Good afternoon -- good morning, depending on the times and you are in. I am the chair of the library counsel. I want to welcome you to the second day of our spring virtual meeting for 2017. We will have a great program today putting a lot of time for you to participate and provide feedback and ask questions, both to the council and GPL directly -- GPO directly. Please use the chatbox. We had great participation yesterday and we are keeping the transcript so we are sure to catch everything. Please use the chatbox and/or the letter #-- the #[ Indiscernible ] . I will pass it to Rich -- Rich.

Beth Williams is not able to be with us today. I will be doing the presentation. I will not try and she -- change my voice. [ laughter ] We have been talking a lot about shifting focus from collections to service. How to prepare yourself to provide services regarding statistical data and data sets? We took a look at a report that came out in 2011 regarding[ Indiscernible ] Competencies. The report is available. You have to jump to the projects section. You should be able to find it on the website. In the report, it addressed a lot of different areas. We put out some items relevant to statistical information. [ Indiscernible ] Essential to focus on right in the beginning. You need to jump in and do the things first.

[ Indiscernible - low volume ] Reliable statistics and where to get training, who to reach out to. The next section of airport identified competencies to achieve in the next few years. We look at these in terms of what you need to be working on. Can we as a community provide examples to help our colleagues developed these competencies? For the focus of the session, either levels of competencies? What are the common troubleshooting areas that people deal with? Working you go to learn more about specific -- Where can you go to learn more about specific tools? [ Indiscernible ] Chat in terms of sharing your thoughts, tips, examples. We hope that at the end of the session, we will be able to jump into some of those. Are there possible levels of competencies? We are looking -- awareness, understanding, data retrieval skills, data analysis skills. As we look at awareness versus understanding, awareness[ Indiscernible ] Using or concepts, you may not be able to really explain it very well but you have an idea of some places to begin searching. You go to American factfinder. The next is understanding the concepts and you can explain and give meaningful examples. I look at my neighborhood and I can look and understand what the information as. You can match questions with potential sources. I had a student the other day looking for college level[ Indiscernible ] . He was looking at data[ Indiscernible ] Enrolled in school. I said we ought to be over at education statistics to get more details. You have an idea where to start looking for the data.

I don't want anyone getting freaked out by the fourth one on the list. In terms of data retrieval skills, obviously you need to focus and [ Indiscernible ] relevant for your users. You might not pursue some of them at all. For example, law schools. They need statistical information and all
different areas -- in all different areas. You may want to pursue some of those. The last slide of the presentation have a list to resources -- have a link -- has a link to resources. We can update them. Data analysis skills. You know what kind of analysis they apply -- also realizing that[ Indiscernible ] Complex skills then most of us will want to tackle. At my university, we have eight high demand -- a high demand for this assistance. Students are reaching out to find somebody to do that. [ Indiscernible ] University to a large extent. I don't consider myself fairly competent at this level. I am at the [ Indiscernible ] To be dangerous level. [ laughter ]

We have an FPSF user guide. We have green books that go into detail about doing analysis. There are really useful you sources -- resources at UCLA FedEx plane had to do statistical analysis, types to apply. The links are at the end of the presentation. I have been pushing for years that we have -- need somebody that does this. We are close. If you have this level of skill, is a part of your actual job description where you have time to devote to it or do you want to keep it under wraps? That is one thing as you acquire[ Indiscernible ] And overwhelm all the other things? Also, we talked about these four levels. You may progress beyond the basic awareness. You may know that data cleaning is something done. They need to clean up the data before it can be analyzed. You might be aware that it is a time-consuming process sometimes. In terms of the specifics, you may not actually worry about it as a skill set itself. Who do refer to -- you refer to people for the -- that? This is something you should target over the next couple years -- achieving competency for some parts of that. Think about calisthenics. Everybody east of the Mississippi -- west of the Mississippi --[ Indiscernible ] And that is one of the things you might do.

Thing aware that different agencies that have data may have -- Being aware that different agencies that have data may have infographics. Know how to look for this -- those. Working with Excel is something. You might know how to create a chart. Someone is trying to put labels. Doing that yourself[ Indiscernible ] That level of analysis. You can do a lot of analysis with Excel. What are some of the troubleshooting issues? Ideally you would be able to train people how to do proper research and how to do the analysis. I find that most often I am dealing with responding to someone frustrated because they cannot find the data to finish up your project. You are dealing with that level of frustration. In the next lights we will talk about some of these areas. Wise these are not finding their data. This is hard. They are looking in the wrong place. It is not put together the weight they are looking -- the way they are looking. People say, I found it but they want to charge $200. Can you give me something for free? Or it does not exist. I would add that it is not aggregated in parts[ Indiscernible ] The way they are looking for it. Another is that the user did not bother looking. It is there and easy to find. Sometimes they just didn't bother to look. An example 20 years ago. A student came up looking for cell phone data in terms of the driver using a cell phone contract into a car crash. Here them looking for about a week. As we started looking -- He had been looking for about a week. We got to the transportation site and he said he had been there.[ Indiscernible ] Cellular telephone instead of cell phone helped the search. I said let's look at the narrative of these reports. We discovered that Oklahoma was the only state in the country that had a checkbox on the accident report form. Any other statistics had to come out of the narrative. This is one where, I said, I don't know if cell phone use the drivers in Oklahoma is representative. This is why you are not finding the specifics in other areas.
A couple weeks ago, a student was working -- looking for correct college enrollments for specific degree programs. [ Indiscernible ] Did not have data represented and he was looking by individual schools. What we determined was -- [ Indiscernible ] Data at the level you are looking for was suppressed. Better is sufficient data -- Either there is sufficient data, or with privacy concerns, it is not available. Another issue that comes up -- students have tried to analyze the college page population. They have done their local survey. College students 17-22. We find that the community survey --[ Indiscernible ] They purported on was 15 to 19, 20 to 24 -- they could’ve changed how they gathered the data.

As we look at the difference between looking for statistical data, versus the raw data, many of them can get back -- by with the data and graphs available at different places where the data has been crossed[ Indiscernible ] . Some need to get into the raw data and the program for the analysis[ Indiscernible - low volume ] Not done by somebody else. An example of this would be the public use micro data sample. It is available for various once -- ones. You can go analyze the data from the original responses. It is not[ Indiscernible ] Privacy but you do need software to retrieve the data.[ Indiscernible - low volume ] Do the analysis. Any other issue -- Another issue is comparing data overtime. Looking at the last 30 years of data on it -- on an annual basis. You run into problems with small geographies.[ Indiscernible ] Was the last time they had [ Indiscernible ] By the American beauty survey. If you back to 1990, not every year has census [ Indiscernible ] .[ Indiscernible ] Had in 1950. And level almost the entire state and even some areas where they were not covered. I am always referring people to the five-year data and so that the one your data -- one-year data. The first [ Indiscernible ] Was in 2005. The three-year data -- that stopped in 2013. I also had data service -- surveys with[ Indiscernible ] . A nursing survey stopped in 2012. We have to find a different source for that.

As you look over the years, a great source as the measuring America book that has tables that show you which questions were asked in each census. I pulled a quick one here. If you’re looking earlier than the 1940s, you have to find other ways to get the value. I covet -- A couple other examples of things that have changed. Hispanic population -- we have pretty good analysis now. In 1980, Hispanic was actually [ Indiscernible ] And[ Indiscernible ] In 1990 and 2000 in terms of problems of how the questions were ordered. Prior to that, [ Indiscernible ] Five SW. states in 1960 -- south West states in 1960. For poverty, you can do property until 1970 as a definition. You can do income levels. In 1980, it was living in poverty. In terms of same-sex married couples, since 2016,[ Indiscernible ] And in 1990, if people reported they were a same-sex married couple, they changed the six -- the sex to report the opposite sex. In 2000 they changed it -- marriage to partnership.

Currency of data. Where is this years data -- year's data. The stuff you are giving me is to old -- too old. Most surveys are not done annually. The best analysis you may find maybe quite a bit older -- find may be quite a bit older. We are in 2017. The one your data -- your data -- year data will be released in September. Economics [ Indiscernible ] Is done every five years. The current is 2012. They spend most of 2013 following up to get all the data submitted. They crunch it and take the most recent data. The most recent data from 2012 was not released until last year. This coming year, that will be 2019. Housing does not to every community.[ Indiscernible ] My have to go back to 2013 -- might have to go back to 2013. I mentioned, in terms of years -- you can find state-level data. [ Indiscernible ] When they try to get something smaller in an area. [
Indiscernible] 65,000 and above. Three-year data has stopped collecting -- reporting the analysis with a 2013 data and is replaced with a one-year supplement. Be aware with the data -- -- where the data has gone. In Florida, there 67 counties. 27 have populations below 65,000 you can't get one your data. For populations lower than 20,000, you have to go to five-year data. In major areas, all for -- all four are below[ Indiscernible ] . Only the city of Orlando has more than 65,000. You have to go to five-year data to get [ Indiscernible ] . I definitely recommend steadily -- studying it in terms of data so you get the appreciation for why the area is in and understand -- isn't covered and understand it.

Folks are looking lower than the state level. These County data or census data. If they can get by -- county data -- in Florida, the county data has been stable since 1925. In Alaska, the boroughs have been to say that. Same thing in Virginia. Sometimes the relationship in the particular state -- the boundaries change. In Florida, I can go back to 1935 and have country changes -- not have boundary changes. MSA or whatever -- the concept exchanged overtime -- in Florida, the major area is Orlando -- metro area is Orlando. In 1980 and 1990, there are three counties. In 2000 it became for counties. I recommend that people take the County data and aggregate it so they are not comparing apples and oranges. We have grown a lot. We added an entire county and that really throws the numbers off. In terms of census tracts -- does it gets -- the zip codes. There are more census tracts. Zip codes don't cover [ Indiscernible ] Does not include you in terms of the; -- the code -- of the zip code. The census works intelligently. You have to understand change over time. In my county, 15% of my zip codes cross into the other County . In 2010, in addition to Alaska and Hawaii -- you can't cross into other states -- there were eight other states[ Indiscernible ] Can't cross into other areas. I think that can be helpful.

For the last one, I would invite folks to identify what you think is of kids might be used. There are recent -- why zip codes might be used. There are recent -- reasons. We talked yesterday about training opportunities.[ Indiscernible - low volume ] We have the links. It is not just referring you there. I want to spark your interest. In terms of listing the webinars that have been made available. They recorded -- are recorded. Take a look at the availability. Also the idea of attending these webinars -- even if they are not in an area of focus for you. Some of the skills you will learn maybe transferable to the area you do work with. You learn something -- oh, I did not think that would of been relevant.[ Indiscernible ] From the North Carolina webinars available.[ Silence ] We have said this before. Volunteering to present a session, and also submitting requests where you would like to learn more about[ Indiscernible - low volume ] Webinar might be is -- be used. Here's a link to the web guide. There is a collective guide site. We will continue to update those links for things like data.gov and the various agency data sources that link to the University and explanations on how to look at data. For the -- Ready for questions.[ Indiscernible - low volume ] [ Silence ]

Any councilmembers have questions?

It is got -- Scott. One of my -- you talk to the beginning about data and meeting the skills to at -- and perhaps software to work with the data. Have you found resources beyond what -- some of us are lucky to have those on our campus. Other resources -- folks in the chat, either places you - - Are there pay -- are there places he sent people.
Data fair[ Indiscernible - low volume ] And not a cost associated with it. For analysis purposes, there are a lot of sites where you might find data on. Doing analysis is the hard part.

Great. I have had people come to me ask how to use things like [ Indiscernible ] National survey and things that are hard-core data sets. I usually send them to a specialist librarian to understand how to walk them through the cookbook -- code book. If that is not on your campus or in your library, maybe some awareness with suggesting the state data center for the state[ Indiscernible ] May have suggestions on referrals. I would warn people, don't try and do it all yourself. You can really go down a rabbit hole and end up spending -- spending a lot of time.[ Silence ]

We had a question in the chat. It is early to ask about 2020, but where should I be checking to see news about really formats, etc. We had[ Indiscernible ] With a Washington Post link with concern for funding for the 2020 census. Are there other resources?

I generally go to the census site. They have been pretty good about -- curious the status of what we are doing. Here at opportunities -- are the opportunities. I look at news articles and that drives me to go look at that census site. I find the sites collecting the data do keep you up-to-date. If there is a survey that has been ongoing[ Indiscernible - low volume ] And I start verifying -- oh, there's a problem. We do a lot in terms of fighting proxies -- finding proxies. How do we think through the question and figure out how you can still achieve -- close to the same and -- same you were seeking[ Indiscernible ] ?

We have another question. What do you see missing in current library school curricula related to the skills you are talking about? What do we do about that?

[ Indiscernible - low volume ] Counsel or other people? I don't deal with the current people to be able to answer that.

This is Scott. The reason I knew which chapter[ Indiscernible ] Is about census is because I taught this. Summer getting an introduction -- Some are getting an introduction to the issues. There is such a broad curriculum. They can specialize in user experience or in data librarianship. I'm not sure there is one where people are getting a broad exposure, unless they have done research methods of the class in their school or another degree program for they may have [ Indiscernible ] Some of the issues for data collection. I think the library schools are trying to provide this sort of education. It as often as an elective or in certain concentrations. If you're there may be some of -- I fear there may be some missing[ Indiscernible ] May have ended up dodging some of these statistical classes. The joke is that -- I was promised there would be no math. [ laughter ] It is important across all disciplines. [ Indiscernible - low volume ] Starting to do data and statistics. For what I have seen, I think the labor schools are responding. To their credit, students seem to be aware of the needs. They can read job descriptions. They are responding. There is high interest -- we do two sessions . One on statistical information in one specific to census -- the census.

Think a lot of this is going to be learning from doing. You need to be curious. If you get a question, treated as a suitable moment for yourself -- treat it as a teachable moment for yourself. Do some digging and see what else you could of done. Also[ Indiscernible ] . You don't
necessarily have to answer the question for that user. If someone else had a question like that, modify the question. Localized it. Find the answers would have provided in your local area.

This is Scott. To piggyback on Rich's idea -- also presenting or offering to present this information in a class anywhere in the curriculum or for any of the programming in your library. If you're doing business seminars, their great tools on the census site that you can expose people to the underlying data. It can get more information -- They can get more information. There is information available. That sort of gets people into the idea using data and statistics in their research for any subject. [ Silence ]

I don't see any more questions. Wanted to mention that there have been good resources and links shared in the chat. We are going to include the chat transcript, along with the archive. Everyone who registered will receive it via email. You will have access to the links and information.

[ Indiscernible - low volume ] At University of Washington library. I am also an adjunct faculty member. I did like the question about curriculum. Tying it to the job market -- [ Indiscernible ] Librarian job very big right now. I know that both students and information schools are aware of that and the intersections might be around [ Indiscernible - low volume ] Also digital preservation as a new focus. Our school -- as many do -- has a parallel track for scientific information management for folks would expect to go on to be very involved in the [ Indiscernible ] Environment. There are a variety of classes for students are tasked with doing a data visualization. I will probably add open access data. There are so many [ Indiscernible ] Where government data would be. One area I think is ripe for more development [ Indiscernible - low volume ] [ Indiscernible ] Both downloads [ Indiscernible ] Government text file and sort of writing some correlations. [ Indiscernible ] Scholar is interested in. We don't know how to do these things, [ Indiscernible ] Scholar [ Indiscernible - low volume ] .

I think knowing the right person to connect to is vital, in terms to reach out to somebody. They get posted in terms of questions you need assistance with.

A question for everyone in general -- do you get many questions for data pre-1900?

Not as much as you get for 1924 1910 -- 1920 or 1910. Data available for most of the country -- was not available for most of the country. [ Indiscernible ] Little younger when you see the plumes of the 1940s and 1950s -- the boom of the 1940s and 1950s.

It is [ Indiscernible ]. We do the questions about early data American data. Course we turn to the -- Of course, we turn to the historic -- what might -- what my boss called hysterical statistics of the United States. There are commercially produced and online versions. One of the things that frustrate people is the lack of data. You find Massachusetts collected all this data. It is more of the negotiation with the seeker, saying we have some data, it'd -- it is limited. Someone else's bringing up the preparatory information. [ Indiscernible - low volume ] Historical statistics talks about data limitation.

Those are invaluable in terms of convincing the user as to why they are not [ Indiscernible ] Finding the data. Experts say, this is why you cannot do this period in this way. Here's a
paragraph that says, there is no reliable data for the time period. [ Indiscernible ] Stop looking is actually very terms -- valuable in terms of research. Proving the nonexistence of something is difficult. Hopefully you can tell -- find someone to tell you to stop looking. [ Indiscernible ] Trade associations and you may people to find somebody that can help you say, oh, you can't do it that way. You might talk to someone so -- so and so. You might talk to people at agencies or trade associations. Or to verify you can't do it that way.

This is Jim, University of Nebraska-Omaha. For census data, the best I have ever seen is[ Indiscernible - low volume ] For medical and social research. They do have -- god bless the people who transcribed and converted the work from 1790, 1810, 1820 from the published summary reports. There is data and used over and into the problem of a lot of aping summary data -- it being summary data. You run into -- well, they did not ask the data. In terms of comprehensive as possible, each it census data -- ancient census data[ Indiscernible ]. We had a student and we walked him through where the data sets are. He was on his laptop and put them down with the codebooks and was on his way. I was glad to see him walk away so I didn't get into the analysis of it. [ laughter ] If your institution has access to ICPSR, that is the best I have seen.

This is got Matheson -- Scott Matheson. Just emphasize knowing when to stop -- the measuring America book listed -- it is really useful. It has a summary of all the questions that have been asked. You can show people -- they did not ask that question in that census so you can't get that. In a similar vein, I would make a pitch for the footnotes in the historical statistics they will take them back to the original data sources[ Indiscernible - low volume ] Data explanations that can be really important to understand.

Folks that are looking for statistics from a commercial source -- if you know what the report is that they put out, you can sometimes search for the news to do summaries of the data to get pieces. Then you can figure out how to work cohorts -- here is that progression and then you can derive a little information that way. Oftentimes[ Indiscernible - low volume ] Source you found but they want to charge me. Can't the library pay for that? [ Indiscernible ] Going to happen. Trying to find at least pieces of the report[ Indiscernible ] And new sources can sometimes help.

One thing we keep in our back pocket for the library paying for it. There are a list of resources on campus for graduate students -- ideas where they can go for places to pay for the data, once we have helped them find it.

This is Jim Shaw University of Nebraska, Omaha. I know we are focused on government resources and there are other relatively low-cost commercial sites out there. Often they really address the principal, the 80-20 rule. 80% of the time the will really work for you. Take a look at policy map or social compass. Train get a sense of what they are offering -- Try and get a sense of what they are offering. My library started an account with[ Indiscernible ] Back in late November. I don't think I have seen a new library resource take off as fast as that one did. They are vacuuming up data from all over the place. They are massaging it and putting it in summary form. They do infographics. You can download an -- the underlying data into an Excel spreadsheet. A lot of times it is all people really want. It is possible that the library, through one
of those sources can address 80% of the demand. Then you can work a bit more. It is easier for referrals in the harder stuff.

Any other questions?

This is Scott Matheson again. I find the statistical abstract has been useful in that same sort of way. If not 80% cut that maybe 50% of the questions -- you can always start people with a table. If you have the current version and you're able to pay for that, let's people -- it lets people[ Indiscernible ] For the primary source data. You mentioned grad student -- when you're working with them, I like to push them to the primary sources. I think you are right. For different levels of users[ Indiscernible ] Are inappropriate resource -- and appropriate -- are an appropriate resource.

[ Silence ]

Thank you. We will go ahead and wrap up and be back at 1:15 for the next session.

[ The meeting is on a break until 1:15. Captioner standing by ] Welcome --

Welcome. This is Lori from the New Mexico state library. I will be your host. I know the group is not shy. Please remember to chat as we go along. Here is our agenda for the meeting. We will begin with Lori Hall. One of the issues that came up earlier this year is the holdings project, the dissension and lack of communication from GPO. She will now explain for all of us exactly what happened. [ Silence ]

Hello. This is Lori Hall. I will tell you about the history of the holdings project to give you some of you background about the project and then where we are currently. The project started in February, 2005. We were providing a service to the regional to set holdings and we paid for that. Some of the general rationale find that was we wanted to create public can -- awareness of the resources that were in the collections, mostly for interlibrary and loan purposes -- internet librarian loan purposes -- interlibrarian loan purposes. Mainly for those tangible products that were distributed to libraries through the program. GPO worked with OCLC to develop an automated process for all of the records there were contributed to the OCLC database . Originally they were added through a batch process that was based on our GPO daily cataloging. We set the holdings to all records that had a mark 074 field. Every record re-created, on the day that had a 074 field -- item number field -- were received -- received a holding symbol for the regional libraries that participated. The holdings were set for both tangible and electronic publications. We did this at no cost for the regional libraries the chose to participate. It is not a mandatory program. Regionals could sign-up if they wanted to participate. When the holdings were set, it is up to the regionals to maintain or maintain -- remove the holdings if they chose to.

It started in February 2005. We had a disruption in service. Since July, we have been adding the missing holdings due to the disruption. In August 2016, we created a new process. We have been working for -- with the OCLC to start the process. What happened was the process did not look anyone -- anymore. A change to collection manager. We were not officially notified, or at least GPO was not notified about the shift accept it did not get to the right people at GPO. They went
to ID folks and not up to the library folks -- to the IT folks and not the library folks. We have gone back and tried to grab the records that we missed and we have been sending them to OCLC. I will have to read this because it has numbers. March 31, March 31, 2017 -- we sent 14,000 1204 records -- 14,124 records. In April of In April 2017, we analyzed the records, built tables and set the holdings for a total of [Indiscernible] Records for 30 regional libraries that are subscribing. In January through March, which happened just yesterday, we sent records. They went yesterday. It has been taking about six weeks. Not as much time for OCLC to set the holdings work those records. What will happen going forward, we will do a monthly batch of records that will be sent for the holdings -- set for the holdings. We are doing that for the collection manager. We have to do some work on the records before we send them to OCLC. We have caught up at this point.

The change in processing will begin after this last match the content yesterday. We will set the holdings on the monthly schedule. There is really no change to what we are asking OCLC to do for us. We will set holdings for all the libraries the participate. There is no custom item profiling. We are still using the 074 field. We are still providing the holdings. We are still picking up the cost for the regional library that one to participate does want to participate -- libraries that want to participate. Does to give you a little bit of background of the numbers -- Just to give you a little background of the numbers. We have applied holdings for over 17 million times. The OCLC symbols for the libraries the participated were added. Right now, 30 regionals continue to participate in the program. The regionals save three cents per holding. Cindy wrote me and said, figure out the math on that one. I will not figure it out for you. [laughter] We will notify you when the April batch, January through March 2017 -- we will also notify through a nurse -- news alert. Any other regionals interested in participating, you can contact Suzanne. Here is her information. One of the regionals not participating, you can sign up at any time. We are also working on a project -- this is in the area with our bibliographic control working group to add some kind of information on the back and -- and -- end. We will have some sort of holding information for regionals in the -- GPO -- records as well. We have to consider the public. They probably don't know what VA -- some odd symbols. We are looking at the field and what it will display as. Stay tuned. We are looking for information to have that information. That was a quick overview. I will be taking some questions.

How do we know if the library has collected set holdings?

If your regional, we have a list. We can let you know. If you are selected, I will let your holdings set. The catalytic breast could is to be action -- cataloging distribution. One of the services -- they will hold through that service.

[Indiscernible - static] State library of Ohio. Does this have any effect if they have their holdings?

This is Lori. I haven't even thought of that. Here comes Suzanne with an answer -- or Cindy will try and answer.

One of the statements Lori made was that the regionals have to maintain the holding. If something is discarded and it is something for which there is a holding, they will have to remove
the holding. Right now, there is not an issue about the regional, because we do not have the geographic copies. Right now, we don't have to worry about that. Ultimately -- yes?

I was just thinking about that. Thank you, Cindy. Pleased to of the exchange -- Phase 2 is for the exchange. It is a little further down the road to see where the intersection --[ Silence ].

Danielle -- Daniel. The record stopped in February. At some point, you all became aware of them. Sometimes it takes a while to become aware of things. When did you first become aware that the records had stopped? When did you turn around and let the regionals know. Thank you.

This is Lori. I will have to check on the exact date on when things stop -- stopped. I am not sure when we announced it. I will go back and clarify and post something to that.[ Silence ]

Have you considered using the depository number instead of the OCLC ID ?

Yes. We have thought about that. Remember that when someone from the public looks without some kind of correlation, they don't know what the depository number is. We would have to to link out -- have to link it up. That is one of the things we have on the agenda to consider. How to make the information about which depository library holds it and links it to the director in some way. That is one of our thoughts.[ Silence ]

If we are a regional not participating in the project, can we still have the holding information added when that begins?

This is Lori. That is not as easy as it sounds because we do not have, at this point and time, information for which levels are selecting each titles.[ Indiscernible - low volume ] Let me think about that. I will thing that question up to the team to talk about -- bring that question up to the team to talk about. Cindy says, if you're not participating but you add your holdings, then you really are. I will have to think about that.

If we have are the updated holdings for 2016, what they create a problem for us when GPO updates the information.

This is Lori. I don't think it will be a problem because OCLC is doing some matching. At least that is what they have those we are giving -- doing.

This is got -- Scott. I routinely tend over files. Sitting your holdings doesn't do anything. It seems to behind -- fine.

A question -- this is Lori. What is the advantage of adding? Two different purposes. By law, we have the need to have the monthly catalog of everything. Not everybody can get into OCLC . You have to be a member. Our purpose is not for library[ Indiscernible ] To identify in our library so the general public can do Walkin -- walk in or electronically. We have a different purpose. That is one of the reasons we do it.

If a regional wants to join, are the records back added or the point forward?
It will be from the point that you joined.

As a regional, if you're removing holdings, will they be removed from [ Indiscernible ]?

This is Lori. Since the project has not started [ laughter ] That is the million-dollar question. How it will coordinate -- yes. We have that question on the thought processes. Hopefully we get details.[ Silence ]

Thank you. Any other questions? We will keep looking at the chat and anything later this afternoon Is Heidi [ Indiscernible ].

Hello. I will do a quick recap. We wanted to bring it to the attention of the group so that[ Indiscernible ] Know where we at -- where we are at. This will be a recap. If you're interested in finding more information, feel free to go back and listen to the GPO update. Since we released the ability for regional libraries to submit requests back in early September, we have continued working on the back and -- back end at GPO. We have done -- there's quite a lot of work in order to make sure the process is in place. We detest the request -- did test the request. Those numbers submit a test sample so we can get through the process. How long they took if there are any issues -- it also allowed us to process and we did make some adjustments to the process. Thank you advisory group. In addition, we have and continue to monitor. We have only received two official requests. The interest was in discarding the hearings from the eligible numbers. There is interest in the Congressional record but that was on the eligible list. Unfortunately, in our responses we did explain passion we did have -- explain we did have preservation[ Indiscernible ]. Until we have preservation stewards in place, regionals are not able to discard. Recently released titles eligible. We expanded and added an appropriation hearing -- we updated the hearings already sent for the year. As well as the other material. This will actually be the last list we provide in this manner. The future eligible list were actually be integrated into the new internal processes that is being developed as part of phase 2. We also have continued and will continue to notify the community on our progress with updates to the communication channels. On the project page, please check that out for the latest information. We are continuing to collect any feedback submitted. If you comments -- have comments, please submit this. We welcome any input you may have.

What we have been talking about is phase 1. When we began the implementation process, it was expected to continue and end in July in July 2017. We might be -- end in July 2017. We might be ahead of time. We have a process in place and procedures are established. We are continuing to make those adjustments. We work closely with GPO and the preservation stewards team -- steward team. We are moving forward. What I think a lot of you are interested in is when [ Indiscernible ] Will start. Phase 2 is going to be all about integrating this process into the exchange. At this time, we are only in the early planning stage. We have been working -- at the team has been working with the[ Indiscernible ] Over the past few months, investigating the use of the exchange and identifying all the functional requirements to move the manual process. The system enhancements are expected to often incorporate eligible titles and automate some of the internal processes, which we are excited about. We are planning to continue to move forward and we will incorporate the process into the exchange. We expect to begin designing and -- the
summer of this year. We will update the project page and make sure we are sharing information with everyone. If you do have questions, feel free to ask them in the chat. You can also stay up-to-date by checking out the project page and monitoring every channels of communication. Thank you. We look forward to hearing from all of you.

What a list of libraries be made public by location, topic, and [ Indiscernible ] ?

Currently all of our preservation stewards are listed on our webpage along with what they have agreed to be stewards for. I believe it is part of phase 2 -- adding that to the processing, we are looking at how to add [ Indiscernible - low volume ] To the workflow. That is something we are currently [ Indiscernible - low volume ].

This is Lori All -- Hall. To follow with with Suzanne -- with with Suzanne said -- with what Suzanne -- we have also been in discussions with Kelly to talk about new ways to market, also looking for preservation stewards for particular groupings of documents. That is one of the things we have been working on.

Preservation stewards program -- it is very new. There is a developing partnership. It will take us a little while to have everything as fully developed as the rest of our partnership, but we are moving forward and are grateful. We are excited that they have already stepped up so early.

Cindy at GPO . Something else we are working on -- part of what GPO needs to do for the discard process to work is to maintain the inventory of the preservation copies of records the preservation stewards are obligating themselves to retain and preserve. We have been testing. There is a test development, using the 583 in holdings. We have been testing that and we can share more as soon as we get further along with the -- that.

We had a suggestion. It would be helpful to include the preservation steward info to the public and find out where to go for preservation copies.. We agree. That is part of what we are testing --

We agree. That is part of what we are testing.

We are working on a new customer relations management system which will allow us -- we have seen some early information about enhancing the FDLD that may allow us to have information about preservation stewards, different collections -- things like that. It is and be very early stages -- in the very early stages. That is something with enhancing the FDLD.

This is Scott . To follow up, it sounds like if the pilot of the research guides program those were red -- that will be another great place to be able to incorporate some of the information by topic as I think Jenny suggested. That all sounds great.

There was another question about whether the exchange is just for regionals or not. No, it is also for selective -- selective. Ashley also put in a link. Talks about the implementation of the exchange, the parts of the process, how to adopt it, and where we are. You can register for the training webinars.
Any other questions from counsel on exchange or regional discard? I see one more comment in the chat from Marianne. Have you considered having a third category to selective and regional on the libraries list? May be in the directory, having any library having your preservation steward that is not [Indiscernible - low volume]. Is that what you're getting at, Marianne? Libraries -- maybe they would be listed -- maybe we would call that a partners levers list -- levers list -- libraries list?

Lori Hall, GPO. We don't like to do lists anymore. I would say, yes. We are in the process of looking at the next iteration, which could include things on our depository library if you are a preservation steward.[Silence]

I think we will move on to the next session. Since regionals are charged with collecting and permanently retaining everything distributed through the FDLP, we were asked to explain -- explore why more regionals are not becoming stewards. The process takes time for both the regional library. I will now hear from three regionals, to recently and -- two recently and one last fall. They will go through the [Indiscernible] And collections process. I will pass it to Kate Tomlin from the University of Colorado Boulder.

Hello. This is Kate. I am chiming in from Boulder where it is nice and stormy right now. Today we will take turns talking about four different aspects of the preservation steward project. We will talk about how we made the decision, the communication, and administrative support that went into that. We will tell you a little about the inventory list they ask you to fill out when you become steward. We will talk a bit about the marketing and promotion opportunity. Each of us will speak about each category. I will turn it to Marianne so we can talk about the process of making the decision. Marianne, go right ahead.

Thank you. The decision[Indiscernible - low volume] University of Iowa to be involved. I didn't realize how long the process talked -- took. I had to take into consideration the workflow in the library and what was possible. We are a library that has integrated --

Sorry to interrupt. Could you speak up?

Sure. [Indiscernible - background noise] [Indiscernible - low volume] We are a library that has integrated government information activities throughout the library. They are not a standalone depository library as a unit. In a fact, I don't supervise anyone. I have not had to[Indiscernible - low volume] But I do recommend and propose -- so far I have been pretty lucky. I knew that our contribution at the University of Iowa would not be as large and desirable. They projects would be the way -- Big projects would be the way to progress. I wanted something meaningful. I approach it from the preservation -- approached it from the preservation point of view. Let me turn up my speaker. I hope that helps a bit. Okay. I selected some materials that were meaningful to me. Is this any better?

Yes.

I hope. Thank you. The image you see on the screen is part of our digital poster collection. We have been a partner with GPO for several years because of our digitized posters. This is not what
caught my attention. I really have been thinking about what is going on with current events and thought about the importance of freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and I was drawn to the McCarthy era hearings. That includes some Ku Klux Klan materials and I have found some historical publications that may be more fragile. We included in the collection we are contributed -- pearl harbor and presidential powers are part of that decision. I know this is a small collection. We thought we could all contribute, at least in a small way, it could get done. That is how a made the decision -- I made the decision. Also back to the digital poster collection, we do have the original posters. We have included those print posters in the collection. I think I need to pass this on and we will continue our round Robin. I will try to Kiersten.

This is Kiersten from the state university library of Ohio. It was pretty easy press -- for us to get a preservation steward. One of our collectives And we know our collection is comprehensive. It was a pretty easy choice especially since they know a lot of the regionals struggling for space -- that is a huge collection that may be used for something else down the line. That was sort of our biggest reason for why we wanted a preservation steward for this collection. Also wanting to do our part for regionals around the country.

Okay. This is Kate. Making the decision Apple there was relatively easy. We were looking -- Making the decision at Boulder was relatively easy. We were unaware of any larger programs, especially in the Western states. As you may or may not know, congressional hearings or the Set. We knew the collections were relatively complete. We knew that they lived off-site in an environmentally controlled environment and in a region in Denver this -- that does not have a high risk of wildfire or tornadoes. Knowing it was off-site and in good condition -- at one point in time 10 or 12 years ago, Sent us a collection of a serial set in good condition. They were sent directly to our off-site storage facility. Everything in our reservation steward collection is off-site. We know it is past -- passed through hands. With such a large collection, we can't inspect and store in that location. I wanted to point out to everyone listening that GPO is very easy to work with in this process. They help make the decision a lot easier. As soon as you brought it to the administration, we received positive feedback based on some of the things in the next discussion. If we could go back to two slides before this, we will have Marianne talk about this process.

Thank you, Kate.

[ Early September. I spoke with GPO giving me some ideas about whether our small project would be relevant. GPO -- I developed and thought about the project to select a small collection. He went to the director of the library in the AUL to give them an outline of the preservation steward project, initiative and that is where my proposal and recommendation began. I wrote a summary. Then I went back to To see if they would be interested in giving copies. We wouldn't want Sent to storage and never seen again. When things are used, things become damaged possibly -- ]
To make sure we had our coding and an inventory of what we had. I needed to speak with the preservation team to make sure all of the pieces were in good condition or would be in good condition. This is taken a few months to get the pieces lined up then back to GOP and you get the idea. This is not a simple process so I will turn it back to Kiersten. Thank you

I like what Marianne said we found GOP easy to work with on the project. We had concerns initially talking to my research and catalog services about needing to stamp the Congressional volumes in the collection for one library we cannot have interns, students do anything in the collection so that was going to be a big staff burden so we talked to GOP about the process and I think we may need to bribe some staffers with doughnuts to get some help with the stamping project. I primarily work with head of research and catalog services to ensure those records were complete and will continue to work with that part of our staff going forward. It was easy since our administrators as I mentioned before were fortunate in the amount of space we have in there is not an issue of suddenly needing to discard any portion of the collection down the line. I will pass it back to Kate.

So Kate at see your folder. -- CU Boulder. Because we have signed to preserve 200,000 place items off-site it's impossible for us to handle and in fact the condition and stamp every item. We checked with GOP to make sure we could move forward and they said and I demised list of documents that we store and Pascal or the off-site facility is suitable. After we worked with GOP and decided we could do this we went to the executive committee including the Dean with the history and highlighted the importance of the discard policy on him was sized how this aligned with the strategic goals of the library. We had finished writing a plan in one of the pillars was improved reputation of the library so we thought this was a great reputation point. It was easy to convince them they only asked for three things they wanted the materials to be noncirculating and that was okay because we have digital access and provide a way for patrons to use these materials on-site. They wanted it to be clearly marked as a partnership with GOP so that is easy. They wanted a really big splash in the media so I realized on them to help arrange that so that was great. After that we started talking and of course we talked with other departments to make sure it was doable but we talked to circulation, preservation special collections and metadata services and what was most important as we integrated this product into existing workflow so did not disrupt other people's work. In special collections and preservation we became of another special collection instead of being separate we were treated like other special collections. Preservation treats our stuff like any other item and I will find out throughout my talking but we will provide procedures and best practices based on lessons learned in the near future. I want to say it is a huge collaboration especially if you do a big collection. It takes time their time in our time to figure it out and it's critical to get everyone working together regularly and having meetings to make sure workflows are moving along smoothly. GOP was easy to work with and it was easy to convince the administration to do this. If someone could advance the slide please.
The next thing we will talk about is the biggest challenge MS is making the inventory list and we will tell you about the challenges and a little bit about our strategies to do this. I will pass it along to Marianne.

Thank you Kate. We are having trouble moving to the next slide we have an inventory sheet the spreadsheet example and I can't do it so I hope someone else can. GOP provided a great spreadsheet template that we were able to fill in the gaps. There does need to be an entry for every single piece and for a small collection like ours it was still somewhat daunting but we got the thing done. The Hatter publication date the volume and issue date the format the condition the storage location how we will access that OCLC number of the local system number as well as any kind of note so that is a template that GOP provided and we were able to fill in the title for each of these entries and make any special notes whether ours was going into remote storage if there was a copy number two that would go on the note field. It would be great if we could see that spreadsheet and I don't know if someone can do it and I'll pass it on to Kiersten.

We were also daunted by the prospect of having to list every one of our congressional volume records but the trick I found that works well was I downloaded the entire batch of marked records for all the Congressional record volumes than using Mark at it was able to convert everything into an Excel file so I could send it on to GOP and that was a massive timesaver so I would encourage if you are consider doing the record steward that would be a good strategy to try as well. Back to Kate.

I would say this was a big challenge and my biggest weakness I'm not familiar with metadata and cataloging so I had to depend on the experience of others. We used triple life so we used Sierra to make lists. The Congressional record was simple and easy to do but hearings were much more difficult. You have to find a unique field the police items. We knew every hearing lived in Pascal off-site so we could create a list of everything with the Pascal location code in the call number. As we created the list we ran into a few problems. The first is the first list included the missing on lost hearings and that is counterintuitive because what we want to do is identify the gap so we can fill them during the needs and offers process. So we reran the search and moved the missing on lost hearings. Also you may know there are quite a few non-hearings and wife for so it was decided that we are preservation stewards so the statement that CU Boulder is a preservation stewards for hearings is not haircut. In reality where preservation stewards for a list of 106,000 that are mostly hearings but if there things too. This was an issue we had to iron out. Some were cross-linked and when you export lists from Sierra into XL if there are crossed linked records it makes for a messy confusing spreadsheet sorry metadata expert who is amazing cleaned it up using data exchange market edit and notepad and pulled everything into XL. She shared that with me and I'm happy to pass a document to anyone planning a large project so they can see the issues we ran into. We also had a situation where GOP print hearing records were attached to the EIS microfiche hearing records for a number of years so we have a small crew of part-time catalogers that will work on cleaning that up in the fall semester. Serial set we have not been able to provide a list of our serial sets to GOP at this time. Almost every serial set is cross-linked so the list of titles is actually quite difficult but for now we are giving GOP a link to the records with the holding so you can still search for the Chronicle logical issues and our expert is working on some programming to clean this up so we are preserving the serial set that we don't have perfect list. GOP does not have plans right now to put the serial set on the hard list so it not at the
top of our priority list but otherwise it is been a challenge and we are learning more every day. I think the list making group is mad about 15 times in the past six months to figure this out but once we get there I'm excited every time I email a new list to GOP. Final category if we could get to the lost slide. -- Last slide. We'll talk about marketing and promotion opportunities and I will pass it on to Marianne so she can talk about what they have done.

So far as far as are preservation stewardship contributions we are so new we haven't really gotten a lot of promotion other than what GOP sent out in the news release however we are working on that and I will give you an example of how this happened. Partnering with GOP is one of the best ways to promote any kind of contribution our partnership they are really wonderful. What is happened with her poster collection since the digital poster collection was established we get questions and requests for print posters from several times a year everywhere from a researcher who wants to include something in a book they are writing to there was a television show a few years ago that wanted to use it so it is out there. Also cataloging government publications there is a link to each poster there as well so that is wonderful. I also have included and I can do this as well branding our pages guides for the posters that have been digitized I have also created a special social marketing guide that includes sequential art, comic books published by government agencies as well as posters and it is called holy social marketing Uncle Sam so that has some good news to. I could say it with more expression which I usually do [ laughter ] there are a lot of ways to promote it and that is why this happened in the past and I have already gotten some good words from our PR people here in the library as well as the University friends who will be very happy to learn again about the prestige of being a preservation steward. I'll pass this on to Kiersten.

Much like what Marianne said we are new and being a preservation steward and the newest library that is joined on. Other than sharing GOP announcement from national Library week we haven't had a chance to promote that collection yet but we are having our bicentennial this year and this is a good opportunity to highlight the history of our collection and especially our preservation steward agreement have the back to Kate.

Thank you. As I said before I had this mandate sent to me from the administration to promote this widely so I depended on that man GOP to get the word out. I would say here on the screen we have a couple things. There is an article in our local CU Boulder today newsletter and we also did to radio interviews I had a chance to speak on Colorado matters which is my favorite radio show and Colorado so that was a thrill. We got to talk about not necessarily preservation steward projects and I'll point out medias interested in what government documents are and why it's important to keep them in paper. I got why should we keep the books question. They wanted to know how public could get access and how it is used so depending on your audience you have to adjust how you speak about it. I had a Word document available with key points about the project ready to go so it would help me answer questions over email Boehner on the radio. It has been a lot of fun I would say depend on -- I depended on my relationship team local media team to help push things out. I'm prepared to not this every possible opportunity we use it now in some job announcement, we use it on the website, we promoted all the time and I have had to adjust how I speak about it depending on whom I'm talking to. Sometimes I presented to University faculty, sometimes talking to the general public so depending on what their interest is I might change my approach for promotion. There have been good results from this I got three new
requests for instruction sessions based on the radio interview so we made connections I have handful of faculty that wants to work with me on there been opportunities for publishing and speaking and this would go for anyone who becomes a preservation steward. I think this is an excellent opportunity to highlight what you do to the rest of your community. So that is about what we had. We wanted to give you a perspective from a street Marianne Kiersten and I have three different collections but I think we have had similar experiences and I will probably pass it over to Laurie to see if we have any questions and give everyone an opportunity to respond. For almost out of time as well.

We have questions and we can go over in the session because our ending session included a lot of time for the open forum so we can answer these questions. Our Congressional record stewards including the globe and Congress and their steward collection and are all versions because there are at least three versions?

This is Kate. Go ahead Kiersten.

All be fast so we are not including the globe yet we have these collections we just did not include them in the MLO and I think when we review the MLA and decide if we want to add onto that that is what we will add on.

This is Kiersten I believe we are including the globe and animals and are preservation steward agreement. We only have said -- this that we have not anything else.

Another question what happens when administration changes the new folks do not buy into the program?

There is language in each dealing with the library decides to terminate the agreement GOP has the option most our government property and GOP can take over the collection but certainly we want to work with the new administration to convince them about the value of remaining a preservation steward and provide access to the library.

The cost of preservation can become daunting down the road could there be a grant program for stewards? We had a contribution I -- Jenny the preferences to replace volumes rather than repair GOP can pay shipping for replacement volume. Anything to add?

We recognize there is cost with preserving material we are looking at what additional services that can provide preservation GOP is not a grantmaking authority so we cannot provide grants but we are looking at what other services we can provide and I think Cindy may have more about that. Currently we are covering the shipping cost to fill in gaps in the preservation storage collection or replace a copy with one from a library that is discarding one that is in good condition.

Can GPL -- can -- just a little bit to add to what Suzanne said we are looking at services and some we have been able to implement right away like the shifting cost. There are others we are investigating further. We have gotten clearance from the General Counsel to go forward with the support service for preservation steward chaps and one of the main selling points was this is
actually still government proper even though it's housed in the depository libraries so it is not supporting us through you [ laughter ] does that make sense? Some of the things we're looking at are putting together a toolkit with some maintenance kinds of things, a couple of the presenters that are currently preservation stewards mentioned stamping and we will provide all of that and acid free Inc. so that is what were looking at your more about it later.

Does anyone think of preserving [ Indiscernible ]?

Suzanne we happen to have no discussions to do microfiche and we are focusing on preserving collections mostly because what the libraries have this [ Indiscernible ].

Any other questions?

Okay this is Laurie from the New Mexico state library. Thank you everyone. We will land here and look forward to hearing from all of you at the next regional meeting.

Thank you very much Laurie and all of our speakers. This is great news I love the PR opportunities it's amazing and it seems like it's driving new connection and new patrons to the information. We ran over on the session we are scheduled to start again at 2:30 Eastern we well started to back 35 so we will see you back in 15 minutes. Thank you.

[ Event is on a break between sessions. Event will reconvene at 2:35 ET ]

[ Captioner standing by ]

Welcome back thank you for sticking it out on the Thursday afternoon. This is the session where we left a lot of time to go over some things to do our usual wrapup and also to leave time for Q&A with the community. Please remember to keep up the good chat in the chat window and we will go through some reviews, a recap of what we've done over the past couple days, and some Council business but mostly this is about your questions answers comments concerns and it's your chance to raise issues with counsel, with the community and the GOP staff so everyone knows what is on our minds. The important part of what we do a Council meeting so please do not be shy about chiming in.

To start the session we will go over the October 2016 the fall meeting recommendations a Council made. There was only one and you can see it there on the screen. GOP response to this was in short yes we are working on it which is great. That is actually frequently in my experience a response GOP gives. Counsel will say this is an issue that we have been talking about and something needs to be done about this. In this case putting the policy statement that our current into a place where we can find them and folks who have questions about the program can find them easily so we tested that is accountable and GPO responded and thanked us for the recommendation and they began making the pocketbook -- public policies available in late March 2016 with the scope of statements for the Federal depository Library program the cataloging indexing program and online access. Others have been added since and since the document which I'm reading which is the official response document census was written for his been added and they are able to add public policy statements according to priorities of policy
cited in public documents and then policies that support the TDR audit process which is a trustworthy digital repository system certification the number escapes me at the moment but that is a project -- 16363 is the certification for [ Indiscernible ] which includes not just technical things but also governance and ongoing support requirements in the audit so public policy statements of support that will be a priority. Any new policies and then other policies that are currently in effect and the reason this is a somewhat deliberate process is that as these policies are being readied for public dissemination they are being reviewed and revised if that is appropriate and have to be cleared through all of GOP management for access. That's what's going on with the recommendation it is in progress and counsel thinks GOP for working on that.

We had a series of accommodations which was away of counsel thing we think this is important that we want to say something about it but we don't need GOP to do anything new about it so it is a good job GOP and we support what you are doing in the areas. The first one was engaging with the community to develop resources and tools. This is specifically about tools like the exchange in the open process GOP went through as they develop that specific tool on the rest of the library system platform that Anthony talked about yesterday in the GOP update . Counsel felt this was a good process that GOP went through and we really wanted more of the same and GOP said yes. Accommodation to was that they continue to develop that net partnerships this has happened to a certain extent but also as we have the option of stewardship partners that has taken -- there is more activity there as well but we can consider those of flavor of that partnership as well so this is been ongoing and continuing to develop and if you are interested be in touch with GOP about being a partner. We heard a couple comments in the chat window that they are very easy to work with and folks had a good experience developing these as we heard in the last presentations. Accommodation three was our thank you is accountable to the director for her continued support of & Council meetings including our meeting in the fall which is the large undertaking and takes a lot of work for many of the staff who are here with us and is a big event on the calendar for all the government information libraries so we absolutely appreciate that. Also the ability to have another & Council meeting in the springtime. As you know counsel does a lot of work for conference calls them through email and these things work well to get us some work done but having focused in person time to meet with each other and get things done and meet each other as people and become an flush out the ideas we haven't some issues that are part of the program is really invaluable so we wanted to think the director for that.

Finally the fourth one was there were three major documents released last year pertaining to the direction and management of and the future of the program that were part of that including collection development plans, the digitization nation guidelines that we had asked for many meetings if not many years those being made available and then also the public library's report which is now finalized and we are continuing to see action items from that report as you saw yesterday and our connections program.

We thought these represented a lot of work that they had done largely at the behest of counsel in the community and we felt it was worth acknowledging that and making our appreciation to GOP known and GOP said you are welcome and we will keep out of it and it seems to me they had from what we have seen from the update them emails and all the webinars and all of the great things we have had the past two days it seems a lot of it has been continuing on. So that the recommendations from the fall.
This brings us to our open forum and questions. I have an open forum I that we addressed and I did this by accident and by dumb luck and because I had a sense it was not really -- there wasn't much to talk about yet so we did not do data records and preserving government information and the things that have been in the news lately so we did not talk about that this meeting and specifics because we have nothing really specifically to report at this point. I want everyone to know some of us on counsel in several GOP staff are actively engage in these issues and they are watching development and participating were relevant and within their mission. Really they are engaged and there are dedicated counsel folks and former counsel folks working on these issues. GOP is hiring an electronic preservation library and remember they are commissioned to report by agency work and dissemination flows that provide the ground moving forward on electronic preservation of agency materials not currently in the program and that report will be available in draft. I wanted to throw that out there so that we do not forestall discussion were happy to talk about it but let folks know that is on the radar and something not passing us by the community. All right so I see one question from Kate which is now off of the screen let me scroll back up.

Kate asks a question about response number one is any GOP responsible for the recommendation where it no longer affects [ Indiscernible ] this is a little inside baseball the question is was there a -- part of the recommendation that included making available past policy statements whatever they were called that are maybe no longer in effect while making those very plainly marked and Cindy has an answer to that.

Cindy at GOP as part of the response we also had that we were prioritizing by those policies that were currently in effect then mentioned in publicly accessible documents as well as those that support our efforts to go through the audit for trust worth the digital repository and we are still at that point. A couple new policies are being drafted for that so we are still at that first priority level so there are policies not in the fact that they are not there yet we are still going through that prioritizing at the upper level of the prioritization.

Thank you Cindy. That responses on page 3 of the recommendation document from fall of 2015 if you want to go through the written response that generally GOP says yes we will do that but here is a priority as Cindy explained. I'm not sure that directly answers Kate question but I take that to mean they will be released eventually as there is a bandwidth given to be released.

A question from counsel. Yvonne says we are public library and considering circulating our collection I would like to have the pros and cons to public libraries and circulation of the depository collection. We had a discussion during the public libraries connections session someone actually asked to circulate their documents because certainly in the old days they were not circulation they were special collections. I've been in libraries where they'd don't circulate that a lot of folks including my library now we absolutely circulate our documents so in terms of pros and cons I'm happy to take some input from the chat and or from counsel who might have ideas about circulation and pros and cons of public libraries circulating to public patrons.

Rich at the University of Florida where an academic library week of both directions. If it's available electronically than we would circulate it and then we started asking how it might be used and it changed some of those of his available electronically then they can use the electronic version and the print version we have in the building if there is a problem with the electronic
version someone has to go to actually check that if it is down or something there so we switched a lot of them to what we had been circulating not circulating anymore because they electronic copy should be adequate for somebody off campus.

Do you have a way of tracking access to the electronic copy?

No because of our shared beds for the statewide it's hard for us to track that.

So that -- the person charged with polling data from our system in my library one of the things that jumps to my mind is oh that's a good indicator of use if you let something circulate it gives you an idea of this being an demandant depending on how your electronic access is set up you may not be able to track that efficiently and we don't have waited the particularly well. Anyone else from counsel have pros and cons and circulation?

Daniel Alaska state library. We circulated our documents at least as long as I have with the state library which was about 20 years and we have not really seen a downside to circulating them. They seem to come up in the same types of condition as other materials do. I would also like to put in a plug and I know there are different points of view on this but not only do we circulate our documents that we are 15% selective depository roughly and we have integrated our documents into the general collection and particularly with science all the great defends military histories and the US and GS for the sciences we are finding that people are using those documents more because they are browsing in one single place.

So bonus information on enter filing your documents and making them circulate.

Karen at the University of Arkansas. We changed our collection to circulating about 18 years ago and it was a mistake we asked our systems library if it could be done and he did it that night including all of the microfiche and everything else. It took the system longer to fix the mistakes in the original work. In that time we have not experienced a problem with things not coming back are coming back damaged and is a public university regardless of our status we must allow the general public to use a collection and we provide public circulation. I cannot rely on electronic exercise for titles and keep the paper circulating because Arkansas is one of the states of some of the documents will not work for some people electronic leave their Internet is not up to standards. We have been satisfied with it and we have got good feedback since we withdrew from the depository program and we are all academic or legal we have no public libraries in Arkansas on the program any longer.

Hi this is Terry from the New Jersey state library. The state library we serve state government and the public and the majority of our documents circulate unless it's a collection that we know the state depends on. We have a comprehensive census collection so that does not circulate but state government uses our serial set volumes we allow that to circulate. We don't seem to have too much trouble getting our documents back so I think it is successful. I'm happy people want to use our collection.

Robin.
Robin from GPL. I can speak from a public library experience. There are materials that if you have reference there are things you want to keep as in-house use. From a farmer inspector and now visitor to libraries, if you are thinking of going from a noncirculating to a circulating think about preservation stewardship because you probably have documents especially large public libraries where the materials were kept and not circulated and they are probably in better condition than the folks that have been circulating them. For example when I went in to see Houston public it was in beautiful condition and mostly because they were invisible to people. That thing.

Thanks Robin that's a good point on the documents we know tend to get vandalized. Great. So a lot of comments in the Chad actually it seems like we have public system and several academics who have similar responses it seems like a lot of people are happy with circulating because of problems in particular broadband Internet and less urbanized states and a couple people making the same point Robin did about the importance of keeping your reference material as reference just like you would. So thank you Marie that brings up a great point when someone says circulating we actually do circulate our microfilm and we have been known to borrow it from other libraries. So absolutely something to consider and at least a great point about working with your local community of depositories to ensure that you are having circulation policies that make sounds and you are protecting or saving the materials in a way that keeps them available in your area and tangible format even if they circulate some libraries.

Tom hold on a second I have to click buttons so we can hear you go ahead.

Hi this is Tom from the borough County library pack back in the day long before I got here the collection here in documents with all reference. There was then a grant that allowed us to get the entire collection retrospectively catalogued and there was a debate about whether or not we should then circulate the items directly. We did some initial experimentation and we did have quite a few issues both in terms of loss control and damage as well as when we circulated some items rather indiscriminately there were again the questions of what would be considered critical in-house use. After going back and forth on this for a time what we have done if we have come to a happy medium where can we treat all the documents as reference but we can circulate them on an as needed basis depending on what they are. In other words the customer comes to us and say I'm doing project X or whatever and then we can make a determination based upon what it is if it's a historical monographs that is easily replaceable or something for which we may have a second copy we will let it go no problem but we also have some issues of particular documents or periodicals that are either heavily used in-house for research. We have an architecture school nearby and also have boating and Marine industry very big down here so things for example like you wouldn't think Noel would have the most up to date widely used diving manual while people want to check this out all of the time but it also is very expensive to replace that we do not let it out. We have come to this happy medium where we can allow those people who needed to take it and still restrict enough of the access to an house use so it's here for anyone else that needs it. Some things that we circulate regularly are for example some of the things we cannot offer direct support like a lot of the GIS CD-ROMs and things we have because we don't have the facilities on our computers to do it but anyone who wants it can check them out just to make sure we can keep access going. That's all I have to add.
Karen University of Arkansas I agree with what Marie said about interlibrary loans. While we don't circulate despite that one night we allow some of it to go out through interlibrary loan because there is other libraries that don't have it and we are lucky enough to have it and we get it back we've never had a problem with it not coming back. I saw Kirsten's statement of little jealousy there may be some day we can afford one but we do a lot of digitizing for loans of the material never leave -- leaves the building it just gets scanned and sent out and that has worked wonderfully for us.

So that sounds there is flexibility all around as the best way and some of us have the luxury of being flexible and using common sense but if someone wants a 300 page document they won't copy the entire thing just let them have for a couple of weeks. It sounds like there is a nice range of options that come down to the same thing you would do with the rest of your collection just be a good steward of the material and meet the needs of your patrons so that's a great question. Thank you very much.

One more comment. It looks that Minneapolis has done the same sort of fascinated exception as well and again they have done coordination with her regional so that is great. Where there other questions I missed?

How to libraries deal with missing issues when their document collections are in public and circulating?

University of Arkansas are theft rate went down dramatically once we'll let them do circulate because people were willing to go by the rules rather than smuggle it out of the building.

We don't have too much of that problem many more of my library that we have been known to purchase replacement copies though it's time -- Tom pointed out that can be expensive so we have multiple copies for example the US reports and we have bought replacement volumes though I will admit those are not usually -- at the class of patron that tends to hold onto those and we allow that so in my special library. But I think replacement or an explanation that the material has been stolen like you would any other material it's maybe Evan Morris because of federal property someone is running off with but I think if they are in public areas and circulating that's one of the risks we accept. We [ Indiscernible ] are materials so we have some security on them but that depends on your architecture.

Jane has some special circumstances for a while -- why she has lowered that. Tom points out you can get second copies or the upcoming acts change even if you have not lost your first copy that it's relevant to your patrons and you get other people's copies why not if your patrons wanted. I suspect most of mine don't I'm sure we do not select that.

We had an earlier question that did not get it dressed about the public policy statements. Kate clarified but is there a commitment to release them all is that what I am hearing?

Lori at steward at third priority but we have commitment to get those done.
I have one more left over from earlier from yesterday. It's a question we may have to reiterate this and take our answer off-line but I'll do my best to ask for switches we had a discussion about pearls and URLs being added to record for archived materials that are on another archive that you feel is maintaining and she answered the collections that are being archived have records in the CGP and those have pearls to them and that is great but the question came back through with a clarification of it the question about individual titles. Will they help the live version and the archived version where that is an option for a life cereal or a URL to the archived version for monographic material?

I think I understand the question. I think right now we have records that point to the collection and I think the question is asking if we are going to dig into those collections and identify individual documents and pointed them. It's one of the things on our web harvesting committee's mind for quite a while because we probably have duplication. If we go out and harvest for individual things and then we go back and harvest a webpage or a group for a collection we may have duplication we have not figured that out yet on how to do that. At this point if I'm answering part of the question right we only have it at the collection level right now. That's what she talked about yesterday the hundred and 77 records. The second had to do with serial?

It's more about title level access and if I'm clear on what you said we won't catalog web pages as part of the collection of the webpage level?

Of titles within that collection.

Let's say there's an HH S collection on the record that says morbidity and mortality will there be a Perl that says here is the live site and here is the archived version?

Yes we already do that for cereals think were talking about two different things. For the harvested content we may like HHS we have a special relationship with that group we are identifying key titles that we think are important and cataloging those separately. But for some of the other ones we have not gone into the piece level or linked our peace level title with the web harvesting effort at this point in time.

That may have been the question you have title level records where you link that to the archived version and the answer is not at this time.

We have not figured out how to do that because it's pretty random.

[ Indiscernible ]

Yes we have been doing that.

I'm clear on that, our others clear on that as well I'm seeing not from counsel. Excellent I think were in good shape thank you.

Is anything else come through on the chat? Another question from Yvonne. For public libraries who have multiple branch libraries in the system we heard from those yesterday -- how do you
engage the branches with federal information about FL DT within the branches? We have some folks from Minneapolis and maybe St. Charles, do you want to chime in? Looking at counsel wondering who has branches. In my library we have not branches but lot of locations around campus and we tend to spread out the information in different places by topic and is there is an exhibit happening at the art school library of the art gallery we loan materials to them and it's good option to promote if we can find some good government information like the beautiful posters. I'm wondering Tom has taken this comment.

[ Indiscernible - participant not within range of microphone ]

Thank you for chiming in great. Tom says this is a question about replacement, I will let him talk.

Go-ahead.

With regards to engaging the other libraries that when we had a lot more staff in our division we had something we call Tuesday track so for people who were new to the system we would have specialized in different areas come and give staff training on particular things and I would give an overview of what the documents are or what they do and if nothing else at least to give them a heads up that we are here at the main library give us a call if you run into a question that you cannot answer. Due to a lot of reorganizations that have occurred as well as a reduction in the number of specialists in any specialty we have I find it somewhat difficult to still do it but I do it as best I can. If I met a meeting any time I meet people at other branches by Sam the documents person and they look at me like you are a what? I explained to them what the documents are, what we do, what the depository program ends and they are overwhelmed and don't even know we were such. We go out of our way to keep them informed that we have this resource even if they cannot understand how to help the customer specifically with federal information give us a call here and it works pretty well. We get calls on a regular basis from our branches in the system referring customers to us.

Okay so a referral system but it sounds there is some real value in getting out among the branches and going and visiting people in person and taking pencils or stickers that they can put up in their space and be reminded you are there and that you have great resources for them. I would imagine that's an easy sell in any library setting even I know my folks like it and since we have those good marketing materials available to order from GOP you can take some of those and leave them as a reminder for folks to call you and get answers to their questions about documents that they may have or they think might help the patrons.

Arlene said the feedback she gets from the public libraries is that Mike or training sessions work best. I am thinking more and more that that is true in a lot of places. I know our students if you give them a YouTube video they will watch that and if it's 15 minutes I say no to much so that is probably a general trend.

Kelly is putting a link to the materials, thank you.

A lot of good comments in the chat.
It looks like someone has a problem where they can not update their webpages but I guess working with folks in the branches, that's interesting.

So a lot of good ideas about demonstration doing brown bags, getting out there and Jane that is what I meant when I was talking about the pencils the carpenter pencils they were great fun. There are a hot commodity in our library. Any other comments from counsel on branches or promotion across folks not interacting with the specialists on a daily basis?

All right so I had another question this is a question for me. Then we will go back to the chat and see if there are more. So there was an announcement this week I think there are so many these days which is great, on the news email about a release of the Congressional record and I wondered if there was an update on that and how that project is progressing, and he ETA's or General Information about that because I think it's a great accomplishment and I was surprised it wasn't in the GOP update that's a great thing we should applaud GOP for. It's in the handout but we did not talk about it a lot.

Heidi GOP so we have actually finished all the metadata part of that project. The other side to that though is a processing so it's a lot of information that has to get transferred over and put over and it's been a decision to do that so that is where you are saying that time gap between so in addition to taking the metadata and converting it there is a lot of backend processing to be done so there is not a date that you'll see something similar to what you have been saying I think they are coming out between the 2 to 3 month range and I believe that is expected to continue and you will continue to see press releases when it is done.

Great thank you. We have another question about those materials in particular Daniel you want to ask that?

Danielle Alaska state library and various a narrow question and a broader question. The narrow question is I was looking at some Congressional record from June 1972 and it seemed like there were pieces of it that did not look like they were both the yard but I noticed they appeared to have some reductions for Social Security numbers so good for you for getting rid at the personally indemnifying information in the records. It's like one of the Congressional hearings were a person goes on and on without getting to their question. It's my last meeting I can say stuff like that [ laughter ]. Specifically are there circumstances where we can expect digital materials not to be the hard and if this was an oversight what is the best way to get it correct did? -- Corrected?

Heidi at GOP there is reduction occurring with Social Security numbers we were permitted to do it which is part of the processing but I actually think that might have been a question that came in through the forum and it is then shared with tech knowledge he can look at so if there is an issue like I said OC are is only as good as the technology used and if it's something to do with a friend I'm looking over at David because it's for his thing so it is being done and it's a question waiting to hear back from them to see if it was something that was not much in processing or if there is something with that particular print in a lot of cases they're not good with print that is dated so it's as good as it's going to be based on the print being used.
Danielle Alaska state library. The larger question is whether the procedures are the quality decision process is public anywhere whether it might be made public and I think that's it. Thank you.

Heidi GOP. I guess it's your question asking if we have procedures for checking the quality of the print?

It's not whether you have them because I know you do but whether they can be shared publicly.

Actually that collection was provided to us by the library of congress so we received it from them so that is them in this case so when we collect at the metadata we indicated problems are errors as we came across them and those were reported for potentially being rescanned for a better copy but outside of that the print they provided to us --

Thank you and forgive me for liking a gift horse in the mouth because it's a great project to have online no matter what glitches may be along the way. Thank you.

I have a follow-up question to that while we talk about and Malaya wants to know how you identify those that got redacted? How did you do it?

So there was actually technology used and what that is I don't know precisely that they -- during the processing they identified pages that had six -- Social Security numbers so that was a helper along the way but the stuff used to identify them and read Jack so with that as I would have to get back to you.

I have seen that used in other places if you look at the OCR you can identify the numbers they are usually consistent and it's nice that someone is looking at it in the target are on the sheet so I hope that was helpful. That is interesting I think to see what we can do with technology.

Got there is a question any update on when the historical records might appear? It would be helpful to know those of us that look for coffee cataloging for older titles?

Funny you should mention that wherein the early stages to begin that. It will be a very time-consuming and long process don't look for them soon. We are going to start hopefully this summer working only on Tran2's of the new records will start transcribing and beginning appearing in OCLC sometime this summer. There's training and we have to change and we are working toward them but will announce it and there are multiple batches for the hundred and 80,000 records we currently help so we will start announcing once a first batch goes will announce that as well.

Just a quick follow-up on that refresh my memory the shelf list transcription is being done by GOP staff or a contract?

It is being done by contract staff and overseen and we have some cataloging staff reviewing for quality.
Thank you. I hope that's helpful Sandy I guess the answer is keep searching in the catalog for the time being.

If you are a participant you can get those records now.

Another reason to sign up. Am I missing any others? Questions from counsel?

Go ahead rich.

The regional issue the regional eligible discard titles are not eligible to be discarded while waiting for stewards. We have requests for two titles people would like to consider they would discard how do we go about motivating libraries to volunteer stewards for those titles so that we could eventually let those requesting to discard actually be able to discard and can we build on the efforts of those who have adopted those to make it easier for those libraries to do volunteer can we ask libraries that want to discard something to volunteer to be preservation stewards to be part of the process?

Susan GOP we would love to hear from two additional libraries interested in preserving Congressional records because we have two stewards in the University of Ohio and Colorado. We are going to be developing at community plan for preservation to try to promote when we get close to having them separated if we only need one more tip about that as well as run out their titles we may have interest in. We are working on it and if anyone is out there that have the Congressional record collection let me know I'd love to talk to you.

Geographically distant from Ohio or Colorado so that would be the East coaster West coast.

Part of the question is to the community how do we go about getting people motivated? How does GOP get them motivated and how do we get the community to step up and do this because we would like to let the libraries get rid of the things they want to get rid of but somebody has to step up and we have priority now in terms of something to be discarded.

Marie has suggested we have regional meeting for horse training which is funny because that's what I said with my mic off. You do this one and will do this one and together we'll figure it out. I think that is absolutely possible and I think once we have the exchange launch my senses that will be part transparent what people are looking for.

The regional discards are part of phase 2 of the exchange and since we are not far enough along on the preservation stewards that will probably -- were not ready to roll that interface to solo it will probably be phase 3. One thing we are looking at is originally managing that eligible list that was not part of the scope so we looked at it said we will have a lot of different versions floating around and we wanted to make sure we had it all in one place so we have a system of records for what the official list is and we are rolling that interface to and that will have a Markov if there is something that has three regions and one is missing you can say this is region for missing and I'm in region for so maybe I'll do that.
Succumbing soon. -- So coming soon. We have virtual horse training or reach out certainly something we could do but remember regions especially the rest of us are eligible to be preservation partners won't necessarily sees that. Gotten campaign for the titles you want to have stewardship agreements for.

Cindy at GOP. Thinking about going out and recruiting and this comment is addressed to the regionals back in 2014 when the discard policy was in draft and we're taking comments one thing GOP did was survey the regional depository libraries to determine how they would employ the discard policy in their libraries and one of the categories for the titles on GOP federal digital system info was they would retain the regional tangible collection. There were a lot of libraries it indicated that was the case for them so you might want to go back and see how you answered that survey and maybe those libraries can think about what they might want to be preservation stewards for and the content currently on the Info if you don't know how you responded or you are now at a different library and don't know how the library responded you can contact me I have all the results.

Just to follow up the outreach librarian's at the visiting libraries and I visited a lot of sharing information about preservation stewards programs and looking at collections in thing have you thought about about being a preservation stewards have a great collection. I visited a library last week to discuss out so we are available for an person visits.

I want to remind everyone also it doesn't have to be a huge collection. It can be a small run of 10 volumes, it can be -- one of the questions coming out that can be something that is dead so it doesn't have to be a growing collection actually we are looking for those that are seized and dead because people have discarded them. Just remember it doesn't have to be a massive inventory it can be a small set of titles as well.

We have several questions but I want to stay on the preservation stewards questions and then I will thought that to the prior questions. Is there a possibility for being a steward for a particular timeframe say Congressional record from 1919 to 1980 not a growing collection?

Sure. Were happy and looking for stewards for everything so that it's -- we are not limiting we want all of the collections so yes.

Cindy I want to add to that because there are some titles that are on cup.info for which distribution only went to regional depository libraries so there are selective that might only have a portion of a collection of to a certain date so again going back to all of the background of this and the reason for it being to leverage what you are doing in your libraries and to use what you have what's important to you locally and it may not be an entire collection, it may just be stuck from a particular agency or a time period or on a particular topic. I want to thank the preservation stewards who did the presentation earlier and mentioned flexibility because we are flexible so if you have an idea contact us.

Just a follow-up on the questions we are seeing in chat. What if I have a collection of material that has been augmented or superseded by reprint versions like US reports? Would those be eligible?
Commercially purchased reprints are not eligible. If you purchased the reprint from the GOP bookstore that would be eligible but commercial versions are not.

Whether other questions about preservation?

How do you define the four regions are states assigned to a region?

Suzanne GOP where use in a census region map and I'll let Heidi speak to that.

On the region discard page there is a map made available I think we shared it in some of the previous discussion but it's a four regions. If there is an extensive amount back and forth the discussion of something that changed for the minimum were not saying were stopping at four [laughter] so keep that in mind but yes as of right now that math is what we are using as -- using as our region.

The record ends up on the discard list would GOP allow a selected to selected? With the seven your requirement probably not I don't want precious things to be tossed without consultation.

Heidi GOP so when the regional goes through the processing they have been approved to discard something the process like you said we are moving the idea and phase 2 when it's in the exchange instead it would move into the next level so it will open up and others will see it and it depends as Lisa mentioned at some point there will be a phase 3 where preservation stewards may have access to the information so rest assured we are monitoring that and it will be picked up or if not then select should be able to grab it.

Remember also if it's your regional or someone close by who is proposing the discard you can do a housing agreement and it would not be discarded and you could keep it in your library.

Is a condition that the policy that the joint committee on printing approved that the regionals have to offer what they are discarding to those libraries they serve and then to other libraries so anybody who wants to take on those particular volumes have an opportunity to do so.

Lisa GOP I want to clarify I set the preservation stewards will be a phase 3 having said that that doesn't mean if you're preservation Stuart you cannot see anything in the system. You can still see it like anyone using the X change you just want get priority on anything being discard based on your Stuart status.

-- Based on your Stuart status.

It strikes me we are in a time of print commitments happening not only in the depository program but in a lot of other consortia and associations and libraries it's ramping up the initiatives that are flourishing now. What I like about the depository is that even the smallest library could participate the one that thinking of that are really going strong now are more research library based like the trust program wherein members of the trust agreed to keep print copies of things already in the trust, the Center for research libraries paper PA PR database on its
programs that Scott was telling me about in the Ivy League set of libraries so to research library and services arm -- this is more a way to wait in. We need to be more at the table when our collection development people are talking about these print commitments because this one fits beautifully with all the other ones. It has a different focus but I think we are trained to represent the focus well.

I'm curious if others have similar thoughts along those lines are stories they can tell.

-- Or stories they can tell.

Thank you for mentioning those different opportunities and I know that's just a handful of them. If you look back at our roles and who is eligible to be a partner one of the reasons we have beyond the FDL P is for such an stance where it might be a consortium that comes to us or another network that comes to us that may or may not have depository library is a member of that particular network.

[ Silence ]

Okay a different topic can we use a login for everything related to the FDLP ?

That is something we are looking at down the line as part of the system we are not there yet.

Okay my libraries considering trends in ginning to digital only is there a cheap sheet for this process? --

Actually Ashley of the go to person on this. I don't think we have cheat cheat sheet that we have guidelines and we welcome conversations about this and there are some tips that the outreach folks know.

This is Ashley we don't have guidance we have several articles and we have a couple of webinars. What we find though is every single library that go this route has a different process for doing it because you all have unique situations. We can give you the building blocks about what you need to consider in factors to think about but it is worth reaching out to us because we may have seen other tricks that we can share with you. Also if you have anything unique we would love to see what you have figured out so we can in turn build our own knowledge base up. Let us know at FDLP outreach .

[ Silence ]

I had typed in this question -- I'm stating something that's obvious it has been an exciting time to be in government and termination there is so much news about what is reliable government information. It is been the most exciting time for me since I entered the field in 1988. That doesn't mean I'm always prepared to answer questions as fast as they come because the speed of discourse is pretty wicked fast right now. My question is it's clear we have a moment in the public eye to talk about the program and to talk about a permanent commitment to public access to public information and I'm asking the community if there are new opportunities that we are
missing and also if you want to weigh in on connecting this theme to programming for fall counsel?

[ Silence ]

One of the joys of this discussion is that we always escalate between aspirational and philosophical or higher level so forgive me if I floated a higher level lead balloon that people cannot cut into right now it feels better to talk about more operational things that is fine also. I just wanted to ask those questions.

I cannot promote fall conference outside the main Council sessions but I'm doing a state program on the difference between official and reliable information that I was planning on walking around with a book which I've been running around with and joined everyone anyway. It is definitely something on my mind and we have been getting questions from the school libraries in particular if we -- if they've always been reliable what are they supposed to do now and it's an interesting conversation that needs to be had so it is definitely on my mind.

[ Silence ]

Amy raises a question about a session on reliable and I think that makes sense maybe you can work with someone to pass on the presentation and have someone else present in the fall and that idea of tying it into our colleagues in K-12 I know I have friends that are at a loss of what to teach for information literacy these days. I'm not sure that feeling is fairly grounded but there is that feeling that people are concerned about those issues so I think anything we can do to promote our information and provide tips for how to be a skeptical consumer makes sense so maybe that is a focus for the fall meeting and a focus for things the Council can do.

I know we are being a little bit quiet and it's not because it's warm in the room but we are reading all of your chat and think about it and have something health intelligent to say, I try [ laughter ].

Danielle Alaska state library. As much as I love promoting government information as reliable information, I think there may be a place for applying the tools of the literacy and criticism to government information itself. Perhaps it's something we should've always been doing but in the current environment then will go further than that. It may not be enough to say at the government it's reliable it's here's why it's reliable because it went through this methodology. If we talk about statistics they went through this hepatology and interviewed these people and they have their data set over here but I think we have to go deeper to be convincing to folks. To be convincing to folks about why government information is generally trustworthy and being able to potentially raise red flags about this is not how they did the methodology last time the report came out. If we fix the situation we may not there is legitimate discussion over that but I think applying the same analytical tools to government information not just appealing to authority. I know I have done that in the past and it will be very helpful for us moving forward.

Though I like I think it was Jenny that she loves her reactions when she explains the Congressional record and what happened before that before.? That's a good point about reliability, what was composed and sent over by the Congress is what GOP printed and
distributed but not for editing or producing so that makes sense. A couple people said this was a
great Raven are in Ashley chimed in GOP did one on the 27th of last month and there is a link so
maybe we can work on that running another one or different ones focused on different
constituencies or different subissues.

I talked a little bit about the data refuge and the general more public or civilian concern about
government data and information we have seen in the past couple months and Stephen brings up
an interesting point about making sure these solutions need to be sustainable and I think that is
where the organizers of a couple of those efforts have come to and are working on now but that
is something where I think we have some ideas and we can engage with those folks because we
have been around for a long time GOP has been doing this for 100 years or more it is -- they
know what they are doing about some of this stuff and we can talk about sustainability so that is
a great idea.

I was promised there would be no mouth so there is a question about twitter preserving twitter.
So Jane raises the question we have always had documents available in multiple language I'm
thinking of things like the CIS materials used to be called INS materials for citizens that --
citizenship in the idea of preserving that an alternating language that could be an interesting
discussion.

Jenny I think that twitter -- there was an effort by LC to ingest all of that I'm not sure what the
status is that yes maybe Lori knows. She does.

When we -- David's not here to correct me if it's wrong they are ingesting all the presidential
twitter feeds but they are not allowed to disclose those are make them publicly available for one
year so that is what [ Indiscernible ] told us so at this point that is their legal counsel.

Because of the agreement with twitter or just because?

I think it is just because that I can find out.

It looks like Suzanne [ Indiscernible ] Jenny was asking about the accounts that are of the
political but not necessarily government accounts and that make it into questions of scope for a
federal agency to do that capturing but certainly something that your friendly nonprofit may do. I
know [ Indiscernible ] came back so they reached an agreement to be able to show the tweets
from certain accounts which is entertaining. I'm glad they don't show mind because my spelling
is not great sometimes.

[ Indiscernible - participant not within range of microphone ]

JNA were having a discussion -- Jenny we are having a discussion.

Okay this is David GOP. The presidential tweets that are going out under the POTUS president
of the you cited states account are being archived in the national archives. Things that are going
out under just president trumps personal twitter feed are being captured as part of the end of term
archive anyway but there is an access issue with that so they are not really accessible. The
Library of Congress is also grabbing both of those twitter feeds but for reasons that I am not really aware of other than me legal counsel said so there is an embargo on those for a year so they are being recorded that there is no current or useful access to those.

Thank you. There's been a lot of discussion about how we could get access for FDLP members to download materials so we'll talk about that.

This is Lori GOP. Cindy may correct me if I'm wrong on the dates but we met extensively with the direct their of [ Indiscernible ] and staff about a year ago. They were interested in forming a relationship with hot tea we had them come to GOP and brief the executive management at GOP then we talked back and forth about various possibilities for being a member but at that time they did not have any kind of membership model that fit GOP, we are different we are not a library so discussions broke off for a while but we had contact with [ Indiscernible ] about a week or two ago I may want to meet some of us in chat so we are planning to do that but that is where we are at this point so we have reached out. We didn't go forward because of the membership model that we are looking at trying to start that discussion again.

Thank you there is a follow-up question what about discussion with Internet archive and I know you work with Internet archives and they do self-help. Does someone want to talk about that?

This is Lori. We have contracts with Internet archives that's where with carpet distinct -- harvesting is posted. Did that answer the question? It may have the question was no more detailed than that. Jenny if you have something more specific you want to know about Internet archive may be the question is about putting materials in an archive [ Indiscernible ] Jenny if you have something more specific let me or Lori know and we will get you those.

This is Lori ICR question that's one of the things we talked about but I think they were trying to figure out a way to work with us any kind of model for us even paying for FDLP libraries for GOP to take over the cost. The discussions were new in their membership model so we will bring that up when we talked to them in June.

[ Captioners transitioning ]

[ Pause ]

Cynthia GPO. A couple years ago when we started talking about [ Indiscernible ], one of the goals of the time was to look at different membership models and to expand because they were getting questions about membership from different types of organizations, government agencies like GPO at other organizations, so the timing when we were talking with them probably was not the best of time because they did not have a model yet that would fit us, but if we are going to be having discussions again in June, I think it is an opportune time. Things may have changed in a may have a renewed direction for emphasizing federal government documents, so I can hope that the meeting at ALP can be productive.

[ Pause ]
Indiscernible - multiple speakers] perks but go ahead. I was going to tell you no one was talking, and everyone was reading your comments but Rachel is going to talk but

Which question, to James [Indiscernible], their arsenic depositories that are [Indiscernible]. I think a lot of -- the idea of trying to figure out -- in trying to talk with some sort of model of piggybacking you some way might be at least worth discussing.

[Pause]

This is David [Indiscernible] GPO. Turnover issues with [Indiscernible] that are attractive. They have been going about -- I mean the way those -- that collection content got in there, it got in there on a subject basis largely, so it was grabbed as part of just the general Google projects or other projects that people put content into. During the process of going through and getting rid of the embargo on copyright, that was mistakenly put on some content because of the date, so it is potentially a source for us in finding fugitives of things that were collected differently than the normal selection because they went to a different channel for that library pics but they also have recently as of a couple years ago gained track approval under track for their digital repository, so there may be -- there seems like there's a lot of potential for us to develop something collaboratively, whether that is a membership for FTO or what we don't know, but we are still talking with them.

[Pause]

One other comment about -- from Suzanne about the agency films on the archive and that is also one of my favorite collections that collects stuff on IA which is done outside the repository program [Indiscernible]. But worked with agencies directly to the lot of the content which is really aspect some of it is really cool stuff. I would recommend [Indiscernible].

Just to clarify for some folks, I'm seeing confusion about [Indiscernible] and downloads and I think any sort of has the right idea that anybody [Indiscernible] is set up so anybody can read, but in order to download you have to login in order to login you have to be a patron of a member library. That is with the business model is set up, and that is why there is this sort of confusion about if I a you can download freely, and FD assist anybody can download freely, where as [Indiscernible] as this sort of -- they want to make everything available but they have to have an incentive for people to pay for freight, as it were, and that's with the download blog comes in where you cannot downloadable PDF unless you are patron of a master library. Just for clarification case people were confused if that is the difference between being a [Indiscernible] member and not. It sounds like there are going to be some discussions in a month or two between [Indiscernible] and GPO about ways to maybe bring the FTO folks into that member category or some other category that would allow more public access, and then I think Daniel also raises an interesting point that if these are public documents maybe they just all the public documents should be available to everyone anyway which is sort of a policy question, and it is a good one.

[Pause]
Jenny, if the mid-20th century census items, that is where -- and this is the difference between the Google project and not hide you trust material is Google said anything after the magic date is in copyright regardless of who created the material, and we all know where many of us in all, there is no copyright in government information produced as part of the work of our government employee, so those should not be embargoed, and what David just mentioned is that [Indiscernible] does have a budget to go through and fixed all of the flags on big swabs of government information that they believe is out of copyright and make that available even if it is in the middle of the 20th century, and also a project is to find general documents with the copyright was either not renewed or not properly noticed and therefore is actually just like those materials are copyright. That is in no other project which is why do you sometimes find more things there were access to more things there than you do other web places, all of which are good reasons to sort of engage with this project as the depository experts.

Mrs. Laurie. Heather from hockey just chatted in, and she does remind us that she is going to do and FLP Academy webinar next week, the trust and just -- she is our [Indiscernible].

[Pause]

 Been [Indiscernible - low volume]

[Laughter]

Shutdown question. This is Laurie Burt there was some resolutions this morning to extend the potential shutdown for another week until May 5, so we will not be shutting down tomorrow night, but maybe May 5. We will see.

Okay. I think that's what I feel like we have had a robust discussion about HOTI trust and online access and a good promotion for the upcoming webinar him [Laughter]

And we will -- if there are other questions that folks have or other issues, it is possible that eating just like reading all your comments we missed a new topic that someone tried to bring up. Based chat that back in. We wait a minute or two but I think otherwise, unless there are other issues, I don't have any more questions and I am seeing shaking hands from councilmembers. We think we have had our questions answered, and I feel like we had a productive discussion. All right. We will keep watching the chat but we have a little bit more business to wrap up before we are done for the day, including a quick recap on what we have done over the past two days. We do this when we wrap up the meeting, just talk about what we talked about so everyone can remember.

We started with a good GPO update with lots of things had been completed that GPO was working on, lots of releases of products and webinars, including the [Indiscernible] which weird more details about, and the upcoming exchange launch and we will be looking for more information on training webinars for that and all of those, materials coming up on the exchange.
some information from the [Indiscernible] folks, that Council of state library administrators get
great ideas on how to create programming. Some needs express for materials like infographics,
display materials or outlines for public audience. A couple good ideas here sort of between the
sessions talking about ourselves, so watch for some of those from GPO, and we introduced a
starting point for each of us to start making connections with our public libraries, whether they
are depositories are not.

Can find your state library agency and find out sort of some of the things that they do when
working with other folks or collaborating outside of depository libraries with public libraries, but
also other library funding sources and library programs in your state.

We look at the comments again.

Then we had a session on sort of continued with that same theme of collaboration and how could
we improve the collaboration model to provide great service to our patrons even as we sort of
have fewer staff or staff who are pulled in different directions, sort of a live -- reality for a lot of
our libraries, and talk about what we might collaborate with, other depositories, other libraries as
we talked about the public libraries, for example, and with non-library partners even talking
about other academic departments and talking about other agencies in our local government that
we could also collaborate with picks but that we also talked about what areas we might collaborate
on that would improve our service to patrons, including collections, which we sort -- it seems
like out majority of folks to [Indiscernible] and many of us said we would do [Indiscernible],
so that gives you sort of a collections like -- collaborations.

We talked about service collaboration in terms of being able to refer people to other [Indiscernible]. We also talked about -- briefly and update the G.I. old is government information
online, still hanging out there and under consideration it is in a state of transition and there are
folks working on that Turks but then we talked about other ideas or you can collaborate on an
individual level sort of mentoring want to go one [Indiscernible], subject specialty, something
you want to learn or training either via GPO FTO Academy or the [Indiscernible] government
library and series or other training opportunities that are great ways for us to improve our own
skills so that we can provide great service, but collaborating to provide the training so we don't
reinvent the wheel. Folks even mentioned training for the student workers are. A great idea. I
think Jane said she was introduced that's a interested in producing a training program for us to let
workers and looking for folks to help her with that.

And then we finished up yesterday with preservation discussion, and we learned a lot about
microfiche but we are going to learn more because you are going to sign up for the webinar. That
sign-up actually went out this morning. I think that is great, so it will be well attended, getting
RSVPs early, registering now, learn everything you wanted to know and more about microfiche
and remember that this is just the first in a series. They will provide more those webinars about
preservation topics generally. I think that is an area that GPO is developing, an area that they
take seriously, and it is something that can be a great resource for our libraries and collections
will help us learn to be better stewards of our materials, whether they are depository materials or
not.
Also we discussed in that same session, work on it or [ Indiscernible ] white paper which is starting a. This is obsolete physical format. It may be microfiche is counted in that or maybe not but this is definitely things like DHS and floppy disks and CD-ROM that require a computer Museum to run.

That work is going on now, and we will have a draft in October and opportunities to comment starting with the October meeting and then through the early part of the winter when that will be finalized in the new year.

That sort of was preservation in the first day.

I'm going to read the comments again. Yes, I agree, Jenny. I think microfiche is really not obsolete but it is a special format. Is not obsolete. We definitely use it a lot in my library, and even the students get to use it.

Then we started again today. Rich, that you want to talk a little bit about --

We had the data in terms of competencies for docs libraries dealing with statistical information and data sets. The levels of awareness in terms of just you know something exists resists you could really dig in and do something with it, how will you are able to assist people with detailed physical analysis as sort of an assurance to folks that you don't have to achieve full levels of competence at each of these areas that you may just need to go that I know who to refer somebody to.

Examples are troubleshooting, issues that people come up with, and they link at the end for additional resources. A lot of comments that I am looking forward to going forward through and I would like to try and incorporate various links that people did in the that Web guide that we provided. That was [ Indiscernible ] was the final link and I'll try to incorporate the links that people posted to the comment section today.

Great. A good way -- I really like the framework about thinking about competencies and sort of levels of competencies and service and when to refer because I like to refer. When I get too far down that path.

Then Lori and Kiersten organized a great battle for us about regional issues including lots of stuff, update on the holding issue, which I will say [ Indiscernible ] my library, but things like that is all caught up and there is a plan for managing the ongoing updates for that, and then a discussion on being stewards and we heard from some of the folks who are does Michael's libraries have entered into stewardship agreements and sort of what they did in their agreement and how they were able to negotiate with GPO and the watch was flexibility and GPO wants to hear from you and help the program by signing up to be a member as a preservation steward.

Remember, that is for everyone, not just regionals. Selections would be great. I know some of us have things we will never let go that are easy to keep, so sign up. You can go talk to my director.
Are right. Then we just had a great discussion in the Q&A will go back through and make sure we caught all the questions but I think of a couple of us looking anything we did a good job but will double check that, and thank you all very much for the presentations. I know this is the most I have ever seen a chat window but it was a lot and I really think you all for that participation. It is an old nerve-racking to come in and leave that much time for questions and discussion, because you are sort of gambling that folks are going to participate in all stepped up. Thanks so much.

Is there anything else? I'm going to go into just a quick wrapup your Karen and I have a little bit of business to do, some farewell and welcome, but anything else?

Are right. I wanted to start wrapping up by thinking the GPO staff who as I said at the beginning have done such great jobs setting things up for us and we will find out if they have time in their schedule to change the name [ Indiscernible ], [ Indiscernible ] just did Fidler [ Indiscernible ], and [ Indiscernible ], I get it, but thanks really to the staff are really doing a great job of making sure that we are all on time and up to date and where we need to be as a Council and also did all this busywork that you all have been doing. It is amazing. I am human that overwhelmed by the email that we received an stuff that is coming out. It has been great pics but I wanted to thank GPO staff but especially Lori and the senior staff for your continuing support of counsel as a body ever been such good partners and providing these opportunities for engagement with you and from you. I think it is really an impressive show of support for the community, and I think you.

I wanted to thank our departing councilmembers who have served with distinction, Terry Taylor, Daniel Cornwall, [ Indiscernible ] who stepped in for yet another term and [ Indiscernible ] will be going off counsel. Our deadline for me it has been a pleasure to work with you, most of you for three years, and thanks so much for all of your hard work, and then I'm going to turn it over to Karen who will be able to introduce you to some of our new councilmembers who will be starting June 1.

[ Pause ]

Thank you, Scott. I look forward and I agree with Scott that the outgoing members have been wonderful people to work with them I am theory to see you all go. At the same time I'm happy to introduce our new members and I saw a few of them commenting on chat about what they were looking forward to working on, so that is wonderful. I am led to see such [ Indiscernible ] already.

We will be having new members, Eric back was digital services librarian at the University of Colorado law library, Jane Canfield is depository coordinator and my apologies, I did study Spanish but it was too long ago.

[ Indiscernible ] in Puerto Rico, Mary Clark who is the director and acquisitions Access manager at the Library of Virginia, Donna James the library director and federal depository coordinator for Valley City State University and Selena McDowell, who is a regional depository careened S ordinary University of Maryland.
With quite a list of things that I've written down that people want us to work on so we will have a wonderful year and I'm already looking forward to somebody taking over as another secretary because the one that we have had for the last year has done a beautiful job and he thinks needs a break, so all of you on counsel began thinking what whether or not you want to take minutes.

Thank you.

Thanks, Karen. One other note is that we do have a retirement councilmember. Maryanne is going to be retiring and we had somebody will fill in the rest of her term, Ravi [Indiscernible] was the head of [Indiscernible] library at University of North Texas so she will be coming on as well.

I would ask that all of you -- with said this a couple times in the chat and it is actually out there now, but please save the date and plan to join all of us -- some of us and the new folks. I will be there as well and in the audience or you can join me. October 16-18, Monday through Wednesday here in Arlington at the same exact place, as it were, the Doubletree, and we will have a good discussion that we have given you a preview of some of the things that are coming up, the white paper [Indiscernible] format and the federal [Indiscernible] study on agency lifecycle that those will be available in the fall and one of the things we will be talking about and then you have all given Karen some ideas and please keep those coming either to Karen or to any councilmember and they will incorporate them into the schedule.

Where a couple little things to finish up as a Council for our terms and at the end of next month, so we will be finishing it up and if any recommendations and URL not off the hook yet, but I am grateful for having had the opportunity and I am very pleased that Karen is going to take over and I am sure you will be in good hands.

I think with that we have one more announcement from GPO per Scott stole my thunder. This is Lori a GPO. You take down all of my bullets for my closing, so I just want to thank from GPO, thank you, Scott, for working with us this year. It is a year of transition. We really enjoyed the phone calls and getting all this stuff together and we really enjoyed meeting all of you here today in person. We do think that is really important. Also just thanks to Daniel and rich and Terry for being on counsel the last couple of years working with us, and where looking forward to having Karen work with us also, and Maryanne, thanks for working with us, as well, and happy retirement. We look forward to having Ravi, and we hope Maryanne you enjoy your retirement work

We also had on my bullet point the press for the meeting on October 16 through the 18th. I had a couple more things already on my agenda to talk about, so we also looking for some other topics that we got the data refuge, reliable information that we are planning on having an FT S FDLP focus group because we are going to be redesigning that. We are also going to bring up the shared reference service NGO and we are going to be talking about the class manual release and doing some presentations are, so those are a few of the things very have on our agenda so where
looking forward to hearing from anybody else want any kind of topic presented. Let us know as soon as possible that way we can be prepared.

Just remind everybody that [ Indiscernible ] thank you for being with us virtually, and try to stay connected with what we put out through F DLP.gov, the news and events, all the other various social media channels that we do send out information. Also on our library business, we are out and about still in the next couple months. We are going to Utah, New Hampshire, Indiana, Michigan [ Indiscernible - low volume ] New York in there and some other states. We keep [ Indiscernible ], a praise of all of the libraries that we have not visited and areas, so we are focusing on the ones we have not yet so you will be seeing a shortly. We are planning on trying to go to Puerto Rico. We are assessing the activity in your state -- your place -- Your Pl., Jane, so we hope to see you soon, but we are not quite sure. I just want to thank everybody for being here and working with GPO. Thanks.

Thank you.

[ Applause ]

I will being the gavel for the last time and give it to Karen. Thank you.