show certain statuses. But that's additional steps to be able to do that every time. And so we would be setting it as the default. So that the default is that those archived items wouldn't show. But you would still be able to view them by maybe checking a box as they show archived items or something like that. We're also looking at doing some data infrastructure enhancement. I mentioned that one of the things we probably want to talk about in a future session is what we would want from an analytics module. We do have some very, very rudimentary -- I'm installing software, that's interesting. I apologize in advance if anything suddenly goes away. But hopefully not. And Cory's on it. Any way. Sorry for that distraction. Data infrastructure enhancements. Right now, the system captures data on the items that are in there but it's really related to the libraries that have posted the items. But there's no transactional data. So if I'm looking for -- if I'm a regional, and I'm looking for information on all the items that I have passed on, there's no way currently to get that. And I'm not sure if that's all being captured in table data in the background in order to be able to build those reports out. So in this round, we're trying to sort of get that table data built out so that the data itself is there. So that then we can go back and build in the next round build an analytics data -- dash board, that's the word I'm looking for. Dash board or module or something along that line. So that's kind of what we're looking at there. We also had a request I think actually in one of the very first DEMOS we did of one of the prototypes is maybe we should have a second in the record. And you know, we'll have to figure out how that works in terms of the matching. But that is something that makes a lot of sense to put it in there. Because then we can, you know, if it's one of those cases where we send something out under one number. And then correct it, if it may have been wrong. Or maybe it was part of a serial that we created a new stem for the serial later and moved everything together into one stem. So there's a new sue dot number. That would allow you to put both sue doc numbers in the record. We're also looking at doing an -- function. And this is one of those things that sometimes is a little bit annoying when you're trying to do something and you're like yes, I'm sure I want to do this. But it would also be helpful. We've had a few cases where someone has accidentally up loaded the same spread sheet twice. And so this would give them an opportunity to catch that. So you know, I up loaded and oops, I'm doing it again, I don't want to duplicate everything. So I'm going to click no when it asks me if I'm not sure. Or if I am sure. Sorting and filtering in the mobile view. This was one of those things that, you know, when we were testing, we didn't spend a whole lot of time testing the mobile view. And we kind of -- it seemed like there's so much information in there it's kind of like is anybody really going to do this on a cell phone? So we looked to make sure you could view the list and everything was fine. Someone came up to me after council and showed it to me on her mobile phone and it's like oh yeah, you actually cannot sort and filter in the mobile skew. So that's something that we want to add. And it actually does look better on a cell phone than I would have expected. Which was good to see. You can't sort by sue doc number and take it directly to the stacks or those kinds of things. That's something we want to be able to add. The next one is something that you guys probably aren't aware of or if you are aware of it, we actually had a question -- we actually had a question about this earlier today. When we first -- when we first started -- when we first set up the system, we pulled data from the directory in order to populate all of the libraries. But in the discussion, the development discussion, we decided that we didn't want to -- we didn't want to update from the directory on, you know, a quarterly basis. Because that had the potential of, if you've gone in there and added, you know, maybe you're depository coordinator isn't the person who uses the system the most. Maybe you've got somebody else who does it. We didn't want to over right those kind of things or possibly wipe out accounts by updating from the directory. So what we're doing -- so we kind of planned it to just be manual updates. Which makes sense. For the most part, in a works. In thinking that through, one of the things that we over looked is that when we up loaded the data initially, we set all of the -- all of the regionals and regional review periods and the selective review periods were set for 45 days. But regionals, of course, can then change it to whatever works for their region. And I'm going to use Tennessee as an example. We had a question from them this morning. They're using it only to offer nationally. So they've actually changed their regional and selective review periods to zeros. But since we hadn't planned on updating the library information in the system, that information doesn't get updated in the selectives display. So -- but if the selective goes in there and updates their library account, then it will get updated at that point. It grabs the information from the regional and pulls it in and says my library changes from 4545 to 00. So that would show up in the selectives library account information. And actually a caveat that -- that's how it was developed. Right now that's not quite working correctly. And I wouldn't mention this except it's a good example of what would go in the known issues verses what would go in the requirements. So being able to, you know, when the regional changes those time periods have that push to all the selectives in their region. That's a development issue that would go on the requirements logs. What's not quite working with that correctly right now is that somewhere along the line, instead of grabbing that information from the regional, it's switched over to sort of going back to that default. So right now, you know, I mentioned that Tennessee brought this up to us earlier today. If the regional has their time frame set for 0 and 0. But if one of the selectives goes in and updates their library profile, it's changing the information in their display. And so they're changing it to zero and zero.

It's changing it to 45 and 45. So it's changing it back to the default rather than the information from the regional. And that's something that would go in the known issue logs. Which we'll be sharing with all of you just so that you know kind of what issues are out there that we're aware of that we're working to fix. That's something that would be more of a near term fix. It has to go into the development cycle. The known issue goes into the book log. Which we sort of handle on a first-in, first-out basis. last slide in enhancements. We'll be turning it over for discussions.The reason we have this in with the development. Changing the language is a fairly easy fix. But it's something that we don't have access to. We have to give that to the developers and say please change this from A to B. And we also have to be a little bit careful with that. Because we do have data in the system.And we want to make sure that we're not changing the slay tags to say something that changes the meaning of the data. And what I mean by that, for one example, currently we have postage requirement field. Which is a yes-no field. And if your library is able to pay postage, if your library is able to pay postage, if you're the one posting it, you should be marking yes. And if you can't, then you should be marking no. And we've had some suggestions that we should change that tag to instead of, I think right now it says postage required. People have suggested that we should change that to my library will require postage. Which then changes the meaning of the response. So basically, in effect, if we did that, we're changing yeses to no's, and no's to yeses. I checked yes, we have over 38,000 needs in the system. And nearly 168,000 offers. So if we were to change a tag in that regard, we would be basically upsiding the data in all of those records. So that's something that we do have to be cognizant of. Just so you know, if you've got suggestions on how we can clarify the language, we'd love to hear them. But I just want to throw that out there as something to be aware of. And related to that, we also have heard from a whole lot of libraries that they can pay for postage in certain situations and not in others. So one of the things that we're planning to do is add a maybe option. And that might be something that if you check the maybe box, maybe then at that point, it gives you a feel to fill that in similar to how if you check the box for, you know, if you're entering a range, and you check the box to indicate it's multiple piece. Then you get a note field that opens up that allows you to put in the notes that are specific to the multiple pieces. Maybe there would be a new box that came in that said, you know, I can pay for postage in these situations but not in those situations. So that's one of our developments. Review periods offer nationally. We've heard from a lot of people that they might have certain items that they want to offer put out there for longer periods or shorter periods in some cases. You know, if you're selective, you would still need to abide by the regional periods. But you know, like some people have said that you know, maybe they don't normally want to offer nationally. But there might be some items. Maybe some might have more historical value or whatever. That they do want to make sure that they offer nationally. And being able to do that, you know, at a peace level when you're entering the items, say, you know, this one, my default is no, I'm not offering nationally. But I do want to offer this particular one nationally. So that's something that we are going to have to do a lot of talking through to figure out how that's going to work. But that's something we're looking at doing in this round as well. Filter by availability date. Currently, in the advanced search, there is -- it gives you the ability to limit your search based on the date something was posted. Which in theory is helpful in terms of if you're saving a search and going back and running it once a week or whatever. You can just search and get the things that have been -- that have been entered in the past week. Where that becomes complicated is with the whole -- the whole scenario where each region has different -- different review periods. And you don't see things in the advanced search until you're able to request them. So if you are going in and setting it once a week, if you only -- if you search by the things that are posted in the past week, if there's something that's been in another region that has been in review for 60 days over there, and you're just getting the first opportunity to see it, then that would be something that you would miss those items. Because it wasn't posted in the past week. So if we can change that so it's filtering rather by when the item is posted but when the item became available to me. I can say show me everything that's become available to me in the past week. And then you don't miss things in that way. Because of different review periods. I hope that kind of made sense. I've known it so well that I kind of figure I'm making sense for people who might be hearing it for the first time. Change regional review cue to use a number as a secondary storage. This is something that came up. We were talking to a regional who seemed to be going through all kinds of -- seemed to me to be a lot of extra effort to try to make sure she was doing things in the order -- so that she was reviewing the oldest stuff first. Which is how that regional review sorts things. And it wasn't until later that I realized that the problem is it sorts by, you know, so the stuff that's going to expire soonest is gong to show at the top of the list. -- that is going to expire the soonest is at the top of the list. But you might 100 things that today is your last day. Within that 100 it's currently sorting by title. And that makes it hard to just take it to the stack. And see if you've got the stuff. Because you know, your stacks are going to be probably in C doc order. Or if you're in a different classification, by something else. And while you can change the sort to sort by classification, then you lose that filter of what's coming in -- you know, first-in, first-out. So by making the sue doc number the secondary sort, that makes it easier to take the default view and go to the stacks and be able to check it that way. So hopefully that will make that a little bit easier. And the last thing that we wanted to mention is to enhance the sue doc validations currently the sue doc validation is kind of based on the standard of -- that standard sue doc format of letter, number, dot number, colon, whatever. And for most of the current stuff, that works, but there are some older things like older serial set volumes. Older hearings. That -- and also the house and senate journals that don't follow that format. So we want to enhance -- and so currently, if you put in one of those older serial set volumes with the correct number that doesn't follow that format, it's not going to accept it. You can actually mark it as other just to get it in the system. In case anyone's dealing with that. I'll mention that now. But then you lose the ability to see that as a sue doc number. So we have some examples that we can use to show them the patterns so they can update the algorithm that will allow you to -- that will allow the system to accept those older serial set volume ands the older hearings and the house and senate journal. And I done remember offhand if there were any other examples. But we've got a list of about 12 scenarios I think where there are different sue doc numbers that are correct even though they don't follow the standard pattern. So that is the list of the -- oops -- sorry. I forgot I have to advance the slide at the top instead of just by hitting return. So that's what we have planned at this point. And this is where we are going to turn it over for community discussion. Since you all have your mics muted the best way to do that is through the chat. Go ahead and chat whatever you have. Anything -- if we start getting enough stuff through the chat that we can't keep up with it, we'll be reviewing them after the session to make sure we captured anything. And if there are questions that we didn't get to during the session, we'll respond -- we'll find some place to post those later. If you have comments or questions later after this session, please feel free to send those to us through the FTLP outreach at GPO.gov email. And those will be sent to the development team and we'll go through them and you know, do whatever we need to do with them. So let's see. I'm going to scroll back up and see. I saw some comments coming in. Let's see. this is the beginning of the comments. so we've got a comment from Phil. That one development enhancement exchange would be current date posting for offers and needs without unnecessary clicks and posts are limited in requests. I'm not sure what that means. So Phil, if you have anything to clarify that, that would be helpful. We've got a comment from Page. I would love to have a bulk discard option. I think we covered that. Agreement on bulk mark as mailed. And edit option or be able to delete an item would be helpful. That was something that -- I mentioned that might be something that we want to ask a discussion on. The reason currently -- that's one of those things that seems logical. That you want to edit it. But when you start thinking about how it's going to work through the process, it gets a little bit murkier. Some of the problems there are if you edit something, at what point does the edit become something that actually changes the nature of what's posted. You know, if you post something and your regional reviews it and it goes on and you realize you made a mistake in the sue doc number or the title does that make it something that the regional is going to think they've

reviewed something else and maybe they're going to want to see that again. Or on the other hand, if you've got something where you've offered a range, and you know, you offer 1972 to 1985. And somebody -- somebody requested 72 to 78, that would make sense to be able to edit it and not have to go back to your regional and have the regional review it again. So that's one of the things where it might make sense to talk that through with the community and say does this work for you? Does that work for you? I have this vague memory during the development discussion. I could be wrong. But I think we had talked about possibly setting it so that you could -- that any edits to the title or the sue doc number would have to go back to the regional and other edits wouldn't. But I believe we were told that they couldn't lock it down base on field. But I'm not positive about that. So if and when we have that discussion through one of these seminars or through some other forum. It will -- we'll get clarification on that before we come to you guys with the discussion. let's see, what else. We've got agreement that we need the bulk discard option. Second sue doc field would be helpful. Especially with cataloging changes. Someone likes the idea of the explanation box for postage. let's see. I've got a comment from Alisha. Maybe I missed this. But the planned enhancements you outlined in these presentations those that will be coming out with the next major release or are these going to be spread out over various releases ? sort of an in between. It's the next release but it's not the next -- it's the next point release. So it's not a major release in the same way that, you know, working in the preservation steward enhancements would be a major release. This is a point release rather than a major release. But it is something that we're kind of, you know, a lot of it's going to depend on the procurement cycle and then once you get into procurement. And once you've got the procurement, then you have to look at a timeline of how long things are going to actually take to build and so forth. But you know, we've kind of got a general idea that we want to work towards a one-year -- sort of an annual -- so these are things probably in the next -- in the next year, but it would be stuff that would all be coming out at once. Where as that stuff on the known issues log, like the thing where selectives, information being updated, to the default instead of updated to match the regional. That would be things that will be rolled out as we fix. If that makes sense. . Let me know if I need to clarify that. When are these enhancements scheduled to happen? As I mentioned, we are still in the procurement process. And a whole lot depends on it. We can't even start developing it until we're through the procurement process. And then once we've done that, we'll have to figure out how long -- how long it's going to take to resolve things. So that's really up in the air at this point. Stay tuned. Okay. We've got a clarification. On the log in. And direction to needs and offers. The current state as of 2-13-19 for current postings. You must click for the most recent date to be seen. Okay. Okay I think I understand that. Thank you. Thank you for that clarification. Another comment. For editing it would be nice to at least be able to add a general note to an item. That makes sense. Any time frame for the next release? I think you probably chatted that before I responded to the previous one. Probably we're looking at maybe the next year. But don't quote us on anything. Because it's still really up in the air right now. We've got a question -- okay. I think this is actual hey follow up to his comment. So these will be over all systems. Not just exchange. So if, Phillip, if you mean that that's what you would like to see is that you would like to see it across all systems, great. In terms of this discussion. And the things that I laid out today, these are really just for exchange. And not for the other systems. Okay. Lindsay says this is related to the comment about deleting items. I usually offer items on both exchange and via list serves when items are requested outside of the exchange I'm not sure how to mark the item in the exchange. They aren't discarded. But they aren't kept either. That makes sense. That might be something we need to -- there might need to be an additional status there or something.
>> Just to go back, this is Anthony. To go back to Phil's comment/question. I mean I think certainly as we move through modernization of other systems, I mean there's a lot of lessons learned here. That will carry over into -- I mean we can't go back and reengineer legacy systems. It really wouldn't make sense to do that I think. But yes, I think to answer your question, I think yeah, moving forward, there's a lot we can take.

>> Yes.
>> That will carry over into new system deployment.
>> Yeah, and I should specify, we are really, you know, Anthony mentioned the LSS at the beginning. We are really, as parted of that effort, trying to move towards getting all of our systems to work better together. So they won be as disparate. -- so they won't be as disparate. So in that sense, none of the enhancements that I discuss today will be things we implement in the ILS for example. But these are things that when we get everything working the way we have everything working together.
>> You know that CGP functionality. Just the functionality that happens within the CGP could be a beneficiary of the work that we're doing as a community here today.
>> Right.
>> Okay. Let's see. It appear THAAD the LSS -- appeared that the LSS seemed to be a conglomeration. I guess that's one way to think of it. I think our vision is that, you know, ultimately, when we get to with where we want to be. We'll have an interface that you might be accessing exchange. You might be accessing CGP or maybe both of them somehow. But you'll have one interface to go to. That then will give you really seamless access to all of our different systems. Yeah.
>> Yeah. I think if you're speaking in terms of the conglomeration being composed of all of the functional needs of the program, yes. because that's the perspective with regard to LSS. That we need to make sure we're addressing all aspects of work flow and functionality to make the program work effectively. So yeah, I don't know if that's what you were referring to. But that's at least a thinking behind the approach for LSS.
>> So we've got about two minutes left. I done know if anybody has any additional comments that you'd like to put out there in a chat.
>> Hey, this is Anthony. I have something I wanted to just sort of toss out here. To see what you guys are -- if you have any thoughts or ideas on. Lacy and I talked -- I guess earlier this week. ALA annual is here in DC. In June. And we've been talking a bit about okay, well, how can we take advantage of that opportunity? I think Lisa mentioned, you know, wanting to maybe offer as a suggestion, maybe wanting to offer more training, but the sky's the limit to a certain extent. [LAUGHTER]
>> Right.
>> So we're open to ideas from you all as it relates to FDLP exchanges. Focus groups. Is there something related to development that we may want to sort of try to see if we might be able to put together given that we'll all be in the same place in June. So just wanted to put that out there. Think about that. If you have ideas around that, let us know. We're still kind of working on what we might -- what we might try to put together for that session. .
>> Thanks, Anthony for adding that. We've got a comment from Alisha that I'm assuming there will be a news and events email sent out as points or major releases are rolled out to notify the community about things that have been changed, fixed, added.
>> Yes, that's correct. We are planning to do that as far as the major releases go. As far as the known issue log goes, we're kind of still working through how that's going to work out. But in some cases, like if you reported a problem, we might get back to you to let you know that the problem has been fixed. But then we'll also update that log so that anyone who might have seen it on the log and not reported it also knows that it's been fixed. And that's something that will be on the website. Which again, we're still working on that.
>> Yeah, yeah. Where exactly it will live. And how it will be maintained. And that sort of thing. We have to sort of sort that out. But the general goal objective is to sort of make that information public and shareable. And try to keep it up to date for the most part.
>> Okay.
>> It looks like we're out of time. If anybody has any other comments, we might be able to respond to them. And Cory just posted a survey.

>> It sounds like just based on the feedback that the comments and the chat box that the things that have been identified or flagged with regard to next release, point release enhancements, we're pretty much on track. And these are things that you all see as a value add to the system. .
>> Anything else? And just a reminder, if you have any additional comments or questions after today's session, please feel free to send them to FDLP outreach at GPO.gov. And that's the same email that you should also use if you're having questions about how to use the tool or those kinds of things. So thanks again for participating. And we look forward to doing the next one.

>>> Thanks everybody. [Event Concluded].